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Abstract

Micromorphological analysis is an invaluable research tool for reconstructing detailed depositional and post-depositional processes of cave infill sequences and for providing paleoenvironmental insight. In this work, we present the results of a micromorphological and mineralogical study of the sedimentary sequence at the Lezetxiki II cave (northern Iberian Peninsula). The cave forms part of the Lezetxiki archaeological complex which has yielded early Middle Palaeolithic tools and archaic human remains. We have identified three main clastic sedimentary processes as being significant at Lezetxiki II: 1) fluvio-karst or runoff processes, which are characterised by yellow sandy illite-rich microfacies; 2) infiltration processes, which produce a massive red silty-clay vermiculite-rich microfacies; and 3) inwash processes, which generate a
reworked illite and vermiculite rich silty sand microfacies. The most common post-
depositional processes observed are calcite precipitation infilling pore spaces, and
compression structures derived from specific vertical loading events. In order to
improve the chronological framework of the sedimentary sequence at Lezetxiki II, we
have revised previous radiometric and relative dating results from faunal and
archaeological remains and have dated the lowermost stratigraphic level using single-
grain thermally-transferred optically-stimulated luminescence dating. Sedimentation at
the Lezetxiki II cave started during Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 7 through fluvio-karst
processes. We interpreted that runoff prevailed during MIS 6, while soil infiltration
processes became more significant toward the MIS 5 optimum. Gradually, inwash
processes prevailed over infiltration until the end of the interglacial phase. During the
following glacial phases, runoff and erosion dominated but were subsequently replaced
by inwash processes during MIS 1.

**Keywords:** cave sedimentary processes; sedimentary petrology; single-grain dating;
paleoenvironmental changes; Lezetxiki II cave.

1. Introduction

Karstic caves are complex geological environments in which a great variety of
sedimentological processes can occur within a particularly limited area (Sasowsky and
Mylroie, 2007; White, 2007). As in any other sedimentary environment, the
sedimentary sequence in a cave represents records of local to regional
paleoenvironmental changes that took place during its deposition (White, 2007).
However, caves have been studied relatively less frequently than other sedimentary
environments, and are generally absent or poorly documented in generic sedimentological books (e.g., Reineck and Singh, 1980; Reading, 1996; Nichols, 2009; Boggs, 2012). This is probably because of their limited accessibility and the large variability of factors involved in cave sedimentation. An exception to this is the study of speleothems, which have attracted the interest of the scientific community because of the accurate paleoclimatic information they can preserve (Fairchild and Baker, 2012), their spectacular morphology and their diverse mineralogy (Hill and Forti, 1997).

As Karkanas and Goldberg (2013) point out, cave sedimentary sequence studies are generally based on field description of sedimentary facies and grain-size characteristics with an emphasis on coarse-grained sediments and sediments transported by karstic aquifers (Bull, 1981; Bosch and White, 2004). However, much of the cave sediments are fine-grained deposits, and despite being an important source of information, they have been under-studied compared to other unconsolidated sedimentary bodies (van der Meer and Menzies, 2011). Over recent years, microstratigraphic studies of cave clastic sediments have been growing in importance, in part because sedimentological information is required to better understand accumulation processes of archaeological remains (Turk, 2011; Canti and Huisman, 2015). Karkanas and Goldberg (2013) reviewed cave sediment micromorphology studies published pre-2010, and summarised the main depositional and post-depositional processes detected in caves, as well as their paleoenvironmental and diagenetic interpretation. A number of recent works have been published that show existing correlations between cave depositional and post-depositional processes with climatic oscillations (Inglis et al., 2017; Morley et al., 2017; Nejman et al., 2018), landscape evolution (Ward et al., 2017) and diagenetic evidence (Stephens et al., 2017). However, most cave sedimentary sequences provide
environmental information at a local scale, and normally additional geomorphological data from the surrounding area is needed to better understand the regional context.

During the past two decades several micromorphological studies have been carried out in cave clastic sediments at sites located within the Cantabrian Margin region (northern Iberian peninsula), where Lezetxiki II cave is located (Fig. 1). Courty and Vallverdú (2001) worked at El Mirón cave (located within the Cantabrian Margin) and another two caves, and found some correlation between environmental conditions and cave sedimentary process in a sequence spanning the late Upper Pleistocene to the early Holocene. Mallol et al. (2010) used micromorphology to show that limited post-depositional processes had affected the Esquilleu cave sequence since the Upper Pleistocene. Extreme flood events have been identified in speleothems from different caves located along the Cantabrian Margin, with chronologies spanning the Holocene and the Middle Pleistocene (Gázquez et al., 2014; González-Lemos et al., 2015a; 2015b). Lastly, Ballesteros et al. (2017) correlated MIS5d-c coarse-grained layers of a rhythmite deposits in the Torca la Texa shaft (Picos de Europa, Cantabrian Margin) with seasonal ice melting of surrounding glaciers, and fine-grained lamina forming by sedimentation of glacial flour during the non-melting season. These works have relatively limited geographical and chronological scope, and more data are now needed to improve our knowledge of the depositional and post-depositional processes affecting caves located in the Cantabrian Margin. Similarly, additional micromorphological information is needed to help better understand the climatic and geomorphological evolution of the region.

In this work we present a detailed study of the sedimentary sequence at Lezetxiki II cave (part of Lezetxiki archaeological complex, Arrasalte, Cantabrian Margin), which records sediment accumulation spanning the Middle Pleistocene through to the late
Holocene. The study is based in micromorphological analysis, and it follows on from previous work that investigated the provenance of the Lezetxiki II endokarstic sediments (Arriolabengoa et al., 2015). The aims of the current study are to (i) determine the sedimentary processes that took place within the cave during the various climatic cycles; (ii) understand how these sedimentary processes responded to ongoing environmental changes; and (iii) assess whether post-depositional events affected the sedimentary sequence through time. The results from this study will contribute towards our current knowledge on general cave sedimentary processes, aid in paleoenvironmental reconstruction at the local and regional scale, and provide a geological context for the archaeological and paleontological remains from the Lezetxiki archaeological complex, which is one of the most important sites in the northern Iberian Peninsula (Castaños et al., 2011; Arrizabalaga and Rios-Garaizar, 2012; Rofes et al., 2012; Villaluenga et al., 2012; Álvarez-Alonso, 2014; Arrizabalaga et al., 2014; Gómez-Olivencia et al., 2014; Rios-Garaizar et al., 2015a; Garcia-Ibaibarriaga et al., 2015).

2. Geological Context

2.1. Setting

The Lezetxiki II cave is situated in the northern margin of the Iberian Peninsula (Basque Country), near the village of Arrasate (Fig. 1), and within the upper Deba river basin, which discharges in the Cantabrian Sea. Within this basin, several caves preserve sedimentary sequences composed of distinct allostratigraphic units, where fluviokarstic
processes, both erosive and sedimentary, prevailed during cold periods, and flowstone formation was dominant in temperate periods (Aranburu et al., 2015).

The Lezetxiki II cave is part of the Lezetxiki archaeological complex and is formed within the Aptian-Albian stratified reef limestones (García-Mondéjar, 1990; EVE, 1992), which are traversed from north to south by the Bostiturrieta watercourse (Fig. 1). At least nine caves have been documented along the valley. The layout of these caves, as well as their phreatic geomorphologies in the horizontally developed galleries, suggest the presence of two cave levels in the Bostiturrieta valley (Expósito et al., 2015) that formed during pauses in base-level lowering. The upper level is situated at 20-30 m above the current stream level, while the lower cave level is equivalent to that of the stream. Three types of soils have been identified in the Bostiturrieta valley (Fig. 1b), and these represent the source materials (i.e., surface clastic sediments) that were remobilised and then redeposited inside the cave (Fig. 1). These include: 1) soils formed above siliciclastic rocks (S1), which, in a steep landscape, suffer relatively continuous erosion and soil rejuvenation (Velde and Meunier, 2008), and so are relatively richer in illite clay mineral; 2) soils above limestones (S2), which suffer less erosion than S1, and so are relatively richer in vermiculite, a pedogenic clay mineral formed from illite through loss of potassium; and, 3) a terra rossa-type soil (S3), which forms above limestone and is almost unaffected by erosion, and is therefore, the soil with the richest vermiculite mineral content (Arriolabengoa et al., 2015).

2.2. Lezetxiki Archaeological Site

The Lezetxiki archaeological site complex is located in the upper cave level, approximately 25 m above the current stream, between the entrance of the Leibar cave
and the end chamber of the Iturralde cave (Fig. 2). Both Leibar and Iturralde caves are actually part of the same cave system. However, the galleries connecting them were completely filled by sediments and they have therefore been treated as two separate caves. The sedimentary infill separating these two caves contains the archaeological and paleontological remains that make up the Lezetxiki site, where sedimentation began in the Middle Pleistocene (Arrizabalaga, 2006).

The site was first excavated from 1956 to 1968 (Barandiarán and Altuna, 1970) and then re-excavated from 1996 to 2016 (Arrizabalaga et al., 2004; Arrizabalaga, 2006). The second phase of fieldwork extended Barandiarán’s first excavation laterally (Fig. 2), and also included the opening of the Lezetxiki II cave pit, which was excavated between 2001 and 2011. The original trench excavated by Barandiaran starts from the so called "tunnel of Lezetxiki" and ends ~10 m below it, at the entrance of the Leibar cave (Fig. 2). The sedimentary sequence of this trench is divided into eight stratigraphic levels (Altuna, 1972). A Homo heidelbergensis humerus was found at this site (i.e., Leibar entrance), but its exact stratigraphic ascription is unknown (Basabe, 1966; Arrizabalaga, 2006). The main chronological study undertaken at Lezetxiki, which included ESR dating, as well as alpha and gamma spectrometry, dating of bones, produced uncertain results, and the most relevant information obtained from that study is that level VII (second level starting from the base of the sequence) must be younger than 260 ka (Falguères et al., 2005/2006). The authors reasoned that meteoric waters percolated the sediment and contaminated the dated bone samples, thus increasing their apparent age (Falguères et al., 2005/2006).

The trench excavated by Arrizabalaga (2nd excavation; Fig. 2) is 8 m deep, but work is currently ongoing and final results regarding its stratigraphy and chronology are not yet available. Villaluenga et al. (2012) studied the macro-fauna remains from levels L,
M, N and O (early Upper Pleistocene) of the 2nd excavation (Fig. 2), which are situated 5-6 m below the surface. A roof collapse occurred during the formation of these levels, separating the stratigraphic sequence into two different sedimentary environments: the upper part of the stratigraphy is classed as a rockshelter environment, while the lower part is classed as a cave environment.

Lezetxiki II is a small sediment-filled cave (6 m long and 3 m wide) that was originally excavated after the discovery that the cave was connected directly to the Leibar section. A trench of 4 x 1 m in area, and 3 m deep was excavated (Figs. 2, 3), until the presence of large limestone boulders impeded any further work. Lezetxiki II is topographically paired with the lower part of the other two excavation areas at Lezetxiki (Fig. 2), but the correlation between the various levels among the three trenches is still part of ongoing work. In this sense, the sedimentary context obtained in the present work will provide valuable information for improved correlations of the various infill sequences at Lezetxiki.

2.3. The Lezetxiki II cave stratigraphy

A sedimentary record of ca. 3.9 m was obtained from the excavated trench (Fig. 3). The sedimentary record encompasses eleven major lithostratigraphic levels - labelled from the base upwards, as K to A - and two main erosive surfaces (Fig. 3; Table 1). The bottom of the sequence is characterized by allochthonous fluviokarst sediments (levels K and J). With the exception of levels H, E and D, the higher levels are fine-grained deposits and have a massive structure. Levels H and E are also fine-grained levels, but level H is additionally composed of speleothem fragments, while in level E clastic sediments are cemented by calcium carbonate. Level D is the only in situ flowstone
level of the sequence, and has been dated to 74 ka using uranium series analysis by alpha spectrometry (Falguères et al., 2005/2006). Occasionally, subangular limestone boulders can be found randomly distributed along the sequence, which correspond to episodic cave roof breakdown events.

Arriolabengoa et al. (2015) were able to find two main sources for the clastic sediments using mineralogical and geochemical analysis of the cave sediments, and similar analyses performed on soils and rocks from the surrounding valley, as follows: i) the siliciclastic rocks of the upper valley and their soils (S₁) were carried to the cave by fluviokarstic processes and enriched the level in illite mineral. This process was dominant in level K, but decreased in importance in levels I, E and C; and ii) soils formed on limestone (S₂ and S₃) were eroded and introduced into the cave through the epikarst via infiltration processes, enriching some levels with vermiculite mineral. This process prevailed in level H, and to a lesser extent in levels G and B. The rest of the clastic sedimentary levels (levels J and F) preserve similar illite and vermiculite composition, and were interpreted as being affected equally by both processes (i.e., fluviokarst and infiltration).

3. Methods

The principal method used in this work is micromorphological analysis. However, we also present mineralogical data obtained by X-ray diffraction (XRD) in Arriolabengoa et al. (2015), since this helps with interpreting microfacies and sedimentary processes, and provides additional environmental information. Finally, we present new chronological data for level K obtained using single-grain thermally transferred optically stimulated luminescence (TT-OSL) dating of sedimentary quartz.
The micromorphological study focused on five unaltered sediment samples taken from levels I, H, G, F and B, including the contact between the levels (Fig. 3). Sampling was performed using aluminium kubiena boxes (7 cm wide and 13 cm long, taken vertically). Levels K, J and E could not be sampled due to the presence of a large number of pebbles. Level C was not sampled because of the fragile, non-cohesive nature of the sediment and level A was not studied because it is the modern altered surface layer. Thin sections were produced in the SCT Micromorphology and Image Analysis Laboratory at University of Lleida using the protocol developed by Benyarku and Stoops (2005). The thin sections were studied using an Olympus BH2 petrographic microscope equipped with an Olympus DP10 digital camera and Nikon Elements imaging software. Thin sections were described according to terminology used in soil micromorphology (Bullock et al., 1985; Stoops, 2003) and classical sedimentary petrology studies: these included descriptions of microfabric, microfacies and microstructure, grain size and shape, composition and distribution of skeleton grains and groundmass.

Mineralogical characterization of the sedimentary record was performed after obtaining one representative sample of loose sediment from each level. Due to the thickness of levels K and F, three samples were obtained for each at these two levels (Arriolabengoa et al., 2015) (Fig. 3). Whole-rock mineralogy was determined by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker D8 Discover diffractometer with DAVINCI design and the DIFFRACplus basic EVA software with ICDD database, at the Science and Technology Park in Burgos University. Air-dried samples were sieved at 2 mm, finely ground in an agate mortar to less than 63 µm particle size and processed using a continuous scan range of 2-80 °2θ with Cu Ka radiation (ceramic X-ray tube KFL-Cu, 40 kV, 40 mA) with a programmable divergence slit, and a LynxEye detector.
Semi-quantitative estimations were calculated from peak areas on XRD patterns. The clay fraction (<2 µm) mineralogy was identified with a PANalytical X'Pert Pro diffractometer at the Research Facilities (SGIker) of the University of the Basque Country. The samples were first decarbonated by treatment with 0.1 M HCl, washed several times with deionized water to avoid calcium chloride precipitation and the clay fraction was collected by centrifugation. The oriented aggregates were prepared by carefully pipetting the clay suspension onto glass slides that later were placed in a glass dessicator for 24 h with ethylene glycol solvent. Thermal treatments at 300°C and 550°C were also applied to identify the clay minerals following the procedures detailed in Arostegui et al. (2006). After each treatment step, the glass slides were measured by XRD with CuKa radiation (40 kV, 40 mA), graphite monochromator, a programmable divergence slit, and a PIXcel detector.

In order to obtain a better chronological understanding of the sedimentary sequence, a sediment sample (LZ12-6) was collected from level K for single-grain thermally transferred optically stimulated luminescence (TT-OSL) dating (e.g., Arnold and Demuro, 2015; Demuro et al., 2015). Single-grain TT-OSL provides an estimate of when sedimentary quartz grains were last exposed to light prior to burial at the site. This technique also offers the advantage of establishing extended-range depositional chronologies that exceed the traditional upper age limits of quartz OSL dating (Arnold et al., 2015). The TT-OSL sample was dated at the CENIEH Luminescence Dating Laboratory, Burgos (Spain). Equivalent dose ($D_e$) values were determined for individual quartz grains using the instrumentation, single-aliquot regenerative-dose (SAR) procedure and TT-OSL quality assurance criteria outlined in Arnold et al. (2014). The environmental dose rate for LZ12-6 was estimated using a combination of in situ field gamma spectrometry and low level beta counting, taking into account cosmic ray
contributions (Prescott and Hutton, 1994), an assumed minor internal alpha dose rate (Bowler et al., 2003), beta-dose attenuation and present-day water content.

4. Results

4.1. Micromorphology

In general terms, studied levels show a massive microstructure and porphyric coarse-fine (c/f) related distribution. However, there are differences between the type of fine and coarse sediment that characterizes each microfacies. The main micromorphological features are summarised in Table 2. It should be noted that some fissure type cracks could have developed during the sampling or preparation stages.

4.1.1. Level I

Level I has a massive microstructure and heterogeneous groundmass. The heterogeneous aspect of the microfacies in this level is due to poor mixing of two different sediment types: 1) a yellow sandy sediment (YSS) with greater content of medium-size quartz sand and yellow clays (Fig. 4a); and 2) reddish silty sediment (RsS), with less sand, richer in anorthic ferruginous nodules and red clays (Fig. 4a). Skeleton grain components are poorly sorted and are made up of rounded equidimensional opaque minerals 0.1-1.0 mm in size, aggregates of rip-up clasts, sand and granules that are 0.1-2.8 mm in size (Fig. 4b), <4 mm-diameter lutite pebbles with blade to rod shape, flat or rounded morphology and non-eroded microfauna bone fragments. Some bones are chemically altered (Fig. 4d), forming a secondary phosphate mineral precipitate as discussed by Karkanis et al. (2000). The porosity is low (10%)
and the pores are characterised by vughy and fissure types (Fig. 4a). In the upper part of the level, the pores are sometimes partially filled with calcite acicular crystals in a radial arrangement (Fig. 4c).

4.1.2. Level H

There are two microstructures in Level H. The first microstructure has a breccia-type structure and bimodal fabric (Figs. 5, 6a) formed by subangular speleothem fragments. Some of the fragments are in a sub-horizontal position, forming a pseudo-linear structure which reflects the bedding (Fig. 5). The skeleton grain is made up of flowstone-type speleothem fragments that are up to 1 cm thick. Most are altered, corroded and micritized, while a few preserve their original dendritic crystalline texture. Most of the speleothem fragments are upturned (Fig. 6a), others are on their side and a few are in the original growth position (Fig. 5). In addition to the speleothem fragments, some microfaunal bone remains and anorthic ferruginous grains can be observed. About the groundmass, two types of fine-sediments can be differentiated (Fig. 6b). The most common is a red homogeneous groundmass (RsS) (Fig. 6a, b), however, in the lower part of Level H there are two discontinuous intercalated layers of yellow sandy-silt sediment (YSS) (Figs. 5, 6).

The second microstructure is matrix-supported by RsS sediment (Fig. 6c, d), which gives it a massive microstructure and reddish homogeneous fine-sediment microfacies. It contains small rounded and totally micritized speleothem fragments that are 0.05 to 1 mm in size, and can be found "floating" in the groundmass. This microstructure alternates with the previous clast-supported microfacies in the upper part of the level (Fig. 6c, d).
Both microstructures display vesicular and vughy porosity that sometimes tends to be elongated in the vertical plane (Fig. 5).

4.1.3. Level G

Level G has a massive microstructure with a homogeneous groundmass consisting of reddish-brown sandy-silt sediment (RBS). The skeleton grains consist mainly of rounded and spherical allochthonous pebbles that are 0.3-1.0 cm in size, formed by sandstone and lutite lithoclasts, and opaque minerals, as well as a large number of bone fragments (0.2-3.4 mm), many with fractures occurring in situ (Fig. 7a, b). The groundmass is relatively homogeneous, with medium sand-size quartz grains and coarse silt (0.03-0.30 mm), as well as red clay. Some areas display clay-rich patches surrounded by a sandier matrix (Fig. 7c, d). Inside these clay-rich patches, there is an alternation between red clayey and siltier microfacies, showing possible original bedding of the sediments. Vertically elongated vesicular and vughy pores normally emerge from these fractured clay laminae patches (Figs. 7c, d). There are also a few fissure-type pores throughout the level.

4.1.4. Level F

Similar to the microfacies in level G, level F has a massive and fissure-type microstructure and homogeneous groundmass. The level consists of a sandy matrix with some vesicular and vughy porosity (Fig. 8). The skeleton grain is mainly comprised of very rounded and spherical allochthonous pebbles (0.3-1.0 cm) of sandstone and lutite lithoclasts, opaque minerals (Fig. 8) and 0.02-2.00 mm bone fragments (Fig. 8a). In the upper part of the level, one layer contains more anorthic ferruginous nodules, which slightly changes the ratio of skeleton grains to groundmass (Fig. 8b). The groundmass is
relatively homogeneous with quartz grains from coarse silt to medium sand (0.03-0.30 mm), as well as silt and brown clay (BS) (Fig. 8). Remains of modern roots can be seen in some of the pores.

4.1.5. Level B

The microfacies in level B are practically the same as those described in level F, apart from the presence of calcite crystals. Level B has a massive microstructure with a homogeneous brown sandy-silt groundmass (BS). The skeleton grains consist of centimetric fragments of speleothems, very rounded and spherical allochthonous sandstone and lutite lithoclast and anorthic ferruginous pebbles that are 0.3-1.0 cm in size, and 0.2-0.5 mm bone fragments. The matrix is relatively homogeneous, with coarse silt to medium sand (0.03-0.30 mm) quartz grains embedded in brown and reddish clays (Fig. 9). There is also a 4 x 5 cm area cemented by sparitic calcite crystals, which enclose aggregates of different clastic cements, some similar to the matrix, others seen here for the first time, as well as some anorthic ferruginous nodules (Fig. 9).

4.2. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) Mineralogy

The XRD results are given in Table 3. Due to the variety of clay-type microfacies differentiated in the micromorphological study, these mineralogical data have been re-evaluated and compared with the micromorphological study to determine whether or not they are complementary.

Bulk mineralogy data highlights that the calcite proportion in levels H and E is higher when compared to other levels. This is reasonable since one of the microstructures in level H was rich in flowstone fragments (Fig. 6), and level E appears
cemented by the calcium-carbonate rich waters probably filtrated from the upper flowstone level D (Fig. 3). The rest of the levels show less variability in their mineralogy. Levels C and B display larger quantities of calcite than the rest of the levels (except E and H). Finally, level K has relatively higher proportion of feldspar.

The clay mineralogy in the levels studied exhibit different proportions of illite, vermiculite and some kaolinite. There is a noticeably high proportion of illitic clay in level K, as well as in levels I and E, which contrasts with the smaller amount of illite and increasing values of vermiculite found in levels H, G and B (Table 3). Arriolabengoa et al. (2015) established that vermiculite originates from the loss of potassium from illite. The origin of kaolinite clay mineral is more difficult to determine, since part of it can be inherited from the rocks, and some from the weathering of feldspar grains.

4.3. TT-OSL dating

The single-grain TT-OSL dating results are summarised in Table 4 and Fig. 10. ~3.7% of the individually measured grains were deemed suitable for \( D_e \) determination after applying the single-grain quality assurance criteria of Arnold et al. (2014). The \( D_e \) distribution (n=84 grains) is normally distributed according to the log skewness test outlined by Arnold and Roberts (2011). The \( D_e \) dataset is also characterised by relatively low overdispersion of 25 ± 5%, and the \( D_e \) scatter is well-represented by the weighted mean burial dose (as indicated by the large proportion of individual \( D_e \) values lying within the 2\( \sigma \) grey band in Fig. 10). These favourable \( D_e \) distribution characteristics are considered to reflect sufficient optical resetting of the accepted grain population prior to burial, and the absence of post-depositional sediment mixing (e.g.,
Bailey and Arnold, 2006; Arnold and Roberts, 2009; Arnold et al., 2013). The final burial dose has therefore been calculated using the central age model of Galbraith et al. (1999), and the resultant single-grain TT-OSL age for sample LZ12-6 is 215.7 ± 15.1 ka (1σ uncertainty range).

5. Discussion

5.1. Endokarstic Sedimentary Processes

The microfacies observed and described in the different stratigraphic units are the result of the interaction of diverse sedimentary and post-depositional processes. To determine these processes, and to understand their interactions and possible connection with environmental changes, it is necessary to characterise and interpret the sedimentary data and microstructures observed.

5.1.1. Calcite precipitation

Calcite precipitates have been found in levels I, H and B. However, their characteristics and interpretations vary in every level. In level I, the precipitates were only found locally filling the vesicular porosity at the top of the level, and the XRD analysis barely detected calcite (Table 3). The tabular and acicular crystal growth is perpendicular to the surface of the alveolar porosity (Fig. 4c) and is produced by the percolation of water saturated in carbonate, which precipitates in the pores, often assisted by roots (Hill and Forti, 1997; Karkanas and Goldberg, 2010). As the overlying Level H contains a large quantity of carbonate (Table 3), it is very likely that the
carbonate-enriched water percolated and was later precipitated in the pore spaces of level I. This is therefore a post-depositional precipitation. In contrast, calcite in level H come from speleothem fragments (Figs. 5, 6). Fragments that still display structures marking the polarity of crystalline growth indicate that they have been redeposited (Fig. 6a) and have therefore not formed *in situ*. Even so, the fact that some fragments still preserve their original structure and are only slightly rounded shows that they were formed in close proximity and were not transported far. These calcite fragments probably formed through the circulation of a sheet of water (Ford and Williams, 2007), either in some inner part of the cave or coating the wall, and eroded or spalled when the sheet of water dried. The final example of calcite precipitation occurs in level B, where large sparite crystals agglutinate different types of lenticular micro-aggregates and anorthic ferruginous nodules (Fig. 9). Because of the rounded form of the cemented clast and the allochthonous micro-aggregates it contains, we believe that the level B calcite was not formed *in situ*, and is therefore considered to be a lithoclast.

5.1.2. Red and yellow clay matrix: source and transport mechanisms

The clays that form the different stratigraphic levels generally display a massive microstructure. Indeed, two main types of silty-clay matrixes were differentiated in this study, the reddish silty sediment (RsS) and the yellow sandy sediment (YSS) (Figs. 4a, 6b), which could be associated with both types of identified clastic sedimentary processes: infiltration and fluviokarstic processes (Arriolabengoa et al., 2015).

The groundmass in level H consists almost entirely of reddish silty-clay sediment (RsS) (Fig. 6), arranged massively (matrix-supported) and between speleothem fragments (clast-supported). RsS-type microfacies are characterised by the absence of allochthonous and coarse elements and good sorting of the massive red mud (aside from
speleothem fragments) and correspond to low-energy sedimentary environments. The bedding in this level is provided by the intercalated speleothem fragments, while the RsS does not show any internal lamination. The lack of internal structure is a primary feature that occurs under the following possible scenarios: i) rapid deposition from suspension in the absence of traction transport (Boggs, 2012); ii) deposition from very highly concentrated sediments - hyperconcentrated flow - (Bertran and Texier, 1999); or iii) due to the stability of the sedimentary process (Valen et al., 1997). The mineralogical data show that the RsS from level H has the highest quantity of vermiculite (Table 3), a pedogenic clay mineral abundant in terra rossa-type soils formed on limestone substrates, corresponding to soil infiltration processes previously identified in this cave (Arriolabengoa et al., 2015). Infiltration of the upper soils into the cave could have occurred through diffuse drainage, which would have introduced the red silty-clay sediment into the cave, as well as produce the dripping along the gallery. The accumulation of the dripping water in the gallery can create small local pools in which RsS would deposit from suspension, and form these massive, homogeneous and fine-sediment deposits. In addition, diffuse drainage does regulate the recharge in caves, making the process relatively constant (Audra and Palmer, 2013) which would help in the formation of an absent bedding.

On the other hand, level H also displays small intrusions of a yellow sandy sediment (YSS) (Figs. 5, 6b) that has a different mineralogical composition, indicating a different source area and a higher energy transport process (Courty et al., 2012). In this regard, level I has the highest proportion of YSS but it is always poorly mixed with RsS (Fig. 4). Level I also contains rip-up clasts and millimetric size rounded lithoclasts in the skeleton (Fig. 4b), indicating erosion and resedimentation of other allochthonous deposits by higher energy processes, such as water flooding (Knapp et al., 2007). The
mineralogical data show that the quantity of illite in level I is slightly lower than in level K (a fluviokarstic level), but higher than in the other stratigraphic levels (Table 3). A larger quantity of illite is characteristic of relatively young allochthonous soils formed on siliciclastic rocks in the valley (Arriolabengoa et al., 2015). It may, therefore, be concluded that the yellow sandy matrix (YSS) comes from the entry of allochthonous edaphic sediment through runoff or the entry of floodwater from a stream into the cave. The lack of bedding in those deposits could be related to hyperconcentration of the flow, which occurs in flood events if there is enough sediment available (Bertran and Texies, 1999).

Levels G, F and B are composed of a well-mixed RsS and YSS. Level G also shows a reddish-brown silt and sand (BRS) groundmass while levels F and B show a brown sandy-silt (BS) groundmass; all of them display a massive homogeneous appearance and are poorly sorted (Figs. 7b, 8, 9). The clay mineralogy exhibits intermediate illite/vermiculite ratios compared to those in levels H and I. In level G, a primary intercalation of RsS and YSS layers can be observed forming a subtle lamination (Fig. 7c, d). At the same time this level contains higher vermiculite content than levels F and B (Table 3). Therefore, we deduce that level G displays alternating periods of relatively larger supply of sediment derived from percolation, rather than runoff. This alternation has led to the formation of bedding, which has been almost entirely destroyed by diagenetic processes. In contrast, level F does not contain rip-up clasts from other levels or patches of yellow sand; as such runoff, or river flooding, did not deposit allochthonous sediments during the formation of this level. However, anorthic ferruginous nodule grains are abundant in some parts of this level (Fig. 8). We therefore deduce that these deposits could have formed from inwash events, when part of the soil in the surroundings areas was eroded and transported into the cave through small
entrances or shaft drains (Bosch and White, 2007). This type of process is more common during periods of low vegetation cover, when soil erosion is greater and materials can be remobilised (Courty and Vallverdu, 2001; Oliva-Urcia et al., 2014). The flow responsible for this could also have been hyperconcentrated in sediment, resulting in poor sorting of the microfabric (Courty and Vallverdu, 2001; Oliva-Urcia et al., 2014).

5.1.3. Post-depositional processes

In addition to the precipitation of secondary porosity-filling calcite in level I, other features also denote the action of post-depositional processes. In levels H and G, embedded in the red clay matrix (RsS), the vesicular and vughy porosity is sometimes elongated vertically (Figs. 6d, 7). This type of porosity forms when trapped air or water escapes due to pressure (van der Meer and Hiemstra, 1998; Phillips et al., 2007; Karkanas and Goldberg, 2013). Similarly, the fracturing of thin clay lamina in level G (Fig. 7c, d) are also interpreted as structures formed by porewater escape (Menzies et al., 2010; van der Meer and Menzies, 2011). In this interpretation, water was confined in the sand (YSS) layers and vesicular pores in the red clay (RsS) and escaped upwards by breaking the clay layers because of vertical compression. This post-depositional compression process is also observed in some microfauna bones from level G, which were broken in situ, indicating compression forces due to vertical loads (Fig. 7a, b). In cave dynamics, vertical pressure could be produced by roof collapse, however in Lezetxiki II this process has not been registered to occur with sufficient force. On the other hand, some studies have shown that broken-bone features can be formed due to trampling by large animals (e.g., Estévez et al., 2014). Taking into account that the upper level F is the richest level in Ursus spelaeus with 114 remains (Villaluenga et al.,
2012), we assume that the vertical pressure was caused by the presence of those bears. Finally, evidence of dissolution and amorphous features of some bones (Fig. 4d) indicates that authigenic phosphates might have formed in level I (Karkanas et al., 1999).

5.2. Cave Chronology

Resolving the chronostratigraphy of Lezetxiki II has previously proved contentious because of difficulties in obtaining reliable and precise radiometric ages over the time range of interest, and because of potentially unclear assignments of paleontological remains to specific stratigraphic levels. Three radiometric ages have been published previously for Lezetxiki II cave: Falguères et al. (2005/2006) obtained an U/Th age of 74 ka for the flowstone corresponding to level D, after applying a correction for the high clay content of the speleothem, while Castaños et al. (2011) obtained amino acid racemisation (AAR) ages of 70.0 ka and 86.8 ka for two Ursus teeth from level J. As part of the present study, we have obtained a new TT-OSL age of 215 ± 15.1 ka for sediment from the upper part of level K.

Following reassessment of the available chronological data, we interpret the AAR ages obtained from level J to be potentially compromised on the grounds of methodological complications, poor consistency with surrounding ages, and weak correlation with faunal climatic interpretations. Our latest MIS 7 TT-OSL age for level K, together with the MIS 5/4 age obtained by Falguères et al. (2005/2006) for the flowstone located 1.5 m above Level J, suggest that the intervening AAR ages may be too young. The climatic associations and the relative age obtained from faunal remains from level J also show poor correspondence with the existing MIS 5 AAR ages. In
particular, *Sicista betulina* (Rofes et al., 2012) found in level J is interpreted as a cold climate fauna, and its presence is more consistent with an MIS 6 age assignment, as inferred from the bracketing U/Th and TT-OSL ages. Additionally, the *Muscardinus* fossil found in level G is hypothetically linked to warm and humid conditions associated with an interstadial period of MIS 5 (Garcia-Ibaibarriaga et al., 2015), which would reinforce the apparent AAR age underestimation for the underlying level J. From a methodological perspective, the AAR ages may have been compromised by the choice of dating material or absence of a site-specific numerical calibration curve. Bones ultimately exhibit open system behaviour (Pike et al., 2002; Grün, 2006; Dobberstein et al., 2008), and numerical AAR ages obtained from such materials have been shown to be erroneous in comparable contexts (e.g., Rios-Garaizar et al., 2015b).

5.3. *Sedimentary evolution and palaeoenvironmental insight*

The Lezetxiki II cave is part of the upper cave level of the Bostiturrieta valley and was formed during an ancient stable base-level time interval (Expósito et al., 2015). The known sedimentary record of Lezetxiki II began to accumulate when the local phreatic level was still relatively close in height. The fluviokarstic deposits in level K belong to that initial phase. Taking into account that river incision rates in the Deba valley were ~0.08 mm/yr (Aranburu et al., 2015), and our latest TT-OSL study of the upper part of this level has yielded an age of 215 ± 15 ka (MIS 7), we can infer that the Bostiturrieta stream was more or less around 17.2 m above the current stream level. Probably the cave conduit was perched, but still the local phreatic level was close to the altitude of the floor of the cave (Fig. 11a). Level K also has an abundant microfauna assemblage
with no evidence of reworking and/or transportation (García-Ibaibarriaga, 2012), suggesting that fluviokarstic processes were not continuous.

Level J was subsequently deposited and, although it contains some finer fluvial gravel, the relative percentage of vermiculite clay in this level is higher than in level K (Table 3), indicating a greater influence of infiltration from karstic soils (Fig. 11b). Therefore, the proportion of sediments derived from fluviokarst processes decreased while infiltration processes from autochthonous soils overlying the karst increased, probably due to the ongoing incision of the river.

The overlying level I attests to flowing water depositing allochthonous siliciclastic material into the karst system (pedosediments derived from siliciclastic soils in the valley) and the resedimentation of previous endokarstic deposits (Fig. 11c). The change from greater sedimentation by percolation in level J to the runoff processes in level I might be a consequence of climate cooling and/or reduced precipitation, which would have resulted in a diminished vegetation cover and subsequent soil erosion and redeposition by runoff into the cave (Goldberg and MacPhail, 2000; Courty and Vallverdu, 2001). As the River Bostiturrieta was cutting through the valley, it would have been unable to transport centimetric sized cobbles into the cave during flood events, as it did in level J, and only sand and silt was introduced, together with rip-up clasts. The transition from level I to H is erosive (Fig. 5), reflecting the high-energy nature of the water courses that entered the cave.

Level H was deposited onto the irregular upper contact of level I (Fig. 5). Level H thins out towards the entrance and eventually disappears. During sedimentation of level H, runoff stopped and red clay infiltration and speleothem formation predominated (Fig. 11d), as evidenced by a large sedimentary change. Eventually, relatively small pools of water formed in the cave resulting in the deposition of percolating vermiculite-rich RsS
clay, while at times laminar sheets of water flowed and flowstone formed on nearby ground surfaces or cave walls. In the transition between the two processes, thin calcite flowstone clasts would have broken off and become resedimented on the quasi-horizontal surfaces, representing ancient cave floors (Fig. 5). During the onset of sedimentation in level H, high-energy water flows appear to have increased in frequency, depositing some sandy layers. In contrast, towards the top of the level, the sediment becomes finer, creating a matrix-supported deposit rather than clast-supported deposit (Figs. 6c, d). This might indicate a decrease in the intensity of water flows, likely in connection with thick vegetation cover and more developed soils that would retain surface runoff during relatively warm, wet periods (Li et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2015). Both the formation of speleothems (Stoll et al., 2013; Moreno et al., 2013; Aranburu et al., 2015) and the development of soils and vegetation cover (Courty and Vallverdu, 2001; Bertran et al., 2008; Karkanas et al., 2008) would have been associated with relatively warmer and wetter periods. Because of the great change in sedimentary dynamics, from runoff processes to infiltration processes, we hypothesize that this might reflect the transition from a glacial stage MIS 6 (level I) to an interglacial stage MIS 5 (level H).

Level G thins out towards the inner part of the cave, where it finally disappears (Table 1, Fig. 3). Its base is defined by a sharp contact and the disappearance of speleothem fragments. It is mainly formed by inwash sedimentation of hyperconcentrated sediment flows (i.e., YSS) alternating with periods dominated by infiltration (i.e., RsS). Level G would have been a transitional level with sedimentary processes changing from relatively warm and wet conditions, in which infiltration of soil and speleothem growth predominated (level H), to a level formed by repeated inwash at the cave entrance (level F), associated with drier and colder periods. In any
case, the presence of Muscardinus avellanarius in level G has been related to the warm, wet interstadial of MIS 5 (García-Ibaibarriaga et al., 2015). In addition, post-depositional microstructures caused by vertical compaction have been documented in both level G and level H. This vertical pressure was possibly created by the Ursus spelaeus that inhabited Lezetxiki II cave during the formation of level F (Villaluenga et al., 2012).

The transition between level G and F is gradual, as the red clay (RsS) content decreases slightly, supporting the hypothesis that level G is a transition level between two periods with different climates. During the formation of level F, inwash introduced sediment from the soils surrounding the cave (Fig. 11e). The flow energy was variable and, as a result, layers with coarser grain size have been found, consisting of a large amount of anorthic ferruginous nodule gravel (Fig. 8b). Therefore, the climate when level F was formed may have been cooler and drier than in previous levels, resulting in less dense vegetation cover and greater surface runoff, which would have eroded and redeposited soil sediment inside the cave (Courty and Vallverdú, 2011).

The grain size in level E is relatively coarse. However, this is not a primary feature, but is due to water percolation depositing the flowstone in level D and the formation of aggregates of cemented sediment. The flowstone in level D has been dated to 74 ka (Falguères et al., 2005/2006) and indicates the end of MIS 5 and the start of MIS 4.

The deposition of level C would have begun at the start of the relatively cold MIS 4-2 period (Stoll et al., 2013; Alvarez-Lao et al., 2015) (it contains Upper Palaeolithic archaeological remains). As the mineralogical traits of level C are very similar to those in level F (Table 3), it was probably also formed by sediment inwash into the cave. Additionally, one or several erosive processes (e.g., runoff) removed part of the endokarst sedimentary sequence in the area nearest to the cave entrance. These erosive
events in endokarst sequences appear to be characteristic of colder and drier conditions predominating during the transition from interglacial to glacial periods (Aranburu et al., 2015).

Level B, based on its Chalcolithic archaeological assemblage (Table 1), was deposited during MIS 1 on top of the aforementioned erosional surface. The surface between the two levels is almost imperceptible at a microscopic scale, as inwash processes produced sedimentation in both units.

6. Conclusions

Micromorphological analysis of the sedimentary record at Lezetxiki II, aided by XRD data, has enabled us to reveal correlations between paleoenvironmental changes and sedimentary processes in this cave, which started during MIS 7 (level K). A considerable sedimentary change is identified from level I to level H, where runoff processes that carry allochthonous sediment were replaced abruptly by low energy infiltration processes carrying autochthonous upper soils into the cave. We interpret this variation as reflecting abrupt climatic change, hypothetically associated with the MIS 6-MIS 5e transition. The remaining variations in microfacies were not as abrupt as from levels I to H. The changes from infiltration to inwash processes in level F, and their intensity, are interpreted as corresponding to the MIS 5 interstadial / stadial oscillations. Finally, level B showed practically the same microstructure and microfacie as level F, suggesting that during the main parts of these interglacial periods (i.e., MIS 5 and MIS 1) inwash processes were the most common occurrences at this site. In a general sense, the microstratigraphic changes registered in this work are consistent with other sedimentary records obtained from speleothem growth (Stoll et al., 2013) and
cave stratigraphy studies from the Cantabrian Margin (Aranburu et al., 2015), and help to further connect local and regional paleoenvironmental oscillations with global climatic changes.

The Lezetxiki archaeological complex contains a sedimentary record that is at least $215 \pm 15.1$ ka, and is currently one of the oldest prehistoric human sites in the northern Iberian Peninsula. The archaeological and paleontological remains at Lezetxiki II have not suffered severe diagenetic processes, and show limited instance of breakage and alterations in microfaunal bones. The data obtained in this work provide an overview of the sedimentary processes affecting the Lezetxiki cave environment and allow microstratigraphic correlations with the rest of the excavations. As such, this study represents the first step towards reconstructing the broader sedimentary history of the Lezetxiki archaeological complex. The application of similar micromorphological studies at other sites of the Cantabrian Margin should help to broaden our knowledge of how related sedimentary systems responded to regional paleoenvironmental changes, as well establishing firmer paleoenvironmental frameworks for understanding prehistoric human occupation patterns across the region.
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Figure captions

Fig. 1. (a) Iberian Peninsula, Cantabrian Margin and the location of the study area; (b) types of soil along the Bostiturrieta valley. The limit between S2 and S3 is extrapolated from a limited number of outcrops and is subject to change.

Fig. 2. (a) Position of the Lezetxiki archaeological complex and Lezetxiki II cave in the Bostiturrieta valley (modified from Expósito et al., 2015); (b) General view of the Lezetxiki archaeological site; (c) View from the Arrizabalaga excavation trench toward the Barandiaran excavation. Dashed line represents the limits of the Barandiaran excavation trench, while the diagonal lines at the background of the image represent the excavated profile; (d) Plan view of the Lezetxiki archaeological complex excavation trenches and Lezetxiki II cave location.
Fig. 3. Stratigraphic column and profile of the sedimentary sequence of Lezetxiki II cave (modified from Arriolabengoa et al., 2015), showing the location of the samples taken for micromorphological, X-ray diffraction and TT-OSL analysis. Levels A-K are described in Table 1.

Fig. 4. Microphotographs of level I: (a) Mixing of reddish silty sediment (RsS) and yellow sandy sediment (YSS), giving the level a heterogeneous aspect; (b) Rip-up clasts aggregates (A); (c) Vughy porosity filled with acicular crystals of CaCO₃ (C); (d) Fragments of bone (B) and altered bone (AB).

Fig. 5. Microstructure and microfacies of the lower part of Level H, showing the irregular boundary between Levels I and H. The position of the speleothem fragments according to the direction of crystalline growth, and intercalations of yellow sandy sediment (YSS) sediment.

Fig. 6. Microphotographs of level H: (a) Inverted speleothem fragment (S, the arrow shows the direction of crystal growth), embedded in RsS; (b) Intercalation of different sediment types (RsS and YSS), under cross-polarised light (XPL); (c) Alternation of the reddish clay microstructure (matrix support - MS) and the speleothem-fragment-supported microstructure (Clast support - CS); (d) RsS microfacies with many vesicular and vughy pores (V), under XPL.

Fig. 7. Microphotographs of level G. (a) and (b) In situ broken bone fragments (B), embedded in reddish-brown sandy silt sediment (RBS); (c) Clay-rich patches (P) areas surrounded by sandy matrix and vertically elongated pores (V), which have been interpreted as porewater escape structures. Blue arrows represent the water movement; (d) Detail of 6c microphotograph, showing the intercalation between red clayey and fine-sandy microfacies inside the clay-rich patches, representing the original bedding (OB).

Fig. 8. Microphotographs of level F. (a) Microvertebrate tooth fragment (T) embedded in brown sandy silt sediment (BS); (b) Anorthic ferruginous nodules (N) rich layer with vesicular and vughy pores (V); (c) vesicular voids (V) and sandstone clast (S) embedded in BS; (d) same as (c) under XPL.
**Fig. 9.** Microphotographs of Level B. (a) The area cemented by sparitic calcite crystals (S) embedding aggregates (A) of different composition, as well as some anorthic ferruginous nodules (N). Outside the cemented area, there is brown sandy sediment (BS); (b) detail of the previous photograph; (c) same as 8b under XPL; (d) BS microfacies with some modern root remains (R).

**Fig. 10.** Single-grain TT-OSL equivalent dose ($D_e$) distribution for sample LZ12-6, shown as a radial plot.

**Fig. 11.** Schematic evolution of sedimentary fill in Lezetxiki II cave and proposed evolution of depositional processes and environmental conditions. Red coloured soils represent a well-developed soil, while the yellow coloured soil represents a less developed soil. Colours of cave sediments are given representing each stratigraphic level used in Fig. 3.

**Table captions:**

**Table 1.** Main features of the stratigraphic levels in Lezetxiki II cave and their archaeological and paleontological content (modified from Arriolabengoa et al., 2015).

**Table 2.** Main micromorphological characteristics of the stratigraphic levels in Lezetxiki II cave.


**Table 4.** TT-OSL dose rate data, single-grain equivalent dose and final age for sample LZ12-6. The final TT-OSL age has been derived by dividing the weighted mean equivalent dose ($D_e$) by the total dose rate.
### Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratigraphic Level</th>
<th>Level description</th>
<th>Archaeological and paleontological remains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Ca. 20-30 cm-thick level, ending laterally against level C. Matrix-supported and consisting of silty sediment with gastropods and some subangular limestone boulders.</td>
<td>No archaeological remains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>10-40 cm-thick level, lying on an erosive surface. It ends laterally against levels E, D and C. Matrix-supported, consists of massive clayey-silt sediments with some subangular limestone boulders.</td>
<td>Chalcolithic potsherds and lithic industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Ca. 30 cm-thick laterally continuous level that is eroded towards the cave entrance. Matrix-supported, massive silty-clay with gastropods, pieces of flint and anorthic ferruginous nodule fragments.</td>
<td>Aurignacian lithic assemblage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Ca. 15 cm-thick speleothem flowstone with the outer part eroded.</td>
<td>No archaeological remains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Ca. 10-25 cm-thick, laterally continuous level eroded towards the cave entrance. Matrix-supported massive silty-clay sediment cemented by calcium carbonate.</td>
<td>No archaeological remains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ca. 1 m-thick, laterally continuous, matrix-supported, massive clayey-silt sediment. Coarse fraction components are few quartzite and anorthic ferruginous nodule pebbles, and few subangular limestone boulder.</td>
<td>Numerous faunal remains, especially <em>Ursus spelaeus</em> (Villaluenga et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Ca. 25 cm-thick, laterally disappearing towards the cave interior. Matrix-supported, massive silty-clay sediment. The coarse fraction consist in few subangular limestone boulders.</td>
<td>Mousterian lithic assemblage. Faunal remains, notably <em>Muscardinus avellanarius</em> (Garcia-Ibaibarriaga et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ca. 25 cm-thick level, laterally disappearing towards the cave entrance. Clast-supported deposit, consist on poorly sorted granule and pebble of calcite fragments and clayey matrix. Additionally it contains few subangular limestone boulders.</td>
<td>Mousterian lithic assemblage, faunal remains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Ca. 20-30 cm-thick, laterally continuous level, matrix-supported, massive silty-sand sediments with anorthic ferruginous nodule fragments. Additionally there is some subangular limestone boulder.</td>
<td>Mousterian lithic assemblage, faunal remains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Ca. 20-30 cm-thick, laterally continuous upward-finning sequence, from clast to matrix-supported, lying on an erosive surface. Silty sand matrix with well sorted cobbles and pebbles that consist of rounded allochtonous sandstone and anorthic ferruginous nodules. Additionally there is some subangular limestone boulder.</td>
<td>Some bone fragments, most notably <em>Sicista betulina</em> (Rofes et al., 2012) and <em>Macaca sylvanus</em> (Castaños et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Ca. 1 m-thick upward-finning sequence of clast-supported conglomerate. Clast are well sorted, varying from cobble to pebble and consisting of rounded allochtonous sandstone and anorthic ferruginous nodules. The level outcrops in the outer part of the cave.</td>
<td>No archaeological remains. Microfaunal remains</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratigraphic level</th>
<th>Micromorphological description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Matrix-supported, displaying massive microstructure, open porphyric coarse-fine (c/f) related distribution and undifferentiated b-fabric. The groundmass is BS type. Skeleton grains consist of speleothem fragments (40%), anorthic ferruginous nodules (30%), sandstone lithoclasts (15%) and lutite lithoclasts (15%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/B</td>
<td>Gradual, barely perceptible. Appearance of calcite crystals filling some of the fissure porosity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Matrix-supported, displaying a massive and fissure-type microstructure, mainly an open porphyric c/f related distribution - also a single spaced porphyric in the upper part of the level -, and undifferentiated b-fabric. The groundmass is BS type. Skeleton grains consist of anorthic ferruginous nodules (50%), sandstone lithoclast (20%), lutite lithoclasts (20%) and bone fragments (10%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G/F</td>
<td>Gradual contact. The groundmass change gradually from RBS to BS, and the proportion of allochthonous components increase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Matrix-supported, displaying a massive microstructure, open porphyric c/f related distribution and undifferentiated b-fabric. The groundmass is RBS type. Skeleton grains consist of microfauna bones (40%), anorthic ferruginous nodules (30%), lutite pebbles (20%), and sandstone pebbles (10%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H/G</td>
<td>Irregular contact marked by the absence of speleothem fragments and the appearance of RBS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Alternation of clast-supported and matrix-supported microfabric. Clast-supported, displaying a massive microstructure, close porphyric c/f related distribution and undifferentiated b-fabric. The groundmass is basically RsS, with some YSS (YSS/RsS ratio is 20/80). Skeleton grains consist of sub-angular flowstone fragments (95%), microfauna bones (3%) and anorthic ferruginous grains (2%). Matrix-supported, displaying an open porphyric c/f related distribution and undifferentiated b-fabric. The groundmass is RsS (100%). Skeleton grains are speleothem fragments (100%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/H</td>
<td>Highly irregular contact marked by the appearance of speleothem fragments and RsS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Matrix-supported, displaying a massive microstructure, single spaced porphyric c/f related distribution and undifferentiated b-fabric. The groundmass present YSS and RsS irregularly mixed (YSS/RsS ratio is 55/45). Skeleton grains are rounded rip-up clasts (50%), anorthic ferruginous nodules (25%) and lutite lithoclasts (25%).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratigraphic Level</th>
<th>Bulk sample (%)</th>
<th>Clay minerals (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qtz</td>
<td>Cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>46.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K1</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Grain size (μm)</th>
<th>Measured water content</th>
<th>Environmental dose rate (Gy/ka)</th>
<th>Equivalent dose (Dₑ) data</th>
<th>TT-OS L</th>
<th>Age (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LZ12 -6 K</td>
<td>90 – 125</td>
<td>17 ± 2</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>3.29 ± 0.15</td>
<td>84 / 2300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Field water content, expressed as % of dry mass of mineral fraction, with an assigned relative uncertainty of ±10%.

*b* Calculated on dried and powdered sediment samples using a Risø GM-25-5 low-level beta counter.

*c* Specific activities and radionuclide concentrations have been converted to dose rates using the conversion factors given in Guérin et al. (2011), making allowance for beta-dose attenuation (Mejdahl, 1979; Brennan, 2003).

*d* Calculated from in situ measurements made at each sample position with a NaI:Tl detector, using the ‘energy windows’ approach (e.g., Arnold et al., 2012).

*e* Cosmic-ray dose rates were calculated using the approach of Prescott and Hutton (1994), and assigned a relative uncertainty of ±10%.

*f* Mean ± total uncertainty (68% confidence interval), calculated as the quadratic sum of the random and systematic uncertainties.

*g* Includes an internal dose rate of 0.03 Gy/ka with an assigned relative uncertainty of ±30%.

*h* Number of Dₑ measurements that passed the SAR rejection criteria of Arnold et al. (2014) and were used for Dₑ determination / total number of grains analysed.

*i* The relative spread in the Dₑ dataset beyond that associated with the measurement uncertainties for individual Dₑ values, calculated using the central age model (CAM) of Galbraith et al. (1999).

*j* The CAM was used to calculate the final Dₑ of as this sample had a low overdispersion value, consistent with that observed in ‘ideal’ well-bleached and unmixed sample from similar settings (Arnold and Roberts, 2009; Arnold et al., 2014; Demuro et al., 2014).

*k* Total uncertainty includes a systematic component of ±2% associated with laboratory beta-source calibration.
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