# Accepted Manuscript

Oxidation kinetics of sardine oil in the presence of commercial immobilized lipases commonly used as biocatalyst

Ángela García Solaesa, María Teresa Sanz, Rodrigo Melgosa, Sagrario Beltrán

PII: S0023-6438(18)30446-8

DOI: 10.1016/j.lwt.2018.05.032

Reference: YFSTL 7142

To appear in: LWT - Food Science and Technology

Received Date: 22 January 2018

Revised Date: 10 May 2018

Accepted Date: 11 May 2018

Please cite this article as: Solaesa, Á.Garcí., Sanz, Marí.Teresa., Melgosa, R., Beltrán, S., Oxidation kinetics of sardine oil in the presence of commercial immobilized lipases commonly used as biocatalyst, *LWT - Food Science and Technology* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2018.05.032.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1	Oxidation kinetics of sardine oil in the presence of
2	commercial immobilized lipases commonly used as biocatalyst
3	Ángela García Solaesa, María Teresa Sanz*, Rodrigo Melgosa, Sagrario Beltrán
4	Department of Biotechnology and Food Science (Chemical Engineering Section),
5	University of Burgos, 09001 Burgos. Spain
6	Abstract
7	Oxidation kinetics of sardine oil have been determined at 40, 65 and 90°C by measuring
8	concentration of primary and secondary oxidation products in the presence of
9	commercial immobilized lipases (Lipozyme 435, Lipozyme RM and Lipozyme TL)
10	commonly used as biocatalyst in lipid modification reactions. Oxidation products
11	concentration was found to be lower when the immobilized lipases were added at the
12	highest temperatures studied. The lowest oxidation indices were observed in the
13	presence of Lipozyme RM.
14	Although the mechanism to explain this decrease in the oxidation products is not still
15	clear, these results might indicate that the use of these immobilized lipases in lipase-
16	catalyzed reactions of fish oils at high temperature (90°C) will yield higher reaction
17	rates but also a reduction of the oxidation products formed due to oxidation of
18	polyunsatured fatty acids.

*Keywords:* fish oil, omega-3, oxidation products, commercial immobilized lipases.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 947 258810. Fax: ++34947258831. E-mail address tersanz@ubu.es

#### 20 **1. Introduction**

21 Fish oil has high amounts of omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3 PUFA), mainly eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, 20:5n-3) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22 22:6n-3) which have been reported to protect against the development of many diseases 23 (Solaesa, Sanz, Falkeborg, Beltrán, & Guo, 2016). However, despite the high nutritional 24 value of these products, the high degree of unsaturation makes fish oils very prone to 25 autoxidation. The resulting breakdown products cause off-flavors and rancidity, loss of 26 nutritional value and finally consumer rejection (Gómez-Alonso, Mancebo-Campos, & 27 Salvador, 2004). The degree and rate of lipid oxidation is influenced by the unsaturation 28 29 of fatty acids, oxygen concentration, temperature, surface area, water activity and 30 presence of anti- and prooxidants. Temperature has also an important impact on lipid oxidation since an increase in temperature accelerates oxidation rates. 31

32 The process of lipid oxidation can be described in three general steps: initiation, propagation and termination. The concentrations of primary and secondary oxidation 33 products can be measured quantitatively and therefore give an indication of the 34 oxidative status of the oil. The hydroperoxide content, as primary oxidation products, is 35 usually determined by the peroxide value (PV) assay. Secondary oxidation products can 36 37 be determined by anisidine value (AV) and thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) assays. AV measures mainly 2-alkenals and 2,4-dienals. On the other hand, 38 the cyclic peroxides formed by autoxidation from polyunsaturated fatty acids with three 39 40 or more double bonds are the most important precursors of malonaldehyde and hence source of TBARS (Hoyland & Taylor, 1991). Polyene index (PI) is also used as a good 41 indicator of PUFA deterioration in fish oils, defined as (EPA + DHA): palmitic acid 42 ratio (Pazhouhanmehr, Farhoosh, Sharif, & Esmaeilzadeh, 2016). 43

Omega-3 concentrates can be produced by lipase-catalyzed reactions since they can be 44 carried out under mild conditions in short reaction times compared with chemical 45 processes. Some widely used commercial immobilized lipases used in enzymatic 46 reactions are from *Candida antarctica* (Novozym 435 and Lipozyme 435), *Rhizomucor* 47 miehei (Lipozyme RM IM) and Thermomyces lanuginose (Lipozyme TL IM). In a 48 previous work (Solaesa, Sanz, Melgosa, & Beltrán, 2017), it was found that the 49 oxidation status of the final reaction products of glycerolysis of sardine oil at 60 and 50 90°C catalyzed by the commercial immobilized lipase, Lipozyme 435, was even lower 51 than the oxidation status of the initial sardine oil, determined as PV and AV. Especially, 52 hydroperoxide content decreased in a greater extend at the highest reaction temperature 53 assayed. Therefore, an increase in reaction temperature, not higher than the maximum 54 denaturation temperature for the lipase, was positive since reaction rate increased and 55 56 lower oxidation status of the final products was determined.

Based on those previous results, in this work, a systematic study of the oxidation 57 58 products formation of sardine oil in the presence of three commercial immobilized lipases commonly used in lipid modification reactions has been carried out in the 59 temperature range where lipase catalyzed reactions usually take place. The commercial 60 lipases used, immobilized onto different supports, were Lipozyme 435, Lipozyme RM 61 and Lipozyme TL. Autoxidation kinetics of sardine oil, as a control, were first 62 determined at the temperatures selected in this work (40, 65 and 90°C) to determine the 63 net formation rate of the primary and secondary oxidation products. Afterwards, sardine 64 oil was incubated at the same temperatures in contact with the different immobilized 65 lipases to evaluate the reduction of the oxidation products concentration in the presence 66 of these immobilized lipases. 67

#### 68 **2. Materials and methods**

#### 69 2.1 Materials

Refined sardine oil was provided by Industrias Afines S.L. (Spain). Three commercial immobilized lipases were used in this work. Lipozyme 435 from *Candida antarctica* B and Lipozyme TL IM from *Thermomyces lanuginosus* were kindly donated by Novozymes A/S (Bagsvaerd, Denmark). Lipozyme RM IM, from *Rhizomucor miehei*, was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. The support characteristics of these immobilized lipases are summarized in **Table 1**. All other chemicals used in the different analyses performed in this work, were of analytical or HPLC grade.

#### 77 2.2 Oxidation kinetics of sardine oil

First, autooxidation kinetics of sardine oil were evaluated at three different temperatures
40, 65 and 90 °C as control samples.

For each experiment, stoppered erlenmeyer glass flasks were filled with 70 g of refined sardine oil and immediately applied a nitrogen stream in the flask. Afterwards they were perfectly closed and covered with foil paper to avoid the light exposure. Sardine oil samples were incubated in a water bath with orbital agitation at the corresponding temperature. At different time intervals, during10 h, aliquots were withdrawn to follow the oxidation kinetics.

When the oxidation kinetics were determined in the presence of the immobilized lipases, the sardine oil was put in contact with the different immobilized commercial lipases, Lipozyme 435, Lipozyme RM and Lipozyme TL, at 3.5% w/w concentration. This concentration was found to be suitable for lipid modification reactions (Solaesa et al., 2016). As for the autooxidation kinetics, samples were withdrawal at different time intervals during 10 h.

All samples were stored at -18°C up to oxidation products analysis. Duplicate
experiments were carried out at each temperature.

#### 94 2.3 Determination of primary oxidation products: peroxide value (PV)

PV was determined by iodometric titration following the AOAC Official Method
(AOAC Official Method 965.33, 2000) by an automatic titrator Methrom 905 Titrando.
PV was expressed as milliequivalents of O<sub>2</sub> per kilogram of sample. All samples were
analyzed in triplicate.

### 99 2.4 Determination of secondary oxidation products

100 2.4.1 Anisidine value (AV)

101 The AV was measured according to AOCS Official Method (AOCS Official Method Cd
102 18-90, 2017), using a UV-Visible spectrophotometer at 350nm. All samples were
103 analyzed in triplicate.

104 2.4.2 Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) assay

105 TBARS were determined according to the spectrophotometric method described by 106 Norveel Semb in her Master's Thesis (Norveel Semb, 2012). The method is based on the 107 formation of a pink complex with strong absorbance at 532-535nm due to the presence 108 of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS). TBARS is expressed as mg of 109 malondialdehyde (MDA) per kilogram of oil. All samples were analyzed in triplicate.

### 110 2.5 Fatty acid analysis

The initial sardine oil and the oil samples after 10 h of incubation at 40, 65 and 90°C were analyzed by the AOAC method (AOAC Official Method 991.39, 2000) to evaluate the fatty acid profile and the polyene index (EPA+DHA/16:0). An Agilent gas chromatograph (6890N Network GC System) equipped with a flame ionization detector

(FID) and a fused silica capillary column (OmegawaxTM-320, 30 m x 0.32 mm i.d.)
was used. The method and the calibration procedure was developed previously (Solaesa
et al., 2016). The samples were analyzed in triplicate.

118

#### 2.6 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using software Statgraphics X64. Control 119 experiments and oxidation status of sardine oil in contact with the immobilized lipases 120 were carried out in duplicate. For each oxidation product, analysis was performed in 121 122 triplicate. The results are presented as a mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. The significance of the differences was determined based on an analysis of the variance with the Tukey's 123 honestly significant difference (HSD) method at p-value  $\leq 0.05$ . For each oxidation 124 125 product, significance difference has been determined among the three lipases at the three operating temperatures at a specific contact time. ANOVA was also performed to 126 analyze the statistical significance of time by comparing oxidation product 127 concentration for each enzyme and temperature along time. 128

129 The estimation of the parameters for the models tested in this work was performed by130 using the Marquardt algorithm (Statgraphics X64).

- 131 **3. Results and discussion**
- 132

## 3.1 Chemical quality of initial sardine oil

The fatty acid profile and some quality parameters of the supplied refined sardine oil used in this work are presented in **Table 2**. The fatty acid profile was similar to those previously reported for sardine oil (Homayooni, Sahari, & Barzegar, 2014; Noriega-Rodríguez et al., 2009; Okada & Morrisset, 2007; Solaesa, Bucio, Sanz, Beltrán, & Rebolleda, 2014). The polyene index (PI) of the supplied refined sardine oil used in this work was 1.39 (**Table 2**) being similar to the PI value reported for sardine oil

139 (Homayooni et al., 2014). Other important quality parameters such as acid value, PV and AV for the supplied refined sardine oil were  $0.45 \pm 0.04$  mg KOH/g,  $4.8 \pm 0.6$  meq 140  $O_2/kg$  and 19.7  $\pm$  0.4, respectively. These values were very close to the limits allowed 141 by GOED Voluntary Monograph (GOED, 2015), which are 0.5 mg KOH/g for acid 142 value, 5 meq O<sub>2</sub>/kg oil for PV and 20 for AV. Therefore, the supplied refined sardine oil 143 used in this work was partially oxidized and oxidation reaction rates could be faster due 144 to the presence in the medium of oxidation products. According to Toro-Vázquez et al. 145 146 (1993) the induction period was reduced as initial peroxide value increased, based on their study of corn oil oxidation. The refined sardine oil used in this work presented a 147 value of 46 mg of MDA/kg. Regarding TBARS content, the maximum is not clearly 148 specified. A document by FAO establishes for fresh fish oil a TBARS value of 50 mg of 149 MDA/kg fish oil, although it is referred to fishes feed (Masson S, 1994). The induction 150 151 period (h) by Rancimat, determined at 70°C and 20 L/h of air flow rate was 7.2 hours, similar to that obtained by Noriega et al. (Noriega-Rodríguez et al., 2009) for crude and 152 153 deodorized sardine oil (10.4 and 17.7 h, respectively) using Rancimat at 60°C and 7 L/h 154 of air flow rate.

155

# 3.2 Oxidation kinetics of sardine oil at different temperatures

156 *3.2.1 Kinetic aspects of oxidation products* 

Peroxide values were determined over time during 10 h at 40, 65 and 90°C (Fig. 1a). At any incubation time, hydroperoxide content increased with incubation temperature. Fig. 1a also shows that peroxide value continuously increased with time during 10 h. This means that hydroperoxide rate formation was still higher than hydroperoxide rate formation in the period of time covered in this work for all the temperatures.

162 The kinetics of lipid oxidation is not an easy task. Reactions rates are usually described by a pseudo-zero, pseudo-first and pseudo second order reactions. In any case, the order 163 or the reaction rate does not comply with the stoichiometry of the reaction (Kamal-Eldin 164 & Yanishlieva, 2005). Labuza and Bergquist (Labuza & Bergquist, 1983) found that 165 lipid oxidation were half-order with respect to pure lipids in model systems. However, 166 in complex food systems the data sometimes fit zero order as well (Labuza & Bergquist, 167 1983). In this work, formation of primary oxidation products followed a pseudo-zero 168 order kinetic model: 169

170  $PV = PV_o + k \cdot t$ 

[1]

where  $PV_0$  denotes the initial peroxide value and k is the reaction rate constant for a 171 zero order reaction (meq  $O_2 \cdot kg \text{ oil}^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$ ). Reaction rate constants, k, were determined 172 from the slope of plotting the PV as a function of incubation time. Table 3 lists the 173 reaction rate constant for the three temperatures assayed in this work, together with the 174 quality of the fitting. Pseudo-first and pseudo-second order kinetics were also tried, but 175 176 the fitting was worse than for pseudo-zero order reaction. Gomez-Alonso et al. (Gómez-Alonso et al., 2004) also found that formation of primary oxidation products of olive oil 177 in the temperature range from 25 to 75°C followed a pseudo-zero order kinetics. 178

**Fig. 1b** and **1c** show the variation of the AV and the TBARS content, respectively, over time at the three temperatures studied in this work. It can be observed that, both AV and TBARS content, continuously increased with time and temperature in the first 10 h of incubation time, mainly at the highest temperatures, 90°C and 65°C. At 40°C the oxidation rates were slower and the secondary oxidation products concentration did not increase in a great extent. Comparing **Fig. 1a, 1b** and **1c** it can be observed that generation of secondary oxidation products was taking place simultaneously with

186 hydroperoxides formation. In the temperature range covered in this work for 10 h of 187 incubation time, hydroperoxide formation was still higher than its decomposition into secondary oxidation products. Similar behavior has been also observed for vegetables 188 189 oils, such as olive and rapeseed oil, in which it was observed that secondary oxidation products begin to be formed nearly at the same time as hydroperoxides generation 190 (Guillen & Cabo, 2002). Gomez-Alonso et al. (Gómez-Alonso et al., 2004) proposed a 191 pseudo-first order reaction for the formation of secondary oxidation products, 192 193 determined as AV, in olive oil. However, similar to hydroperoxide formation, the best fitting for AV and TBARS content was obtained for a pseudo-zero order reaction. 194 Reaction rate constants for this model are collected in **Table 3** together with the quality 195 of the fitting. 196

197 The kinetics of PUFA secondary oxidation products formation has not yet been well 198 investigated (Kamal-Eldin & Yanishlieva, 2005). From **Fig. 1b** and **1c** and the values of 199 the kinetic constant, it can be observed that TBARS content formation increased faster 200 than AV. Some authors support the theory that TBARS are formed in considerable 201 amounts mainly from PUFA's containing three or more double bonds (Hoyland & 202 Taylor, 1991). Therefore, the faster increase of TBARS content in comparison with AV 203 could be probably due to the high PUFA content of the refined sardine oil.

The polyene index was determined at the end of the kinetics at the three temperatures. It did not change significantly compared to the initial composition of sardine oil. Small variations were observed but those were within the variability associated to the chromatographic method. This result agrees with the work of Sullivan et al. (Sullivan, Suzanne, Jovica, & Jean, 2015) in the study of oxidation rates of triacylglycerols and ethyl esters of fish oil. These authors suggested that GC-FID might not be sensitive

enough to detect changes in EPA and DHA concentration that could take place as aconsequence of oxidation.

#### 212

### 3.2.2 Thermodynamic aspects of sardine oil oxidation

The dependence of the kinetic rate constant on temperature is usually represented by anArrhenius type relationship:

[2]

215 
$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_{o} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E_{a}}{RT}\right)$$

where k is the reaction rate constant,  $k_o$  is the pre-exponential factor,  $E_a$  the activation energy and R the universal gas constant. **Fig. 2a** shows the Arrhenius plot for the primary and secondary oxidation products of sardine oil. The activation energy for each oxidation product formation is reported in **Table 3**. The errors associated to the activation energy values for each oxidation product have been evaluated through the slope-error of a least square line-fitting algorithm.

For the hydroperoxide formation, an activation energy of 37.1 kJ/mol was obtained. 222 This value was compared with other studies found in the literature, which are 223 summarized in Table 4. Yin et al. (Yin & Sathivel, 2010) obtained a similar value for 224 the E<sub>a</sub> (30.9 kJ/mol) in their study for hydroperoxides formation in the unrefined 225 menhaden oil in the temperature range from 45 to 85 °C and Sathivel et al. (Sathivel, 226 Huang, & Prinyawiwatkul, 2008) also reported similar E<sub>a</sub> (33.2 kJ/mol) for the 227 hydroperoxides formation in the unrefined pollock oil. **Table 4** also reports E<sub>a</sub> for some 228 229 vegetable oils being more temperature-dependent than fish oils autooxidation. The lower E<sub>a</sub> for fish oils is expected because less energy should be required to initiate 230 oxidation due to the higher n-3 PUFA content compared to vegetable oils. Furthermore, 231 vegetable oils have high content of natural antioxidants, such as tocopherols (Lee, Lee, 232 & Choe, 2007). 233

234 The dependence on temperature of the reaction rate constants of the secondary oxidation products formation followed also an Arrhenius type relationship with activation energy 235 of 55.9 kJ/mol when they were determined as AV and 35 kJ/mol when they were 236 237 determined as TBARS. The higher activation energy for the formation of non-volatile secondary oxidation products determined as AV demonstrates that is more temperature 238 sensitive than hydroperoxides and TBARS formation. For formation of both, 239 hydroperoxides and TBARS, similar values of E<sub>a</sub> were obtained, according to the 240 241 mechanism proposed by some authors for malonaldehyde formation from peroxides which are produced from fatty acids containing three or more double bonds (Hoyland & 242 Taylor, 1991). 243

Enthalpy  $(\Delta H^{\neq})$  and entropy  $(\Delta S^{\neq})$  of activation were also estimated by applying the equation of Eyring (Eq. 3), by plotting ln (k/T) vs 1/T:

246 
$$ln\frac{k}{T} = ln\frac{k}{h} + \frac{\Delta S^{\neq}}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^{\neq}}{RT}$$
[3]

where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant (1.38065  $\cdot$  10<sup>23</sup> J/K) and h is the Planck's constant 247 (6.62608 · 10<sup>-34</sup> J · s). Fig. 2b shows the Eyring plot for kinetics of the primary and 248 secondary oxidation products of sardine oil. According to units of constants in Eyring 249 equation, units of k should be s<sup>-1</sup>. However, primary and secondary oxidation products 250 formation was good described by zero order reaction rate. Although units of Erying 251 equation won't cancel each other, in this work, it was assumed that comparison of 252 temperature dependence among the different oxidation products could be established 253 254 since all the oxidation products determined in this work were fitted to zero order 255 reaction rate. In any case, for the Eyring plot, time units in the reaction rate constants 256 were expressed as s. A straight line was obtained with negative slope ( $-\Delta H/R$ ) and a y-257 intercept ( $\Delta$ S/R+ln(k<sub>B</sub>/h)). Therefore, from the slope and the intercept, the values of  $\Delta$ H<sup> $\neq$ </sup>

and  $\Delta S^{\neq}$  have been calculated, and presented in **Table 3**.  $\Delta H^{\neq}$  is the energy involved in the process during oxidation, the positive value of  $\Delta H^{\neq}$  for the primary and secondary oxidation products indicated that the oxidation process is endothermic. The higher value of  $\Delta H^{\neq}$  for AV, compared to PV and TBARS indicated lower reactivity.

 $\Delta S^{\neq}$  is related to the degree of disorder of a system, in a spontaneous process the energy of the system tends to decrease, while the total entropy tends to increase (Silva Rodrigues et al., 2017). The negative value for  $\Delta S^{\neq}$ , in all the oxidation reactions, was a result of association mechanism between reacting species to form the transition state during the reaction. The Gibb's free energy of activation ( $\Delta G^{\neq}$ ) was calculated for each temperature by the thermodynamics fundamental equation:

$$\Delta G^{\neq} = \Delta H^{\neq} - T\Delta S^{\neq}$$
<sup>[4]</sup>

Negative values of  $\Delta G^{\pm}$  indicate a spontaneous reaction, while positive values indicate nonspontaneous reaction. **Table 3** also reported the  $\Delta G^{\pm}$  at the three temperatures for all the oxidation kinetics. The positive values of the Gibbs's free energy of activation also showed the non-spontaneity of this process. The increase of  $\Delta G^{\pm}$  with temperature observed was related to the endothermic oxidation mechanism (Pazhouhanmehr et al., 2016).

The errors associated to the values of the different thermodynamic parameters presented in **Table 3** have been evaluated through the slope or intercept error of a least square line-fitting algorithm and in the case of  $\Delta G^{\neq}$  combining the uncertainties from  $\Delta H^{\neq}$  and  $\Delta S^{\neq}$  according to the error propagation theory.

*3.3 Oxidation status of sardine oil in contact with different immobilized lipases* 

Table 5 presents the different PV, AV and TBARS over time for sardine oil in contact
with the three immobilized lipases selected in this work, at different incubation
temperatures, 40, 65 and 90°C.

When sardine oil was in contact with the immobilized lipases, PV were much lower 283 than the values corresponding to the control samples (Fig. 1a) at the highest 284 285 temperatures studied in this work (65 and 90 °C), being even lower than the initial PV for sardine oil. This decrease in primary oxidation products can be the result of a 286 complex balance between formation, decomposition, adsorption capacity of the 287 immobilized lipase support and also reaction of primary oxidation products with the 288 own lipase. This complex balance seems to be favored by an increase in temperature 289 (Table 5). At 10 h of contact time, at the highest temperature, 90 °C, PV of sardine oil 290 in contact with Lipozyme RM, immobilized on a weak base anion exchange resin 291 (Rodrigues & Fernandez-Lafuente, 2010), reached the lowest value, followed by 292 293 Lipozyme 435, immobilized on a macroporous resin of poly-methylmethacrylate. The same trend was observed at 65 and 40°C. However, at 40°C the PV of sardine oil in 294 295 contact with the immobilized lipases was no significantly different than those reported 296 previously for oxidation of sardine oil at 40°C in the control samples for Lipozyme RM and Lipozyme 435, while for Lipozyme TL, even higher values were obtained. 297 298 Therefore, at 40°C hydroperoxides formation was still probably promoted.

Regarding secondary oxidation products content, determined as AV and TBARS,
sardine oil incubated with the immobilized lipases presented also lower levels than the
corresponding to the control samples at the highest temperatures, 90 and 65°C (see Fig.
1b and 1c). AV was slightly lower than the initial value of the sardine oil, 19.7, for
samples in contact with Lipozyme RM after 10 h, 17.4 at 90°C. For the other two

immobilized lipases, AV continuously increased, although at slower rate than in thecontrol samples in the absence of immobilized lipases.

In the case of TBARS content, at the highest temperatures, 90 and 65°C, much lower values were obtained compared to the values reported for the control oil in the absence of immobilized lipases (see **Fig 1c**). Similar to PV, oil samples in contact with Lipozyme RM at 90 °C and 65 °C, reduced their TBARS content below the initial value for sardine oil, from 46 mg MDA/kg of oil to 15 mg MDA/kg of oil, almost a 70% less. On the contrary, for Lipozyme TL, at 40 °C, values of the same order or even higher were obtained than for the control sardine oil in the absence of immobilized lipases.

313 In general, the oxidation products concentration of sardine oil bulk in contact with the commercial immobilized lipases assayed in this work was lower than the values 314 obtained in the autooxidation kinetics following the order Lipozyme TL > Lipozyme 315 435 > Lipozyme RM. This finding is important in the design of biocatalysis by these 316 immobilized lipase of oils rich in PUFA since high temperatures can be selected as 317 318 optimum due to higher reaction rates and also lower oxidation products concentration in 319 the reaction bulk. The decrease of oxidation status was also observed by Solaesa et al. (2017) in a previous work on glycerolysis reaction of sardine oil catalyzed by Lipozyme 320 435 after 7 hours of reaction time. Xu et al. (2005) also observed a decrease in the 321 primary oxidation products, measured as PV, after acidolysis of fish oil with caprylic 322 acid catalyzed by Lipozyme RM IM in a packed reactor at 60°C. These authors 323 proposed that peroxide compounds could be retained in the enzyme bed. 324

In any case, when considering high operation temperatures in biocatalysis, although it could be positive from the quality product point of view, denaturation temperature of the lipase must be also taking into account as well as reusability of the lipase. This

regard, Wang et al. (1991) in the study of transesterification reaction of triolein with lauric acid catalyzed by Lipozyme IM 20 observed that, when testing the operation stability of the immobilized lipase at 65 °C over 10 number of reaction batches, 10 h each batch, hydroperoxide content decreased through the repeated batches, but so did the lipase activity. These authors proposed that hydroperoxide decomposition products could cause inactivation of the enzyme.

334 Although, mechanism is not still clear, among the different phenomenon that could be taking place, adsorption of the oxidation products on the lipase support could play an 335 important role. Lipozyme RM is immobilized onto a weak-base anion exchange resin, 336 having good capability for adsorption process. Its hydrophilic nature could result in a 337 higher affinity for hydrophilic oxidation products. On the contrary, Lipozyme 435 was 338 immobilized onto a hydrophobic support (Chen et al., 2008). The secondary oxidation 339 products, as well as hydroperoxides, are considered polar molecules and, it is well 340 known that the higher the degree of oxidation of an oil, the more polar the oxidation 341 products usually are (Kamal-Eldin & Yanishlieva, 2005). This could partially explain 342 the difference observed among both immobilized lipases. In case of Lipozyme TL, 343 344 although it was immobilized on silica gel, a hydrophilic material, its particle size range was bigger (250-1000  $\mu$ m) as well as the true density of the support (1830 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, 345 346 Zhang, 2007). Therefore, its surface area was considerably lower. In Table 1 it can be observed that the average value of Lipozyme RM particle size was smaller (200~600 347 μm) than the particle size of the others two immobilized lipases (300-1000 μm). 348 349 Therefore, the adsorption could be increase due to a higher frequency of collisions between adsorbate and adsorbent. In any case, it must be highlighted that the average 350 particle of these immobilized lipases was much higher than the particle size for 351

commercial absorbents such as Tonsil<sup>®</sup> bleaching earths which range from 25 to 150
µm (Strieder et al., 2017).

The oxidation status for sardine oil in contact with the immobilized lipases (C<sub>immob-lipase</sub>) 354 has been related to the corresponding data for the control sardine oil previously 355 determined in section 3.2 at the same temperature and incubation times (C<sub>control</sub>), C<sub>immob-</sub> 356 lipase/C<sub>control</sub>, for each type of oxidation product. Figures 3a, 3b, 4a, 4b, 5a and 5b show 357 the ratio C<sub>immob-lipase</sub>/C<sub>control</sub> for the PV, AV and TBARS level change at 90 and 65°C, 358 respectively for the three immobilized lipases. The oxidation status of sardine oil in 359 contact with the immobilized lipases at 40°C showed no difference with that of the 360 sardine oil in the absence of immobilized lipases, or even slightly higher oxidation 361 status. Therefore, the results have not been plotted. For the three immobilized lipases 362 tested in this work at 65 and 90°C, the ratio Cimmob-lipase/Ccontrol decreased over time. 363 Based on the shape of the Fig. 3-5 the following equation has been used to correlate the 364 365 data over time:

366 
$$C_{immob-lipase,t} / C_{control,t} = exp(-kt^n)$$
 [5]

where C<sub>immob-lipase,t</sub> is the concentration of oxidation compounds in sardine oil in contact 367 with immobilized lipases at a certain contact time, t, C<sub>control,t</sub> is the concentration of 368 oxidation compounds in control sardine oil (in the absence of immobilized lipases) at 369 the same incubation time, t, n is an adjustment parameter and k is an empirical constant. 370 371 This equation was based on the modified model of Brimberg proposed by Monte et al. 372 (Monte et al., 2015) in the study of reduction of color and oxidation products of carp oil with blends of bleaching earth and activated carbon. The dependence of k parameter on 373 374 temperature was assumed to follow an Arrhenius type relationship (Eq. 2), although

only the highest temperatures, 90 and 65° C were considered in the fitting procedure. **Table 6** lists the parameters obtained for equation 5 to relate the oxidation products concentration in contact with the three immobilized lipases and the control oil for the three measured parameters, PV, AV and TBARS content. For all the oxidation products, the highest  $E_a$  was found for Lipozyme TL proving that this immobilized lipase is more temperature-dependent on the reduction of oxidation products in the bulk oil. The continuous lines in **Fig. 3-5** represent the equation 5, showing good fitting.

#### 382 **4.** Conclusions

The oxidation kinetics of sardine oil revealed that hydroperoxide and TBARS formation was higher than that of secondary oxidation products determined as AV, due to the high PUFA content. Oxidation status was lower in the presence of three commercial immobilized lipases, in the same temperature range, especially at high temperatures, 65 and 90°C. However, at 40°C, oxidation products formation seemed to be still promoted. Lipozyme RM yielded the lower oxidation indices, quite below to those of the initial fish oil.

Although exact mechanism of reduction of oxidation products in the presence of commercial immobilized lipases is not yet clear. It is an important finding since high temperatures are usually avoided when dealing with lipid modification of fish oil due to its high content of PUFA, very prone to oxidation. By using these type of lipases, temperatures, at least, up to 90°C can be used having a double benefit of temperature; on one hand higher reaction rates and, on the other hand lower oxidation status. However, reusability of the enzyme should be further considered.

#### 397 Acknowledgements

398	The authors thank the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and Junta de
399	Castilla y León [grant number BU055U16] for financial support. AGS acknowledges
400	University of Burgos and RM MINECO [grant number BES-2013-063937] for their
401	pre-doctoral contracts.

#### 405 **References**

- 406 AOAC Official Method 965.33. (2000). Peroxide Value of Oils and Fats.
- 407 AOAC Official Method 991.39. (2000). Fatty Acids in Encapsulated Fish Oils and Fish
- 408 Oil Methyl and Ethyl Esters.
- 409 AOCS Official Method Cd 18-90. (2017). p-Anisidine Value.
- 410 Chen, B., Hu, J., Miller, E. M., Xie, W., Cai, M., & Gross, R. A. (2008). Candida
- 411 antarctica Lipase B Chemically Immobilized on Epoxy-Activated Micro- and
- 412 Nanobeads : Catalysts for Polyester Synthesis. *Biomacromolecules*, 9, 463–471.
- 413 GOED. (2015). Voluntary Monograph Version 5 (Issue Date November 19).
- 414 Gómez-Alonso, S., Mancebo-Campos, V., & Salvador, M. D. (2004). Oxidation
- 415 kinetics in olive oil triacylglycerols under accelerated shelf-life testing  $(25 75^{\circ}C)$ .

416 *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, *106*, 369–375.

- 417 Guillen, M.D., Cabo N. (2002). Fourier transform infrared spectra data versus peroxide
- 418 and anisidine values to determined oxidative stability of edible oils. *Food*
- 419 *Chemistry*, 77, 503-510.
- 420 Homayooni, B., Sahari, M. A., & Barzegar, M. (2014). Concentrations of omega-3 fatty
- 421 acids from rainbow sardine fish oil by various methods. *International Food*422 *Research Journal*, 21(2), 743–748.
- 423 Hoyland, D., & Taylor, A. (1991). A Review of the Methodology of the 2-
- 424 Thiobarbituric Acid Test. *Food Chemistry*, 40, 271–291.
- 425 Kamal-Eldin, A., & Yanishlieva, N. (2005). Chapter 10. Kinetic Analysis of Lipid
- 426 Oxidation Data. In *AOCS Lipid Library*.

- 427 Labuza, T., & Bergquist, S. (1983). Kinetics of Oxidation of Potato Chips under
- 428 Constant Temperature and Sine Wave Temperature Conditions. *Journal of Food*429 *Processing and Preservation*, 48, 712–715.
- 430 Lee, J., Lee, Y., & Choe, E. (2007). Temperature dependence of the autoxidation and
- 431 antioxidants of soybean, sunflower, and olive oil. *European Food Research and*
- 432 *Technology*, 226, 239-246.
- 433 Masson S, L. (1994). Control de Calidad de Insumos y Dietas Acuicolas
- 434 http://www.fao.org/docrep/field/003/ab482s/ab482s10.html.
- 435 Monte, M. L., Monte, M. L., Pohndorf, R. S., Crexi, V. T., & Pinto, L. A. A. (2015).
- Bleaching with blends of bleaching earth and activated carbon reduces color and
- 437 oxidation products of carp oil. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*,
- 438 *117*, 829–836.
- 439 Noriega-Rodríguez, J. A., Ortega-García, J., Angulo-Guerrero, O., García, H. S.,
- 440 Medina-Juárez, L. A., & Gámez-Meza, N. (2009). Oil production from sardine (
- 441 Sardinops sagax caerulea ) Producción de aceite a partir de sardina (Sardinops
- 442 sagax caerulea). *CyTA -Journal of Food*, 7(3), 173–179.
- 443 Norveel Semb, T. (2012). Analytical Methods for Determination of the Oxidative Status
  444 in Oils (Master's Thesis; NTNU).
- Okada, T., & Morrisset, M. (2007). Recovery and Characterization of Sardine Oil
  Extracted by pH Adjustment. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 55,
  1808–1813.
- 448 Pazhouhanmehr, S., Farhoosh, R., Sharif, A., & Esmaeilzadeh, R. (2016). Oxidation
- 449 kinetics of common Kilka ( Clupeonella cultiventris caspia ) oil in presence of

450	bene oils ' unsaponifiable matter. Food Chemistry, 190, 748-754.
451	Rodrigues, R. C., & Fernandez-Lafuente, R. (2010). Lipase from Rhizomucor miehei as
452	a biocatalyst in fats and oils modification. Journal of Molecular Catalysis B:
453	Enzymatic, 66, 15–32.
454	Sathivel, S., Huang, J., & Prinyawiwatkul, W. (2008). Thermal properties and
455	applications of the Arrhenius equation for evaluating viscosity and oxidation rates
456	of unrefined pollock oil. Journal of Food Engineering, 84, 187–193.
457	Silva Rodrigues, J., Peixoto do Valle, C., Pinheiro Guerra, P. D. A. G., De Sousa Rios,
458	M. A., Queiroz Malveira, J. De, & Ricardo, N. M. P. S. (2017). Study of kinetics
459	and thermodynamic parameters of the degradation process of biodiesel produced
460	from fi sh viscera oil. Fuel Processing Technology, 161, 95–100.
461	Solaesa, Á. G., Bucio, S. L., Sanz, M. T., Beltrán, S., & Rebolleda, S. (2014).
462	Characterization of Triacylglycerol Composition of Fish Oils by Using
463	Chromatographic Techniques. Journal of Oleo Science, 63(5), 449–460.
464	Solaesa, Á. G., Sanz, M. T., Falkeborg, M., Beltrán, S., & Guo, Z. (2016). Production
465	and concentration of monoacylglycerols rich in omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty
466	acids by enzymatic glycerolysis and molecular distillation. Food Chemistry, 190,
467	960–967.
468	Solaesa, Á. G., Sanz, M. T., Melgosa, R., & Beltrán, S. (2017). Substrates
469	emulsification process to improve lipase-catalyzed sardine oil glycerolysis in
470	different systems. Evaluation of lipid oxidation of the reaction products. Food
471	Research International, 100(May), 572–578.
472	Strieder, M. M., Pinheiro, C. P., Borba, V. S., Pohndorf, R. S., Cadaval, T. R. S., &

			n				10				
$\mathbf{A}$		H	P		N/1	$\square$			к	111	
	<u> </u>				1. 1.				1.2	1.10	

473	Pinto, L. A. A. (2017). Bleaching optimization and winterization step evaluation in
474	the refinement of rice bran oil. Separation and Purification Technology, 175, 72-
475	78.
476	Sullivan, J. C., Suzanne, R., Jovica, F., & Jean, A. (2015). Oxidation Rates of
477	Triacylglycerol and Ethyl Ester Fish Oils. Journal of the American Oil Chemists'
478	Society, 92, 561–569.
479	Toro-Vazquez, J.F., Castillo-M., A.A., & Hernández-C., R. (1993). A multiple-variable
480	approach to study corn oil oxidation. Journal of the American Oil Chemist's
481	Society, 70(3), 261-267.
482	Wang, Y., & Gordon, M.H. (1991). Effect of lipid oxidation products on the
483	transesterification activity of an immobilized lipase. Journal of Agriculturad and
484	Food Chemistry, 39, 1693-1695.
485	Xu, X., Timm-H., M., Skall Nielsen, N., Porsgaard, T., & Jacobsen, Ch. (2005) Effects
486	of antioxidants on the lipase-catalyzed acidolysis during production of structured
487	lipids. European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology, 107, 464-468.
488	Yin, H., & Sathivel, S. (2010). Physical Properties and Oxidation Rates of Unrefined
489	Menhaden Oil (Brevoortia patronus). Journal of Food Science, 75(3), 163–168.
490	Zhang, H. (2007). Evaluation of Practical Process Aspects for Lipozyme TL IM
491	Catalyzed Bulk Fat Modification in a Batch Reactor. The Open Biotechnology
492	Journal, 1, 72–80.







498 Fig. 1. (a) PV, (b) AV and (c) TBARS of sardine oil during incubation time at 40°C ( $\Delta$ ), 499 65°C ( $\Box$ ) and 90°C ( $\Diamond$ ). Continuous lines represent the pseudo-zero order kinetic model (Table 500 3).

502 (a) 3  $\Delta$ Λ 2 9 ln k 1  $\Delta$ 0 ଚ -1  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ -2 0.0027 0.0028 0.0029 0.0030 0.0031 0.0032 0.0033 1/T (K<sup>-1</sup>) 503 -11.2 (b) Δ Δ -12.0 ß -12.8 Δ (L/x) ul D -14.4 -15.2  $\Box$ 

504

-16.0

0.0027

0.0028

501

Fig. 2. (a) Arrhenius plot and (b) Eyring plot of the oxidation of sardine oil, PV (○), AV (□),
TBARS (Δ). Units of k are listed in Table 3. Time units in Eyring plot for k values have been
expressed as s.

0.0030

1/T (K-1)

0.0031

0.0032

0.0033

0.0029

508



Fig. 3. PV ratio between samples in contact with the immobilized lipases and control, (◊)
Lipozyme 435, (□) Lipozyme RM, (○) Lipozyme TL, (a) at 90°C and (b) 65°C. Continuous
lines represent the values obtained by the equation 5.





Fig. 5. TBARS ratio between samples in contact with the immobilized lipases and control, (◊)
Lipozyme 435, (□) Lipozyme RM, (○) Lipozyme TL, (a) at 90°C and (b) 65°C. Continuous
lines represent the values obtained by the equation 5.

_	Immobilized lipase	Support	Particle size	Reference
-	Lipozyme 435 (Candida antarctica B)	Macroporous resin of poly- methyl methacrylate (Lewatit VP OC 1600)	315~1000 μm	(Chen et al., 2008)
-	Lipozyme RM (Rhizomucor miehei)	Weak base anion-exchange resin based on phenol– formaldehyde copolymers (Duolite ES 562)	200~600 µm	(Rodrigues & Fernandez- Lafuente, 2010)
-	Lipozyme TL (Thermomyces lanuginosus)	Granulated silica	250~1000 μm	(Zhang, 2007)
598				
599 600				
601				
602				
603				
604				
605				
606				
607				
608				
609				
610				
611				
612				
613				
614				
615				
616				

# **Table 1.** Characteristics of the immobilized commercial lipases used in this work.

	C14:0	$6.3 \pm 0.2$
Fatty acid profile (wt%) PUFA % n3 % FPA+DHA	C16:0	$17.3 \pm 0.3$
	C16:1n-7	$8.4 \pm 0.1$
	C16:2n-4	$1.1 \pm 0.0$
	C16:3n-4	$1.2 \pm 0.0$
Fatty acid profile (wt%)	C16:4n-1	$2.1 \pm 0.0$
	C18:0	$3.7 \pm 0.0$
	C18:1n-9	$13.9 \pm 0.1$
	C18:1n-7	$3.7 \pm 0.0$
r atty acid profile (wt%)	C18:2n-6	$2.9 \pm 0.0$
	C18:4n-3	$2.1 \pm 0.0$
Fatty acid profile (wt%) PUFA % n3 % EPA+DHA Polyene index Acid value (mg KOH/kg)* Peroxide value (meqO <sub>2</sub> /kg Anisidine value TBARS (mg MAD/kg oil)	C20:1n-9	$2.6 \pm 0.0$
	C20:3n-3	$1.1 \pm 0.0$
	C20:5n-3	$15.7 \pm 0.3$
	C22:1n-11	$1.9 \pm 0.0$
	C22:5n-3	$1.9\pm0.1$
	C22:6n-3	$8.2 \pm 0.3$
	Others <1%	$6.0 \pm 0.1$
PUFA %		$39.6 \pm 0.8$
n3 %		$31.2 \pm 0.7$
EPA+DHA		$23.9 \pm 0.6$
Polyene index		$1.39\pm0.0$
Acid value (mg KOH/kg)*		$0.45 \pm 0.04$
Peroxide value (meqO <sub>2</sub> /kg)	)	$4.8\pm0.6$
Anisidine value		$19.7 \pm 0.4$
TBARS (mg MAD/kg oil)		$46 \pm 4$

		PV			AV		TB	SARS	
T, ℃	k (meqO <sub>2</sub> /kg oil·h)	$\mathbf{R}^2$	$\frac{\Delta G^{\neq}}{(kJ \cdot mol^{-1})}$	k (h <sup>-1</sup> )	$\mathbb{R}^2$	$\Delta G^{\neq}$ (kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup> )	k (mg MAD/kg oil∙h)	$\mathbb{R}^2$	$\Delta G^{\neq}$ (kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup> )
40	$0.831 \pm 0.06$	0.9797	98.5 ± 4	$0.280\pm0.05$	0.8690	$101.4 \pm 1$	$2.631 \pm 0.60$	0.8669	$95.2 \pm 19$
65	$2.217\pm0.09$	0.9933	$103.6 \pm 4$	$1.053\pm0.13$	0.9444	$2_{105.2 \pm 1}$	$9.245 \pm 0.53$	0.9869	$100.2\pm20$
90	$4.951\pm0.23$	0.9912	$108.8\pm4$	$4.109\pm0.15$	0.9944	$109.1 \pm 1$	$14.202\pm1.04$	0.9791	$105.3\pm21$
$E_a (kJ \cdot mol^{-1})$	37.	$.1 \pm 2$			$55.9 \pm 0.5$		35	±10	
$\Delta H^{\neq} (kJ \cdot mol^{-1})$	34	4 ± 2			53 ± 1		32	± 10	
$\Delta S^{\neq}(J \cdot mol^{-1} \cdot K^{-1})$	-20	)6±6			$-155 \pm 2$		-202	$2\pm30$	
			A CONTRACTOR						

**Table 3**. Kinetic rate constant (k), activation energy ( $E_a$ ), enthalpy ( $\Delta H^{\neq}$ ), entropy ( $\Delta S^{\neq}$ ) and Gibb's free energy ( $\Delta G^{\neq}$ ) of activation for primary and secondary oxidation of the sardine oil at different temperatures

Type of oil	T range (°C)	E <sub>a</sub> , kJ/mol	Reference
Refined sardine oil	40 - 90	37.1	This work
Unrefined menhaden oil	45 - 85	30.9	(Yin & Sathivel, 2010)
Unrefined pollock oil	24 - 90	33.2	(Sathivel et al., 2008)
Refined soybean oil		73.6	
Refined sunflower oil	25 - 80	79.5	(Lee et al., 2007)
Virgin olive oil		52.3	

**Table 4.** Activation energy from the Arrhenius equation for the formation of hydroperoxides in different kind of oils found in literature.

Oxidation	Immobilized	T (%C)			Contact time (h)		
Index	lipase	I ( C)	1	2.5	5	7.5	10
		90	$^{B}4.3\pm0.4_{a}$	$^{AB}3.8\pm0.1_{ab}$	$^{A}3.1 \pm 0.1_{ab}$	$^{A}3.1 \pm 0.1_{ab}$	$^{A}3.3 \pm 0.3_{b}$
	Lipozyme 435	65	$^{A}4.6\pm0.1_{a}$	$^{A}4.6 \pm 0.1_{bc}$	$^{A}4.3\pm0.5_{cd}$	$^{A}3.9\pm0.1_{b}$	$^{A}3.9 \pm 0.1_{c}$
		nonlined       T (°C)          90 $^{B}4$ .         435       65 $^{A}4$ .         40 $^{A}4$ .         90 $^{B}4$ .         90 $^{C}5$ .         pozyme       65         7L       40         90 $^{A}22$ .         pozyme       65         40 $^{A}21$ .         90 $^{B}19$ .         pozyme       65         7D $^{A}0$ 90 $^{B}20$ .         40 $^{A}18$ 90 $^{A}22$ .         pozyme       65 $^{A}21$ .         90 $^{B}6$ .         pozyme       65 $^{A}21$ .         90 $^{B}6$ . $^{A}22$ .         pozyme       65 $^{C}5$ .	$^{A}4.6\pm0.2^{a}$	$^{\rm B}5.2\pm0.0^{\rm cd}$	$^{\rm C}6.5 \pm 0.0^{\rm e}$	$^{\rm B}5.3 \pm 0.5^{\rm c}$	$^{AB}5.0\pm0.1^{d}$
		90	$^{B}4.8\pm0.3_{a}$	$^{AB}3.6\pm0.3_{a}$	2.5       5       7.5       10 $\pm 0.1_{ab}$ $^{A}3.1 \pm 0.1_{ab}$ $^{A}3.1 \pm 0.1_{ab}$ $^{A}3.1 \pm 0.1_{ab}$ $^{A}3.3 \pm 0.3$ $\pm 0.1_{bc}$ $^{A}4.3 \pm 0.5_{cd}$ $^{A}3.9 \pm 0.1_{b}$ $^{A}3.9 \pm 0.1_{c}$ $\pm 0.0^{cd}$ $^{C}6.5 \pm 0.0^{e}$ $^{B}5.3 \pm 0.5^{c}$ $^{AB}5.0 \pm 0.1_{c}$ $5 \pm 0.3_{a}$ $^{A}2.7 \pm 0.2_{a}$ $^{A}2.2 \pm 0.1_{a}$ $^{A}1.9 \pm 0.1_{c}$ $5 \pm 0.2_{cd}$ $^{A}2.9 \pm 0.1_{ab}$ $^{A}2.5 \pm 0.2_{a}$ $^{A}2.4 \pm 0.1_{c}$ $\pm 0.2_{cd}$ $^{B}3.6 \pm 0.0_{bc}$ $^{A}2.9 \pm 0.1_{ab}$ $^{B}4.0 \pm 0.3_{c}$ $\pm 0.2_{cd}$ $^{B}3.6 \pm 0.0_{bc}$ $^{A}2.9 \pm 0.1_{ab}$ $^{B}4.0 \pm 0.3_{c}$ $\pm 0.2_{cd}$ $^{B}3.6 \pm 0.0_{bc}$ $^{A}2.9 \pm 0.1_{ab}$ $^{B}4.0 \pm 0.3_{c}$ $\pm 0.2_{cd}$ $^{B}3.6 \pm 0.2_{c}$ $^{A}2.9 \pm 0.1_{ab}$ $^{B}4.0 \pm 0.3_{c}$ $\pm 0.2_{cd}$ $^{B}25.1 \pm 0.4_{d}$ $^{D}28.2 \pm 0.2_{c}$ $^{C}26.5 \pm 0.0_{c}$ $0 \pm 0.7_{c}$ $^{D}15.0 \pm 0.1_{g}$ $^{C}11.9 \pm 0.5^{c}$ $^{B}21.1 \pm 0.2_{c}$ $0 \pm 0.3_{c}$ $^{A}22.2 \pm 0.2_{c}$ $^{A}26.5 \pm 0.5_{c}$ $^{A}25.9 \pm 0.2_{c}$ $^{A}25.9 \pm 0.2_{c}$ $0 \pm$	$^{A}1.9 \pm 0.1_{a}$	
PV	Lipozyme RM	65	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$^{A}2.4\pm0.1_{a}$			
		40	$^{A}4.6\pm0.1_{a}$	$^{A}5.4\pm0.2_{cd}$	$^{B}8.3 \pm 0.3_{f}$	$^{\mathrm{B}}7.4\pm1.0_{\mathrm{d}}$	$^{A}5.1\pm0.4_{d}$
		90	$^{\rm C}5.0 \pm 0.0_{\rm a}$	$^{\rm C}5.3 \pm 0.2_{\rm cd}$	${}^{ m B}3.6 \pm 0.0_{ m bc}$	$^{A}2.9 \pm 0.1_{ab}$	$^{B}4.0 \pm 0.3_{c}$
	Lipozyme TL	65	$^{\rm C}5.4\pm0.2_{a}$	$^{\rm C}5.6\pm0.2_d$	${}^{\rm B}4.6 \pm 0.2_{\rm d}$	$^{A}3.9 \pm 0.1_{bc}$	$^{A}3.5 \pm 0.2^{bc}$
		40	$^{\text{A}}5.2\pm0.0_{\text{a}}$	$^{\rm C}11.9 \pm 0.7_{\rm e}$	$^{D}15.0 \pm 0.1_{g}$	$^{\rm C}11.9 \pm 0.5^{\rm e}$	$^{B}9.1 \pm 0.0_{e}$
		90	$^{A}22.0\pm0.0_{de}$	$^{BC}26.0\pm0.0_{e}$	$^{\rm B}25.1 \pm 0.4_{\rm d}$	$^{\rm D}28.2\pm0.2_{\rm e}$	$^{\rm C}26.5 \pm 0.5_{\rm c}$
	Lipozyme 435	65	$^{A}22.1 \pm 0.5_{de}$	$^{A}22.3 \pm 1.2_{cd}$	$^{A}22.2 \pm 0.2_{c}$	$^{AB}23.4\pm0.6_{bc}$	$^{B}25.9\pm0.8_{c}$
		40	$^{A}21.0\pm0.4_{cd}$	$^{AB}21.5\pm0.3_{bc}$	$^{AB}21.6\pm0.2_{c}$	$^{AB}21.5\pm0.7_{b}$	$^{\rm B}22.1\pm0.9_{\rm b}$
		90	$^{B}19.5 \pm 0.4_{ab}$	$^{AB}19.2\pm0.7_{a}$	$^{AB}19.0\pm0.3_{ab}$	$^{AB}17.9\pm0.7_{a}$	$^{A}17.4\pm0.2_{a}$
AV	Impuse       90       B         Lipozyme       65       A         40       A	65	$^B20.0\pm0.3_{bc}$	$^{B}19.8 \pm 0.3_{ab}$	$^A18.2\pm0.1_a$	$^{A}17.7\pm0.7_{a}$	$^{AB}18.9\pm0.5_a$
		$^{A}18.8 \pm 0.1_{a}$	$^{A}19.4 \pm 0.2_{a}$	$^{A}19.7\pm0.1_{b}$	$^{A}18.2 \pm 1.5_{a}$	$^{A}19.2\pm0.0_{a}$	
		90	$^{A}22.8 \pm 0.1_{e}$	$^{A}24.1 \pm 0.1_{de}$	$^{AB}24.8\pm0.1_{d}$	$^{\rm B}26.8\pm0.7_{\rm de}$	$^{\rm C}33.1 \pm 1.2_{\rm d}$
	Lipozyme TL	65	$^{A}21.4 \pm 0.3_{d}$	$^{A}21.7\pm0.2_{bc}$	$^{B}24.8\pm0.5_{d}$	$^B24.5\pm0.6_{cd}$	$^{\rm B}25.1\pm0.5_{\rm c}$
		40	$^{A}21.4 \pm 0.5_{d}$	$^{A}22.0\pm0.3_{c}$	$^{A}23.1\pm0.8_{c}$	3.1 $\pm 0.1_{ab}$ ^3.1 $\pm 0.1_{ab}$ ^3.3 $\pm 0.3_{b}$ 4.3 $\pm 0.5_{cd}$ ^3.9 $\pm 0.1_{b}$ ^3.9 $\pm 0.1_{c}$ C6.5 $\pm 0.0^{e}$ B5.3 $\pm 0.5^{c}$ ^{AB}5.0 $\pm 0.1^{d}$ ^{A}2.7 $\pm 0.2_{a}$ ^{A}2.2 $\pm 0.1_{a}$ ^{A}1.9 $\pm 0.1_{a}$ 2.9 $\pm 0.1_{ab}$ ^{A}2.5 $\pm 0.2_{a}$ ^{A}2.4 $\pm 0.1_{a}$ ^{B}8.3 $\pm 0.3_{f}$ B7.4 $\pm 1.0_{d}$ ^{A}5.1 $\pm 0.4_{d}$ 3.6 $\pm 0.2_{d}$ ^{A}2.9 $\pm 0.1_{ab}$ B4.0 $\pm 0.3_{c}$ ^{B}4.6 $\pm 0.2_{d}$ ^{A}3.9 $\pm 0.1_{bc}$ ^{A}3.5 $\pm 0.2^{bc}$ 15.0 $\pm 0.1_{g}$ C11.9 $\pm 0.5^{e}$ B9.1 $\pm 0.0_{e}$ 25.1 $\pm 0.4_{d}$ D28.2 $\pm 0.2_{e}$ C26.5 $\pm 0.5_{c}$ 22.2 $\pm 0.2_{c}$ ^{AB}23.4 $\pm 0.6_{bc}$ B22.1 $\pm 0.9_{b}$ 19.0 $\pm 0.3_{ab}$ ^{AB}17.9 $\pm 0.7_{a}$ ^{A}17.4 $\pm 0.2_{a}$ 18.2 $\pm 0.1_{a}$ ^{A}17.7 $\pm 0.7_{a}$ ^{AB}18.9 $\pm 0.5_{a}$ 19.7 $\pm 0.1_{b}$ ^{A}18.2 $\pm 1.5_{a}$ ^{A}19.2 $\pm 0.0_{a}$ ^{A}24.8 $\pm 0.1_{d}$ B^{2}2.3 $\pm 0.5_{bc}$ ^{A}22.4 $\pm 0.2_{b}$ ^{A}37 $\pm 3_{ab}$ ^{A}34 \pm 6_{b}       ^{A}22.4 $\pm 0.2_{b}$ ^{A}37 $\pm 3_{ab}$ ^{A}24.5 $\pm 0.6_{cd}$ B^{2}0.5	
		90	$^{B}60 \pm 4_{cd}$	$^{B}58 \pm 4_{cd}$	$^{A}37 \pm 3_{ab}$	$^{A}34\pm6_{b}$	$^{A}42 \pm 2_{b}$
	Lipozyme 435	65	$^{A}55 \pm 4_{abcd}$	$^{A}48 \pm 4_{abc}$	$^{A}43 \pm 2_{bc}$	$^{A}49 \pm 5_{c}$	$^{A}54 \pm 4_{c}$
	$\sim$	40	$^{AB}57 \pm 3_{bcd}$	$^{AB}56\pm3_{bcd}$	$^{A}54\pm0_{c}$	$^{AB}64 \pm 0_{d}$	$^{\mathrm{B}}70\pm2_{\mathrm{d}}$
		90	$^{D}42\pm4_{a}$	$^{CD}39\pm4_a$	$^{BC}28\pm1_a$	$^{AB}20\pm0_{a}$	$^{A}15 \pm 1_{a}$
TBARS	Lipozyme RM	65	$^{C}51 \pm 4_{abc}$	$^{C}45 \pm 4_{ab}$	$^{B}33 \pm 2_{ab}$	$^{A}22 \pm 3_{ab}$	$^{A}14\pm0_{a}$
		40	$^{A}43 \pm 3_{ab}$	$^{B}59 \pm 3_{cd}$	$^{CD}73 \pm 4_{d}$	$^{\rm D}85 \pm 2^{\rm e}$	$^{BC}69 \pm 3_{d}$
		90	$^{B}66 \pm 3_{d}$	${}^{\rm B}61 \pm 4_{\rm d}$	$^{A}30 \pm 1_{a}$	$^{A}24 \pm 1_{ab}$	$^{A}22 \pm 2_{a}$
	Lipozyme TL	65	$^{C}64 \pm 3_{cd}$	$^{BC}61 \pm 1_{d}$	$^{\mathrm{BC}}54\pm2_{\mathrm{c}}$	$^{B}50 \pm 4_{cd}$	$^{A}37 \pm 5_{b}$
		40	$^{A}52 \pm 9_{abcd}$	$^{\mathrm{BC}}110\pm11_{\mathrm{e}}$	$^{\mathrm{D}}143\pm7_{\mathrm{e}}$	$^{\rm C}$ 120 ± 5 <sub>f</sub>	$^{B}105 \pm 2_{e}$

**Table 5.** Oxidation products concentration for sardine oil samples in contact with Lipozyme 435, Lipozyme RM and Lipozyme TL at 40, 65 and 90°C at different incubation times.

Values with different small letters in the same column for each oxidation product are significantly different when applying the Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) method at p-value  $\leq 0.05$ .

Values with different capital letters in the same row for each lipase at a certain incubation temperature are significantly different when applying the Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) method at p-value  $\leq 0.05$ .

**Table 6.** Parameters of equation 5 with an Arrehnius type dependence on temperature for k parameter for oxidation products quantified by PV, AV and TBARS for the samples in contact with Lipozyme 435, Lipozyme RM and Lipozyme TL.

Immobilized		PV				AV				TBARS				
lipase	k <sub>o</sub>	E <sub>a</sub> , kJ/mol	n	$\mathbb{R}^2$	k <sub>o</sub>	E <sub>a</sub> , kJ/mol	n l	$R^2$	k <sub>o</sub>	E <sub>a</sub> , kJ/mol	n	$\mathbb{R}^2$		
Lipozyme 435	$5.9 \cdot 10^3$	26.5	0.59	0.998	$2.3 \cdot 10^5$	45.2	1.03 0.	968	$2.2 \cdot 10^2$	19.9	0.78	0.973		
Lipozyme RM	$9.6 \cdot 10^2$	21.2	0.68	0.999	$8.9 \cdot 10^4$	32.03	0.76 0.9	989	$3.2 \cdot 10^2$	19.6	0.78	0.993		
Lipozyme TL	$9.0 \cdot 10^3$	28.7	0.76	0.992	$5.3 \cdot 10^5$	46.7	0.84 0.9	919	$1.0 \cdot 10^4$	33.5	1.28	0.995		

# Highlights

- PV and TBARS increase faster than AV in the autoxidation of sardine oil.
- Oxidation products concentration decreased in the presence of immobilized lipases.
- The decrease was higher for PV and TBARS than anisidine reacted compounds.
- Lipozyme RM presented the best results to obtain lower oxidation indices.