# Accepted Manuscript

Brønsted acid-catalyzed synthesis of tetrasubstituted allenes and polysubstituted 2*H*-chromenes from tertiary propargylic alcohols

Natalia Cabrera-Lobera, Noelia Velasco, Roberto Sanz, Manuel A. Fernández-Rodríguez

PII: S0040-4020(19)30562-9

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2019.05.023

Reference: TET 30344

To appear in: Tetrahedron

Received Date: 1 March 2019

Revised Date: 5 May 2019

Accepted Date: 10 May 2019

Please cite this article as: Cabrera-Lobera N, Velasco N, Sanz R, Fernández-Rodríguez MA, Brønsted acid-catalyzed synthesis of tetrasubstituted allenes and polysubstituted 2*H*-chromenes from tertiary propargylic alcohols, *Tetrahedron* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2019.05.023.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



# **Graphical Abstract**

To create your abstract, type over the instructions in the template box below. Fonts or abstract dimensions should not be changed or altered.

## Brønsted Acid–Catalyzed Synthesis of Tetrasubstituted Allenes and Polysubstituted 2*H*-Chromenes from Tertiary Propargylic Alcohols

Leave this area blank for abstract info.

Natalia Cabrera-Lobera<sup>a</sup>, Noelia Velasco<sup>a</sup>, Roberto Sanz<sup>a,\*</sup>, Manuel A. Fernández-Rodríguez<sup>b,\*</sup> <sup>a</sup>Área de Química Orgánica, Departamento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Burgos, Pza. Misael Bañuelos s/n, 09001-Burgos (Spain).

<sup>b</sup>Departamento de Química Orgánica y Química Inorgánica, Campus Científico-Tecnológico, Facultad de Farmacia, Universidad de Alcalá, Autovía A-II, Km 33.1, 28805, Alcalá de Henares-Madrid (Spain).





Tetrahedron journal homepage: www.elsevier.com



# Brønsted Acid–Catalyzed Synthesis of Tetrasubstituted Allenes and Polysubstituted 2*H*-Chromenes from Tertiary Propargylic Alcohols

Natalia Cabrera-Lobera<sup>a</sup>, Noelia Velasco<sup>a</sup>, Roberto Sanz<sup>a</sup>,\*, and Manuel A. Fernández-Rodríguez<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Área de Química Orgánica, Departamento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Burgos, Pza. Misael Bañuelos s/n, 09001-Burgos, Spain <sup>b</sup>Departamento de Química Orgánica y Química Inorgánica, Campus Científico-Tecnológico, Facultad de Farmacia, Universidad de Alcalá, Autovía A-II, Km 33.1, 28805, Alcalá de Henares-Madrid, Spain

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received

Accepted

### ABSTRACT

A practical and environmentally benign Brønsted acid–catalyzed protocol for the preparation of all-carbon tetrasubstituted allenes, consisting in the direct  $S_{\rm N}{}^{\prime}$  addition of tri- or dimethoxy arenes or allyltrimethylsilane to tertiary propargylic alcohols, has been developed. In addition, a straightforward synthesis of densely substituted 2*H*-chromenes by metal-free tandem allenylation/heterocyclization reaction of methoxyphenols and tertiary alkynols is presented.

2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Available online Keywords: Allenes Brønsted acid catalysis Propargylic alcohols 2H-Chromenes

Nucleophilic substitution

Received in revised form

\* Corresponding authors. Tel.: +34-947258811 (R.S.); +34-918852517 (M.A.F.-R.); e-mail addresses: <u>rsd@ubu.es</u> (R. Sanz); <u>mangel.fernandezr@uah.es</u> (M. A. Fernández-Rodríguez)

1

#### 1. Introduction

#### ACCEPTED M in an open vessel (Table 1, entry 1). As would be expected, the

Allenes are valuable building blocks with abundant applications in synthetic organic chemistry<sup>1</sup> or advanced material science<sup>2</sup> and commonly found in natural products and pharmacological active molecules.<sup>3</sup> So, the development of simple and efficient methods for the synthesis of allenes is an extremely active field in organic chemistry.<sup>4</sup> Among all the strategies described for the formation of the allene unit, the most extended and convenient method involves the nucleophilic substitution by organometallic species of acetylene derivatives bearing a leaving group at the propargylic carbon. This route turns to be almost unique for the synthesis of all-carbon tetrasubstituted allenes using metal catalysts derived from Cu, Rh, Pd, Mn, Ni or Fe and leaving groups such as halides, epoxides, sulfonates, phosphates, acetates or carbonates.<sup>5</sup> Moreover, the direct use of tertiary propargylic alcohols as substrates has been also extensively reported in the presence as catalysts of both Lewis acids<sup>6</sup> and late transition metals.<sup>7</sup> This route has the evident advantages of the wide availability and environmentally benign character of alcohols as well as the formation of water as the only byproduct of the process. However, these methods are limited by the precious, toxic, and/or moisture-sensitive nature of some of the catalysts employed.

In this scenario, the development of allenylation protocols using simple Brønsted acids as catalysts would be highly convenient. This approach implies the initial formation of an allenic-propargylic cation intermediate followed either by the nucleophilic substitution that could occur at both active positions or, alternatively, by a competitive elimination (Figure 1). Thus, selective addition of the carbon nucleophile through a  $S_N$ ' mechanism is a key issue for the success of this strategy. Few efficient and general Brønsted acid-catalyzed syntheses of all-carbon tetrasubstituted allenes have been reported and they are restricted to the employment of 2-substituted indoles or 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds or related activated methylenes as nucleophiles.<sup>8,9</sup>

Figure 1. Possible evolutions of tertiary propargylic cations.



Based in our experience in the metal-free Brønsted acidcatalyzed direct nucleophilic substitutions of varied  $\pi$ -activated alcohols,<sup>8a-c,9a,10</sup> we envisioned that the combination of tertiary propargylic alcohols with bulky substituted electron-rich arenes would favored the  $S_N$ ' nucleophilic addition, thus allowing the synthesis of all-carbon tetrasubstituted allenes.

#### 2. Results and discussion

To test the viability of the proposed synthesis of allenes, we first investigated the reaction between highly activated tertiary alkynol **1a** and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene using *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (PTSA) as ready available and easily handled Brønsted acid catalyst. Pleasantly, the corresponding desired allene **2a** was exclusively obtained in good yield and in short reaction time (30 min) when performing the reaction in MeCN at room temperature

substitution reaction did not take place in the absence of catalyst. Once we probed the feasibility and determined the selectivity of our strategy, the catalytic activity observed with PTSA was compared with several common Lewis acids (Table 1, entries 2–6). These essays revealed that none of the metal-based catalyst tested afforded better results than simple Brønsted acid and, therefore, PTSA should be the catalyst of choice for this kind of transformation. Additional experiments modifying the solvent employed were conducted showing that this Brønsted acid-catalyzed allene formation was also efficient in nitromethane or trifluoroethanol (Table 1, entries 7–9).

**Table 1.** Evaluation of Brønsted and Lewis acid catalysts forthe allenylation of trimethoxybenzene with alkynol 1a

	+ 0	cat. (5 mol%) solvent 30 min		
Entry	Catalyst	Solvent	$\frac{2a}{\text{Yield }(\%)^a}$	0—
1	PTSA	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	79	
2	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	79	
3	Cu(OTf)2	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	81	
4	Sc(OTf) <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	
5	AgOTf	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	_	
6	In(OTf) <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	_	
7	PTSA	$CH_2Cl_2$	33	
8	PTSA	CH <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	79	
9	PTSA	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	69	

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield of 2a after column chromatography.

After establishing PTSA as catalyst and MeCN as solvent at room temperature as the best reaction conditions, the scope of the process was examined using a collection of tertiary alkynols 1 having varied substitution at both the  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  positions. The results have been summarized in Table 2. The developed method proved to be very efficient for the synthesis of tetraaryl allenes 2a-e, regardless of the electronic nature of the arenes (Table 2, entries 1–6), and a triaryl cyclohexenyl allene 2g (Table 2, entry 8). Next, we explored the reaction with less activated alkynols 1h-l possessing an alkyl substituent at the propargylic position and we were pleased to find that the desired allenes 2h-l were also produced in high yields (Table 2, entries 9–13). Remarkably, in all these examples the corresponding tetrasubstituted allenes 2 are exclusively obtained, generally in high yields, without the formation of significant amounts of the regioisomeric  $S_N$ acetylenic adduct or the elimination subproduct when possible. In addition, both electron rich and electron-deficient arenes as well as linear or branched alkyl groups are tolerated at the propargylic positions of the starting alkynol. Only in the case of alkynol 1f bearing a 3-thienyl group at the  $\gamma$  position, the initially produced allene could not be isolated as it evolves under the acidic reaction conditions to a cyclopentene-fused thiophene **3f** (Table 2, entry 7). However, this is not a general limitation as reaction with less activated alkynol 11 demonstrates the possibility of accessing 3thienyl substituted allenes (Table 2, entry 13). To further test the scope of the allene synthesis, reaction of trimethoxybenzene with more challenging substrate 1m bearing two aliphatic substituents at the propargylic position were surveyed under the optimal reaction conditions. Not surprisingly, due to the inferior

stabilities of the positively charged intermediates proposed M(Figure 1) as a result of the replacement of both aryls groups by alkyls groups at the propargylic position, the reaction failed. Gratifyingly, changing the catalyst to a more acidic Brønsted acid such as TFESA (1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethanesulfonic acid) and increasing the loading to a 10 mol%, dialkyl substituted allene 2m could be obtained using an excess of nucleophile (Table 2, entry 14). Under these reaction conditions the allene formation was not the only observed product as appreciable amounts of a 1,3-envne, formed by dehydration of the starting alkynol, were detected and accounted for the reduced isolated yield of the desired allene 2m.

 
 Table 2. Acid-catalyzed reaction of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene
 with tertiary alkynols 1. Synthesis of tetrasubstituted allenes 2

$R^1 \not \to R^2$	<sup>∭</sup> R	MeO 3 <sup>+</sup>	OMe PTSA CH <sub>3</sub> CN	(5 mol%) ➤ I, 30 min	R <sup>2</sup> >== R <sup>1</sup> MeO-	R <sup>3</sup> OMe
	1	(1.0 equi	e v.)			2 OMe
Entry	1	$R^1$	$R^2$	R <sup>3</sup>	2	Yield (%) <sup>a</sup>
1	<b>1</b> a	p-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	p-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	2a	79
2	1b	Ph	Ph	Ph	<b>2b</b>	91
3 <sup>b</sup>	1b	Ph	Ph	Ph	<b>2b</b>	85
4	1c	p-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	p-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	2c	99
5	1d	p-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	p-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<i>p</i> -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	2d	71
6	1e	p-OMeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	p-OMeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	2e	94
7	1f	p-OMeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	p-OMeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	3-Th <sup>c</sup>	_ <sup>d</sup>	62
8	1g	Ph	Ph	c-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>9</sub> <sup>e</sup>	2g	75
9	1h	c-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	Ph	Ph	2h	61
10	1i	<i>i</i> -Pr	Ph	Ph	2i	78
11	1j	Pr	Ph	Ph	2j	91
12	1k	Et	p-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	2k	81
$13^{\rm f}$	11	Me	Ph	3-Th <sup>c</sup>	21	78
14	1m	<i>i</i> -Pr	<i>i</i> -Pr	Ph	<b>2m</b>	58 <sup>g</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield of **2** after column chromatography. <sup>b</sup> Reaction performed at a 4 mmol scale (1.14 g of **1b**)

 $^{\circ}$  3-Th = 3-Thienyl.

<sup>d</sup> A cyclopenta[b]thiophene **3f** was exclusively obtained.  $^{e}c$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>9</sub> = Cyclohexenvl.

<sup>f</sup>Reaction conduted with 3 equiv. of trimethoxybenzene.

and 10 mol% of TFESA (1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethanesulfonic acid).

<sup>g</sup> 27% of the dehydration product from the alkynol was also detected.

The scope of the process was then explored using other trimethoxybenzenes such as 1,2,4trimethoxybenzene and 1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene (Scheme 1). In contrast to 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene, these isomeric arenes present different nucleophilic positions that add an extra regiocontrol issue. Notably, reactions of 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene with representative alkynols 1b and 1i occurred selectively to form tetrasubstituted allenes 4b and 4i in good yields. Similarly, allene 5b was obtained as major product in a synthetically useful yield

from 1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene and tertiary alkynol **1b**. Less nucleophilic 1,3-dimethoxyarene also

reacted with model substrate 1a to afford a 6:1

mixture of regiosomeric allenes 6a and 6a' (Scheme 1), whereas the analogous reaction with even less nucleophilic anisole did not occur under the optimized reaction conditions.



Scheme 1. Acid-catalyzed reaction tertiary alkynols 1 with other tri- and dimethoxyarenes.

At this point we were intrigued by the possibility of expanding this developed metal-free methodology to more challenging nucleophiles such as less sterically demanding allyltrimethylsilane. An initial experiment with the model tertiary alkynol 1a, under the reaction conditions established for trimethoxybenzene, regioselectively gave the desired allene 7a in a moderate 34% yield. After an optimization, we found that using the stronger 2,4-dinitrobenzensulfonic acid (DNBSA) as catalyst and an excess of allyltrimethylsilane (3 equiv.) enabled the exclusive formation of allene 7a at room temperature in high yield and in less than 1 hour (Table 3, entry 1). The scope of the synthesis of allyl allenes 7 was then analyzed using representative tertiary propargylic alcohols 1. As in the case of trimethoxybenzene, highly activated  $\alpha, \alpha, \gamma$ -triarylsubstituted substrates 1b-e afforded the corresponding allenes 7b-e in high yields (Table 3, entries 2-6) with the exception of 7e that decomposed under the reaction conditions and during the purification process. Moreover, the process tolerates the presence of an alkenyl group at  $\gamma$ -position and an heteroaryl group at the  $\alpha$ -position, as demonstrated by the preparation of allenes 7g and 7n (Table 3, entries 7 and 10). However, reaction of less reactive alkynol 1i, bearing an iso-propyl and a phenyl groups at the propargylic position, was not selective and the corresponding allene 7i was formed accompanied with almost equimolecular amounts of the propargylic adduct 8 and small amounts of the dehydration subproduct 9 (Table 3, entry 8). Not surprisingly, no reaction occurred with even less activated propargylic alcohol 1m (Table 3, entry 9).

It should be noted the complete regioselective substitution at the  $\gamma$ -position of the propargylic alkynol determined in all the reactions with trimethoxybenzenes and in most of the experiments with allyltrimethylsilane. The absence of formation of propargylic substitution products is significant because analogous Brønsted acid-catalyzed reactions with secondary propargylic alcohols exclusively occurred at the  $\alpha$ -position.<sup>10a,c</sup> In the same way, related reactions of tertiary propargylic alkynols with 2-unsubstituted indoles took place selectively at the propargylic position.8c,10d

On the other hand, gram scale reactions with both CEPTED  $\mathbb{N}$ nucleophiles, trimethoxybenzene and allyltrimethylsilane, were assessed using 1.14 grams (4 mmol) of alkynol 1b as substrate producing 1.47 g of 2b (Table 2, entry 3) and 1.12 g of 7b (Table 3, entry 3), respectively. These results further prove the practicality of the developed Brønsted acidcatalyzed allene synthesis. Table 3. Acid-catalyzed reaction of allylsilane with tertiary alkynols 1. Synthesis of tetrasubstituted allenes 7

Qł	1	,	DNB	SA (5 mol%)	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>
$R^{1} + R^{2}$	1	R <sup>3</sup> (3.0 equ	SiMe <sub>3</sub> CH	₃CN, 60 min	R <sup>1</sup>	7
Entry	1	(3.0 equ R <sup>1</sup>	$\frac{R^2}{R^2}$	R <sup>3</sup>	7	Yield (%) <sup>a</sup>
1	1a	<i>p</i> -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<i>p</i> -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	7a	80
2	1b	Ph	Ph	Ph	7b	77
3 <sup>b</sup>	1b	Ph	Ph	Ph	7b	87
4	1c	p-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	p-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	7c	77
5	1d	p-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	p-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<i>p</i> -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	7d	65
6	1e	p-OMeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<i>p</i> -OMeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	7e	15 <sup>c</sup>
7	1g	Ph	Ph	$c-C_6H_9^d$	7g	61
8	1i	<i>i</i> -Pr	Ph	Ph	7i	38 <sup>e</sup>
9	1m	<i>i</i> -Pr	<i>i</i> -Pr	Ph	7m	-
10	1n	Ph	$3-Th^{\mathrm{f}}$	Ph	7n	79

<sup>1</sup> Isolated yield of **7** after column chromatography.

<sup>b</sup> Reaction performed at a 4 mmol scale (1.14 g of **1b**)

<sup>c</sup> The product decomposed in the reaction media and during purification.

<sup>d</sup> c-C<sub>6</sub> $\hat{H}_9$  = Cyclohexenyl.

<sup>e</sup> The allene was obtained accompanied with the  $S_N$  adduct 8 (32%) and the

dehydration product 9 (5%).

f 2-Th = 2-Thienyl.

Having demonstrated the feasibility of the synthesis of allcarbon tetrasusbstituted allenes from tertiary propargylic alcohols under simple Brønsted acid catalysis, and the wide scope founded when trimethoxyarenes are used as nucleophiles, we turned our attention to dimethoxyphenols that would initially produce allenes that could evolve in cascade reaction to highly valuable products. To this aim, we selected 3,4-dimethoxyphenol that we envisaged that would furnish polysubstituted 2H-chromenes in a cascade fashion through an initial  $S_N$  attack, of the more nucleophilic 6 position of the phenol, followed by heterocyclization of the acid-activated allene.<sup>11,12</sup> As we anticipated, reaction of a slight excess of the selected phenol (1.5 equiv.) with the model tertiary alkynol 1a, under the conditions developed for trimethoxyarenes, exclusively produced 2Hchromene 10a in very high yield (Table 4, entry 1). The scope of the cascade process was then examined. As depicted in Table 4, triaryl as well as diaryl-heteroaryl substituted substrates 1a-e,o efficiently react with 3,4-dimethoxyphenol affording the corresponding chromenes 10a-e,o in high yields (entries 1-4 and 10), including an example at gram scale (entry 3). Moreover, most of the reactions of less reactive alkynols 1h-k,p-t, containing one aromatic and one alkyl group at the propargylic position, also occurred with total selection to form the cascade products 10h-j,p-r in good yields (Table 4, entries 5-7, 11-13). Only 2H-chromene 10k, derived from a propargylic alcohol bearing a linear alkyl group and an electron-poor arene at the  $\alpha$ position, was obtained in moderate yield due to the formation of competitive S<sub>N</sub> adduct (Table 4, entry 8). Notably, reaction of more demanding  $\alpha$ -di-*iso*-propyl substituted propargylic alcohol 1m occurred selectively to form a 2,2-dialkyl substituted chromene derivative 10m in good yield with formation of less than 10% of the elimination subproduct (Table 4, entry 9). In addition, this methodology is also useful for the preparation of 4alkyl substituted chromenes 10s-u from  $\gamma$ -alkyl substituted propargylic alcohols 1s-u (Table 4, entries 14-16).

Table 4. Acid-catalyzed synthesis of polysubstituted 2Hchromenes 10 from tertiary alkynols 1 and 3,4dimethoxyphenol.

он		но	OMe PTSA (5	mol%) F		OMe
$R^1 \xrightarrow{\uparrow} R^2$	<sup>≧</sup> R³	+	OMe CH <sub>3</sub> CN,	60 min	\$	OMe
1		(1.5 equiv	(.)		R <sup>3</sup>	10
Entry	1	$\mathbb{R}^1$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	R <sup>3</sup>	10	Yield (%) <sup>a</sup>
1	1a	<i>p</i> -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<i>p</i> -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	10a	89
2	1b	Ph	Ph	Ph	10b	86
3	1b	Ph	Ph	Ph	10b	76
4	1c	<i>p</i> -ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	p-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	10c	76
5	1e	<i>p</i> -OMeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	p-OMeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	10e	88
6	1h	c-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	Ph	Ph	10h	86
7	1i	<i>i</i> -Pr	Ph	Ph	10i	81
8	1j	Pr	Ph	Ph	10j	90
9	1k	Et	p-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	10k	62 <sup>c</sup>
10	1m	<i>i</i> -Pr	<i>i</i> -Pr	Ph	10m	72 <sup>d</sup>
11	10	Ph	2-Th <sup>e</sup>	Ph	100	70
12	1p	c-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	2-Th <sup>e</sup>	Ph	10p	71
13	1q	Me	Ph	Ph	10q	75
14	1r	c-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	p-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	10r	77
15	<b>1</b> s	p-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<i>p</i> -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Bu	10s	$70^{\rm f}$
16	1t	Pr	Ph	Bu	10t	67 <sup>f</sup>
17	1u	Ph	Ph	c-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	10u	63

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield of **10** after column chromatography.

<sup>b</sup> Reaction performed at a 4 mmol scale (1.14 g of **1b**)

 $^{\rm c}$  Formation of  $S_N$  adduct was also observed (16%).

 $^{d}$  < 10% of elimination product was also detected.

<sup>e</sup> 2-Th = 2-Thienyl.

<sup>f</sup>Obtained as a mixture (1.2:1 entry 15, 1.9:1 entry 16) of two isomers as a result of olefin isomerization.

The range of the accessible 2,2,4-trisubstituted 2H-chromenes using our metal-free methodology was extended to other isomers 11 and 12 by switching the nucleophile to 2,3-dimethoxyphenol or 3,5-dimethoxyphenol (Scheme 2). As expected, reaction with the later phenol produced a mixture of the corresponding 2Hchromene 12b and the allene derivative 13b as a result of the initial competitive nucleophilic S<sub>N</sub>' addition. Finally, 2Hchromene 14a has been synthesized in high yield from less nucleophilic 3-methoxyphenol, thus enhancing the usefulness of the developed methodology.



Scheme 2. Acid-catalyzed reaction of tertiary alkynols 1 with other methoxyphenols.

#### 3. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a clean, simple and effective metal-free methodology for the preparation of functionalized all-carbon tetrasubstituted allenes by direct nucleophilic substitution reaction of tertiary propargylic alcohols with tri- or dimethoxyarenes and allyltrimethylsilane. While the scope of the reaction with allyltrimethylsilane seems to be limited, a wide variety of allenes have been prepared employing rich aromatic compounds as nucleophiles. In addition, the employment of methoxyphenols as the nucleophilic partners lead to the formation of 2*H*-chromenes in a cascade process that involves the heterocyclization of the initially formed allene in the reaction medium giving functionalized chromenes as final products of the process.

#### 4. Experimental section

#### 4.1. General methods

All common reagents, catalysts and solvents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without any further purification. The starting alkynols 1 were synthesized by well established procedures consisting in the nucleophilic addition of the appropriate lithium acetylide to the corresponding ketone. All reactions were assembled under air atmosphere in oven-dried glassware with magnetic stirring. TLC analysis was performed on aluminium-backed plates coated with silica gel 60 (230-240 mesh) with F<sub>254</sub> indicator (Merck). Flash column chromatography was carried out on silica gel 60, 230-240 mesh. R<sub>f</sub> values are reported on silica gel. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded recorded on Varian Mercury-Plus 300 MHz and Varian Inova-400 MHz in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm using the residual solvent peak as reference (CHCl<sub>3</sub>:  $\delta$  7.26). Data are reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity (s: singlet, d: doublet, t: triplet, q: quartet, hept: heptet; m: multiplet, dd: doublet of doublets, dt: doublet of triplets, dq: doublet of quartets, ddt: doublet of doublet of triplets, bs: broad singlet), coupling constant (J in Hz), and integration.  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra were recorded at 75.4 or 100.6 MHz using broadband proton decoupling, and chemical shifts are reported in ppm using residual solvent peaks as reference (CDCl<sub>3</sub>: δ 77.16). Carbon multiplicities were assigned by DEPT techniques. Highresolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Micromass Autospec spectrometer using EI at 70 eV. Melting points were and are uncorrected. GC-MS and low-resolution mass spectra (LRMS) measurements were recorded on Agilent 6890N/5973 Network GC System equipped with a HP-5MS column.

5

# 4.2 General procedure for the synthesis of tetrasubstituted allenes 2,4-7 from propargylic alcohols 1

To a mixture of the corresponding alkynol  $\mathbf{1}$  (1 mmol) and the appropriate trimethoxyarene (1 mmol, 168 mg), 1,3dimethoxybenzene (1 mmol, 138 mg) or allyltrimethylsilane (3 mmol, 0.5 mL) in MeCN (2 mL) was added p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (PTSA) (5 mol %, 9 mg) for reactions with arenes or 2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonic acid hydrate (DNBSA) (5 mol %, 15 mg) for reactions with allyltrimethylsilane. The resulted reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until the reactants had been consumed, as determined by GC-MS and/or TLC. The crude mixture was neutralized by the addition of NaOH 0.5 M (5 mL), and EtOAc (15 mL) was added. The separated aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 15 mL). The combined organic layers were dried with anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: mixtures of hexane/AcOEt) to afford the corresponding allenes 2,4-7 in the yields reported in Tables 2-3 and Scheme 1.

*1*-(2,4,6-*Trimethoxyphenyl*)-*1*-*phenyl*-3,3-*di*-*p*-tolylpropa-1,2diene (2a). 365 mg (79% yield). White solid; mp: 159–161 °C;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 6:1) 0.21. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.38 (s, 6H), 3.67 (s, 6H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 6.23 (s, 2H), 7.13–7.21 (m, 5H), 7.24–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.32 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 21.3 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.9 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 91.1 (2 × CH), 103.3 (C), 106.3 (C), 111.2 (C), 126.2 (2 × CH), 126.6 (CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 128.7 (4 × CH), 129.0 (4 × CH), 133.5 (2 × C), 136.6 (C), 136.8 (2 × C), 159.3 (2 × C), 161.1 (C), 208.5 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m*/*z* (%): 462 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 462.2189 found 462.2181.

*1*-(2,4,6-*Trimethoxyphenyl*)-*1*,3,3-*triphenylpropa*-*1*,2-*diene* (**2b**). 1 mmol scale rection: 395 mg (91% yield); 4 mmol scale reaction: 1.47 g (85% yield). White solid; mp: 170–172 °C; R<sub>f</sub> (Hexane/AcOEt 10:1) 0.32. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.66 (s, 6H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 6.24 (s, 2H), 7.18–7.41 (m, 11H), 7.52–7.57 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 55.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.9 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 91.2 (2 × CH), 103.7 (C), 106.1 (C), 111.5 (C), 126.2 (2 × CH), 126.8 (CH), 127.2 (2 × CH), 128.3 (4 × CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 128.9 (4 × CH), 136.5 (2 × C), 136.4 (C), 136.8 (2 × C), 159.3 (2 × C), 161.1 (C), 208.8 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m*/z (%): 434 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 434.1876 found 434.1877.

#### 3,3-Di-p-Chlorophenyl-1-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)-1-

*phenylpropa-1,2-diene* (2*c*). 496 mg (99% yield). White solid; mp: 204–206 °C; R<sub>f</sub> (Hexane/AcOEt 6:1) 0.23. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.64 (s, 6H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 6.22 (s, 2H), 7.19– 7.32 (m, 9H), 7.40–7.42 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 55.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.9 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 91.1 (2 × CH), 104.4 (C), 105.4 (C), 109.7 (C), 126.2 (2 × CH), 127.1 (CH), 128.6 (6 × CH), 130.0 (4 × CH), 133.1 (2 × C), 134.7 (2 × C), 135.8 (C), 159.2 (2 × C), 161.4 (C), 208.8 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m*/*z* (%): 502 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>24</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 502.1097 found 502.1098.

3,3-Di-p-Chlorophenyl-1-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)-1-di-ptolylpropa-1,2-diene (2d). 367 mg (71% yield). White solid; mp: 188–190 °C;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 8:1) 0.47. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.33 (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 6H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 6.22 (s, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, M CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 21.3$  (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.9 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 91.0 (2 × CH), 104.3 (C), 105.5 (C), 109.6 (C), 126.1 (2 × CH), 128.5 (4 × CH), 129.4 (2 × CH), 130.0 (4 × CH), 132.7 (C), 133.0 (C), 134.8 (C), 136.9 (C), 159.2 (2 × C), 161.3 (C), 208.6 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 516 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>26</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 516.1254 found 516.1257.

3,3-Di-p-Methoxyphenyl-1-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)-1-phenyl-1,2-diene (**2e**). 465 mg (94% yield). White solid; mp: 184–186 °C; R<sub>f</sub> (Hexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.12. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ = 3.66 (s, 6H), 3.82 (s, 6H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 6.23 (s, 2H), 6.88 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.13–7.20 (m, 1H), 7.26 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.30– 7.34 (m, 2H), 7.44 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 55.4 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.0 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 91.1 (2 × CH), 103.2 (C), 106.5 (C), 110.6 (C), 113.7 (4 × CH), 126.2 (2 × CH), 126.6 (CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 128.9 (2 × C), 129.9 (4 × CH), 136.8 (C), 158.9 (2 × C), 159.3 (2 × C), 161.1 (C), 208.2 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m*/*z* (%): 494 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup> 494.2088 found 494.2092.

#### 6,6-bis(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)-6H-

*cyclopenta[b]thiophene* (*3f*). 310 mg (62% yield). Yellow solid; mp: 87–89 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.79 (s, 12H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 6.26 (s, 2H), 6.75–6.96 (m, 6H), 7.28 (bs, 1H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 55.2 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.9 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 63.3 (C), 91.0 (2 × CH), 106.3 (C), 113.6 (4 × CH), 120.8 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 128.9 (4 × CH), 130.2 (C), 136.2 (CH), 146.5 (2 × C), 149.2 (C), 150.6 (C), 158.2 (2 × C), 159.2 (2 × C), 160.8 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 500 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S<sup>+</sup> 500.1652 found 500.1655.

#### 1-Cyclohexenyl-1-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)-3,3-

*diphenylpropa-1,2-diene* (**2g**). 329 mg (75% yield). White solid; mp: 139–141 °C; R<sub>f</sub> (Hexane/AcOEt 15:1) 0.23. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.60–1.63 (m, 2H), 1.70–1.73 (m, 2H), 2.05–2.08 (m, 2H), 2.33–2.36 (m, 2H), 3.69 (s, 6H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 5.36 (bs, 1H), 6.19 (s, 2H), 7.22–7.26 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.33 (m, 4H), 7.45–7.47 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 22.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 23.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 26.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 26.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 55.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.1 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 91.4 (2 × CH), 106.2 (C), 107.2 (C), 110.3 (C), 124.2 (CH), 126.7 (2 × CH), 128.1 (4 × CH), 128.8 (4 × CH), 132.8 (C), 137.3 (2 × C), 159.1 (2 × C), 160.7 (C), 207.5 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 438 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 270 (66), 181 (43); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 438.2189 found 438.2193.

3-Cyclopropyl-1-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)-1,3-diphenylpropa-1,2-diene (**2h**). 242 mg (61% yield). Yellow oil;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 10:1) 0.30. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta =$  0.63–0.67 (m, 1H), 0.83–0.90 (m, 3H), 1.65–1.71 (m, 1H), 3.75 (s, 6H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 6.21 (s, 2H), 7.14–7.37 (m, 8H), 7.76–7.81 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta =$  6.88 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.93 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 10.9 (CH), 55.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.8 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 90.9 (2 × CH), 104.8 (C), 106.6 (c), 111.1 (C), 126.0 (2 × CH), 126.6 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 126.8 (2 × CH), 128.3 (2 × CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 136.8 (C), 137.2 (C), 159.1 (2 × C), 161.0 (C), 205.6 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 398 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 229 (37), 181 (76); HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{27}H_{26}O_3^+$  398.1876 found 398.1881.

#### 3-Isopropyl-1-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)-1,3-diphenylpropa-

*1,2-diene* (2*i*). 313 mg (78% yield). White solid; mp: 115–117 °C;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 15:1) 0.19. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.24$  (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 3.03 (hept, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 6H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 6.26 (s, 2H), 7.15–7.40 (m, 8H), 7.62–7.70 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 22.3$  (CH<sub>3</sub>), 22.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 28.8 (CH), 55.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.7 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 90.8 (2 × CH), 104.9 (C), 106.7 (C), 114.9 (C), 125.9

(2 × CH), 126.4 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 127.0 (2 × CH), 128.3 (2 × CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 136.3 (C), 137.1 (C), 159.2 (2 × C), 161.0 (C), 205.9 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 400 (M<sup>+</sup>, 22), 357 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{27}H_{28}O_3^+$  400.2033 found 400.2035.

*I*-(2,4,6-*Trimethoxyphenyl*)-*1*,3-*diphenyl*-3-*propylpropa*-1,2*diene* (2*j*). 365 mg (91% yield). Colourless oil; R<sub>f</sub> (Hexane/AcOEt 15:1) 0.22. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta =$  1.12 (t, *J* = 7.3, 3H), 1.76–1.96 (m, 2H), 2.58–2.78 (m, 2H), 3.82 (s, 6H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 6.33 (s, 2H), 7.22–7.50 (m, 8H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.4, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta =$  14.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 21.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 32.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 55.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.7 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 90.9 (2 × CH), 103.8 (C), 106.7 (C), 107.7 (C), 126.0 (2 × CH), 126.4 (CH), 126.5 (3 × CH), 128.2 (2 × CH), 128.3 (2 × CH), 136.8 (C), 137.2 (C), 159.2 (2 × C), 161.0 (C), 206.9 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 400 (M<sup>+</sup>, 54), 371 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 400.2033 found 400.2036.

#### 3-p-Chlorophenyl-3-ethyl-1-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)-1-

*phenylpropa-1,2-diene* (2*k*). 340 mg (81% yield). Colourless oil;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 10:1) 0.37. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 1.25 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 2.40–2.67 (m, 2H), 3.72 (s, 6H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 6.21 (s, 2H), 7.12–7.30 (m, 7H), 7.50–7.58 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 12.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 23.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 55.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.7 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 90.8 (2 × CH), 104.9 (C), 106.3 (C), 108.8 (C), 126.0 (2 × CH), 126.6 (CH), 127.7 (2 × CH), 128.3 (2 × CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 132.1 (C), 135.4 (C), 136.9 (C), 159.1 (2 × C), 161.1 (C), 206.6 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 420 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>25</sub>ClO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 420.1487 found 420.1492.

#### 3-Methyl-1-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-1-(3-

*thienyl)propa-1,2-diene* (21). 295 mg (78% yield). Orange solid; mp: 120–122 °C;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 10:1) 0.33. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 2.27$  (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 6H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 6.26 (s, 2H), 6.82–6.87 (m, 1H), 7.14–7.43 (m, 5H), 7.63–7.68 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 16.5$  (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.9 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 91.0 (2 × CH), 98.1 (C), 101.7 (C), 107.0 (C), 120.1 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 126.4 (2 × CH), 126.6 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 128.2 (2 × CH), 137.9 (C), 139.0 (C), 159.2 (2 × C), 161.0 (C), 207.0 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m*/*z* (%): 378 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sup>+</sup> 378.1284 found 378.1288.

3,3-Diiso-propyl-1-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)-1-phenylpropa-1,2-diene (**2m**). 212 mg (58% yield). White solid; mp: 102–104 °C; R<sub>f</sub> (Hexane/AcOEt 15:1) 0.19. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.07$  (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 1.21 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 2.30 (hept, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (s, 6H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 6.21 (s, 2H), 7.07–7.14 (m, 1H), 7.17–7.30 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 22.4$  (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 22.6 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 31.1 (2 × CH), 55.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.6 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 90.7 (2 × CH), 103.2 (C), 108.3 (C), 120.2 (C), 125.4 (2 × CH), 125.7 (CH), 128.1 (2 × CH), 138.7 (C), 159.2 (2 × C), 160.7 (C), 201.0 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 366 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 366.2189 found 366.2194.

*1*-(2,3,5-*Trimethoxyphenyl*)-*1*,3,3-*triphenylpropa*-*1*,2-*diene* (*4b*). 313 mg (72% yield). White solid; mp: 181–183 °C; R<sub>f</sub> (Hexane/AcOEt 10:1) 0.35. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 6.86 (s, 1H), 7.22–7.41 (m, 11H), 7.48–7.53 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 56.2 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 57.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 98.8 (CH), 108.0 (C), 111.8 (C), 114.7 (CH), 116.6 (C), 127.0 (2 × CH), 127.1 (CH), 127.4 (2 × CH), 128.46 (4 × CH), 128.51 (2 × CH), 128.7 (4 × CH), 136.57 (2 × C), 136.59 (C), 143.3 (C), 149.4 (C), 152.1 (C), 208.5 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 434 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 434.1876 found 434.1879. 1,2-diene (4i). 300 mg (75% yield). Colourless oil;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 15:1) 0.24. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.23$  (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.32 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (hept, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 1H), 3.81 (s, 1H), 3.93 (s, 1H), 6.61 (s, 1H), 6.86 (s, 1H), 7.37–7.14 (m, 8H), 7.58–7.49 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 22.5$  (CH<sub>3</sub>), 22.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 29.0 (CH), 56.2 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 98.5 (CH), 109.4 (C), 114.8 (CH), 115.5 (C), 117.4 (C), 126.5 (2 × CH), 126.7 (CH), 126.8 (3 × CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 136.3 (C), 137.2 (C), 143.1 (C), 149.2 (C), 151.9 (C), 205.5 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 400 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 400.2033 found 400.2036.

*1*-(2,3,4-*Trimethoxyphenyl*)-*1*,3,3-*triphenylpropa*-*1*,2-*diene* (*5b*). The product could not be completely isolated from 1,2,3trimethoxybenzene. The yield and the following spectroscopic data were estimated from the mixture. 230 mg (53% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.59 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 6.74 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.18–7.41 (m, 11H), 7.43–7.52 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 56.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 61.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 61.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 107.3 (CH), 108.2 (C), 111.9 (C), 123.0 (C), 125.5 (CH), 127.0 (2 × CH), 127.2 (CH), 127.5 (2 × CH), 128.5 (6 × CH), 128.6 (4 × CH), 136.6 (2 × C), 136.9 (C), 142.7 (C), 152.2 (C), 153.6 (C), 208.2 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 434 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100).

*1-(2,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-1-phenyl-3,3-di-p-tolylpropa-1,2diene* (*6a*). The product was isolated together with the regioisomeric allene **6a**'. The yield and the following spectroscopic data were estimated from the mixture. 324 mg (75% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 2.40$  (s, 6H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 6.54–6.59 (m, 2H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H), 7.22–7.40 (m, 10H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 21.3$  (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 99.3 (CH), 104.6 (CH), 107.8 (C), 111.3 (C), 118.1 (C), 126.8 (CH), 126.9 (2 × CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 128.6 (4 × CH), 129.2 (4 × CH), 131.8 (C), 133.8 (2 × C), 137.0 (2 × C + CH), 158.7 (C), 160.7 (C), 208.3 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 432 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 293 (12).

3-Allyl-1,1-di-p-tolyl-3-phenylpropa-1,2-diene (**7a**). 269 mg (80% yield). Yellow oil;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 30:1) 0.22. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.42 (s, 6H), 3.42–3.48 (m, 2H), 5.14–5.19 (m, 1H), 5.25–5.32 (m, 1H), 6.02–6.15 (m, 1H), 7.18–7.29 (m, 5H), 7.33–7.43 (m, 6H), 7.53–7.58 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 21.3 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 35.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 107.2 (C), 113.0 (C), 116.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 126.2 (2 × CH), 127.1 (CH), 128.0 (4 × CH), 128.6 (2 × CH), 129.2 (4 × CH), 134.0 (2 × C), 135.7 (CH), 136.1 (C), 137.2 (2 × C), 207.3 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 336 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 295 (87), 195 (77); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>24</sub><sup>+</sup> 336.1873 found 336.1878.

3-Allyl-1,1,3-triphenylpropa-1,2-diene (7b). 1 mmol scale rection: 237 mg (77% yield); 4 mmol scale reaction: 1.05 g (85% yield). White solid; mp: 71–73 °C;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 6:1) 0.59. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.44 (dt, J = 6.5, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 5.15 (dq, J = 10.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (dq, J = 17.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.06 (ddt, J = 16.6, 10.1, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 7.21–7.40 (m, 9H), 7.49–7.58 (m, 4H), 7.62–7.67 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 35.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 107.5 (C), 113.3 (C), 116.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 126.2 (2 × CH), 127.3 (CH), 127.5 (2 × CH), 128.48 (4 × CH), 128.54 (4 × CH), 128.7 (4 × CH), 135.5 (CH), 135.8 (C), 136.9 (2 × C), 207.6 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 308 (M<sup>+</sup>, 24), 267 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>20</sub><sup>+</sup> 308.1560 found 308.1569.

3-Allyl-1,1-di-p-chlorophenyl-3-phenylpropa-1,2-diene (7c). 290 mg (77% yield). Yellow solid; mp: 104–106 °C;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 30:1) 0.64. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = (dd, J = 16.8, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 5.21 (dd, J = 10.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (dd, J = 16.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.07 (ddt, J = 16.8, 10.1, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 7.21–7.42 (m, 11H), 7.55 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 35.0$  (CH<sub>2</sub>), 108.4 (C), 111.6 (C), 117.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 126.1 (2 × CH), 127.6 (CH), 128.8 (6 × CH), 129.6 (4 × CH), 133.5 (CH), 135.0 (2 × C), 135.13 (C), 135.15 (C), 135.2 (C), 207.5 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 376 (M<sup>+</sup>, 74), 335 (100), 299 (43); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> 376.0780 found 376.0787.

7

3-Allyl-1,1-di-p-chlorophenyl-3-p-tolylpropa-1,2-diene (7d). 254 mg (65% yield). Yellow oil;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 30:1) 0.60. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 2.36$  (s, 3H), 3.40 (dt, J = 6.5, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 5.13 (dq, J = 10.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dq, J = 17.1, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (ddt, J = 16.7, 10.1, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 7.13–7.21 (m, 2H), 7.23–7.44 (m, 11H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta =$ 21.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 35.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 108.3 (C), 111.5 (C), 117.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 126.1 (2 × CH), 128.8 (4 × CH), 129.5 (2 × CH), 129.6 (4 × CH), 132.2 (C), 133.4 (CH), 135.1 (2 × C), 135.3 (2 × C), 137.5 (C), 207.4 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 390 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>20</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> 390.0937 found 390.0946.

3-Allyl-1,1-di-p-methoxyphenyl-3-phenylpropa-1,2-diene (7e). 55 mg (15% yield). Brown oil;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 6:1) 0.29. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.39 (dq, J = 6.5, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 3.81 (s, 6H), 5.11 (dt, J = 10.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dt, J = 17.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.93–6.11 (m, 1H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 7.15–7.38 (m, 7H), 7.42–7.58 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 35.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 55.4 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 107.0 (C), 112.4 (C), 113.4 (4 × CH), 116.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 126.1 (2 × CH), 127.1 (CH), 128.6 (2 × CH), 129.3 (2 × C), 129.6 (4 × CH), 135.8 (CH), 136.2 (C), 159.1 (2 × C), 207.0 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 368 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 327 (72); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> 368.1171 found 368.1175.

3-Allyl-3-cyclohexenyl-1,1-diphenylpropa-1,2-diene (**7g**). 190 mg (61% yield). Yellow oil; R<sub>f</sub> (Hexane/AcOEt 20:1) 0.61. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.57–1.76 (m, 4H), 2.13–2.30 (m, 4H), 3.17 (dt, *J* = 6.7, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 5.03–5.14 (m, 1H), 5.18 (dq, *J* = 17.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.83–5.92 (m, 1H), 5.98 (ddt, *J* = 16.7, 10.1, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.47 (m, 10H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 22.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 23.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 26.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 27.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 34.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 109.8 (C), 112.2 (C), 116.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 123.7 (CH), 127.1 (2 × CH), 128.38 (4 × CH), 128.42 (4 × CH), 132.6 (C), 136.3 (CH), 137.6 (2 × C), 206.8 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m*/*z* (%): 368 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 327 (72); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>20</sub><sup>+</sup> 312.1873 found 312.1881.

3-Allyl-1,1-dipheny-3-(2-thienyl)lpropa-1,2-diene (7n). 248 mg (79% yield). Orange oil;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 15:1) 0.46. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 3.39-3.42$  (m, 2H), 5.01–5.17 (m, 1H), 5.20–5.30 (m, 1H), 5.95–6.14 (m, 1H), 6.96–7.04 (m, 2H), 7.10–7.44 (m, 8H), 7.45–7.60 (m, 3H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 35.3$  (CH<sub>2</sub>), 108.2 (C), 117.1 (C), 125.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 126.0 (CH), 126.4 (2 × CH), 127.46 (CH), 127.51 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.3 (2 × CH), 128.6 (2 × CH), 128.7 (2 × CH), 128.8 (CH), 131.7 (CH), 135.2 (C), 135.6 (C), 136.5 (C), 207.3 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 314 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 173 (54); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sup>+</sup> 314.1124 found 314.1129.

#### 4.3 General procedure for the synthesis of 2H-Chromenes 10-12,14 from propargylic alcohols 1 and methoxyphenols

PTSA (5 mol %, 9 mg) was added to a mixture of the corresponding alkynol **1** (1 mmol) and the appropriate dimethoxyphenol (1.5 mmol, 231 mg) or 3-methoxyphenol (1.5 mmol, 186 mg) in MeCN (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until the reactants had been consumed, as determined by GC–MS and/or TLC. The crude mixture was neutralized by the addition of NaOH 0.5 M (5 mL),

and EtOAc (15 mL) was added. The separated aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 15 mL). The combined organic layers were dried with anhydrous  $Na_2SO_4$  and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: mixtures of hexane/AcOEt) to afford the corresponding 2*H*-chromenes **10-12,14** in the yields reported in Table 4 and Scheme 2.

6,7-Dimethoxy-4-phenyl-2,2-di-p-tolyl-2H-chromene (10a). 399 mg (89% yield). White solid; mp: 61–63 °C;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.21. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 2.34$ (s, 6H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 6.05 (s, 1H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 6.66 (s, 1H), 7.15–7.17 (m, 4H), 7.41–7.50 (m, 9H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 21.2$  (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 82.4 (C), 101.5 (CH), 109.4 (CH), 114.3 (C), 125.5 (CH), 127.1 (4 × CH), 128.0 (CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 128.8 (2 × CH), 128.9 (4 × CH), 135.8 (C), 137.2 (2 × C), 138.5 (C), 142.3 (2 × C), 143.1 (C), 147.8 (C), 150.2 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 448 (M<sup>+</sup>, 22), 357 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 448.2033 found 448.2036.

6,7-Dimethoxy-2,2,4-triphenyl-2H-chromene (10b). 1 mmol scale rection: 361 mg (86% yield); 4 mmol scale reaction: 1.26 g (76% yield). White solid; mp: 142–144 °C;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.19. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 6.03 (s, 1H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 7.25–7.52 (m, 15H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 56.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 82.5 (C), 101.5 (CH), 109.4 (CH), 114.3 (C), 125.2 (CH), 127.1 (4 × CH), 127.6 (2 × CH), 128.1 (CH), 128.2 (4 × CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 128.8 (2 × CH), 136.1 (C), 138.4 (C), 143.2 (C), 145.1 (2 × C), 147.7 (C), 150.3 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 420 (M<sup>+</sup>, 24), 343 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 420.1720 found 420.1729.

2,2-Di-p-Chlorophenyl-6,7-dimethoxy-4-phenyl-2H-chromene (**10**c). 371 mg (76% yield). White solid; mp: 168–170 °C;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.22. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 3.67$  (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 5.92 (s, 1H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 7.29–7.32 (m, 4H), 7.41–7.48 (m, 9H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 56.1$  (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 81.6 (C), 101.4 (CH), 109.4 (CH), 114.2 (C), 123.9 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 128.46 (4 × CH), 128.50 (4 × CH), 128.6 (2 × CH), 128.7 (2 × CH), 133.7 (2 × C), 136.9 (C), 138.0 (C), 143.2 (2 × C), 143.5 (C), 147.3 (C), 150.5 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m*/*z* (%): 488 (M<sup>+</sup>, 22), 377 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 488.0941 found 488.0945.

#### 6,7-dimethoxy-2,2-di-p-methoxyphenyl-4-phenyl-2H-

*chromene* (**10e**). 422 mg (88% yield). Yellow solid; mp: 65–67 °C;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.27. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 6H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 5.98 (s, 1H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 6.61 (s, 1H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 4H), 7.39–7.51 (m, 9H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 55.3 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 82.2 (C), 101.5 (CH), 109.4 (CH), 113.5 (4 × CH), 114.3 (C), 125.6 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 128.48 (4 × CH), 128.53 (2 × CH), 128.8 (2 × CH), 135.7 (C), 137.4 (2 × C), 138.5 (C), 143.1 (C), 147.8 (C), 150.3 (C), 158.9 (2 × C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 480 (M<sup>+</sup>, 39), 373 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup> 480.1931 found 480.1939.

#### 2-Cyclopropyl-6,7-dimethoxy-2,4-diphenyl-2H-chromene

(10*h*). 330 mg (86% yield). White solid; mp: 158–160 °C;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.25. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 0.50–0.69 (m, 4H), 1.45–1.54 (m, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 5.74 (s, 1H), 6.52 (s, 1H), 6.64 (s, 1H), 7.23–7.28 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.44 (m, 7H), 7.61–7.65 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 21.7 (CH), 56.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 80.6 (C), 101.3 (CH), 109.5 (CH), 114.2 (C), 122.9 (CH), 126.2 (2 × CH), 127.4 (CH), 128.0 (3 × CH), 128.5 (2 × CH),

128.8 (2 × CH), 136.4 (C), 138.6 (C), 142.9 (C), 144.9 (C), 148.3 (C) 150.1 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 384 (M<sup>+</sup>, 48), 343 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{26}H_{24}O_3^+$  384.1720 found 384.1721.

2-Isopropyl-6,7-dimethoxy-2,4-diphenyl-2H-chromene (10i). 313 mg (81% yield). White solid; mp: 161–163 °C;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.30. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 0.97 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.02 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 2.28 (hept, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 5.95 (s, 1H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 6.64 (s, 1H), 7.19–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.38–7.51 (m, 7H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 17.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 39.0 (CH), 56.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 83.6 (C), 101.2 (CH), 109.6 (CH), 114.5 (C), 123.1 (CH), 126.1 (2 × CH), 127.0 (CH), 127.8 (2 × CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 128.8 (2 × CH), 136.5 (C), 138.9 (C), 142.8 (C), 144.9 (C), 148.6 (C), 150.1 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 386 (M<sup>+</sup>, 1), 343 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 386.1876 found 386.1889.

6,7-Dimethoxy-2,4-diphenyl-2-propyl-2H-chromene (**10***j*). 347 mg (90% yield). White solid; mp: 84–87 °C; R<sub>f</sub> (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.27. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 0.93 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.40–1.56 (m, 2H), 1.98–2.12 (m, 2H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 5.91 (s, 1H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 6.66 (s, 1H), 7.20–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.48 (m, 7H), 7.52–7.58 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 14.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 45.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 56.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 81.1 (C), 101.3 (CH), 109.6 (CH), 114.4 (C), 124.8 (CH), 125.5 (2 × CH), 127.1 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.1 (2 × CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 128.8 (2 × CH), 136.0 (C), 138.7 (C), 143.0 (C), 145.6 (2 × C), 148.4 (C), 150.1 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 386 (M<sup>+</sup>, 32), 343 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 386.1876 found 386.1881.

#### 2-p-Chlorophenyl-6,7-Dimethoxy-2-methyl-4-phenyl-2H-

*chromene* (9*k*). 252 mg (62% yield). Yellow oil;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.32. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.98$  (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 2.08 (q, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 5.87 (s, 1H), 6.55 (s, 1H), 6.66 (s, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.36–7.46 (m, 5H), 7.49 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 14.4$  (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 45.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 56.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 81.1 (C), 101.3 (CH), 109.6 (CH), 114.4 (C), 124.8 (CH), 125.5 (2 × CH), 127.1 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.1 (2 × CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 128.8 (2 × CH), 136.0 (C), 138.7 (C), 143.0 (C), 145.6 (2 × C), 148.4 (C), 150.1 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 406 (M<sup>+</sup>, 3), 377 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>23</sub>ClO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 406.1330 found 406.1336.

#### 2,2-Di-isopropyl-6,7-Dimethoxy-4-phenyl-2H-chromene

(10m). 253 mg (72% yield). Yellow oil;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.37. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.99$  (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 1.06 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 2.04 (hept, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 5.20 (s, 1H), 6.42 (s, 1H), 6.48 (s, 1H), 7.29– 7.45 (m, 5H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 16.7$  (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.4 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 35.8 (2 × CH), 56.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 86.3 (C), 99.6 (CH), 109.6 (CH), 112.3 (C), 120.8 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 128.6 (2 × CH), 136.6 (C), 139.2 (C), 141.7 (C), 150.1 (C), 150.7 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 352 (M<sup>+</sup>, 2), 309 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 352.2033 found 352.2038.

6,7-Dimethoxy-2,4-diphenyl-2-thienyl-2H-chromene (100). 298 mg (70% yield). Red solid; mp: 58–60 °C; R<sub>f</sub> (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.28. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 6.07 (s, 1H), 6.60 (s, 1H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 6.92–6.98 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.50 (m, 9H), 7.57–7.61 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 56.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 80.4 (C), 101.6 (CH), 109.4 (CH), 114.1 (C), 124.7 (CH), 126.2 (CH), 126.43 (CH), 126.44 (CH), 126.5 (2 × CH), 127.8 (CH), 128.2 (3 × CH), 128.6 (2 × CH), 128.8 (2 × CH), 136.3 (C), 138.1 (C),

143.4 (C), 144.7 (C), 147.5 (C), 149.6 (C), 150.4 (C) ppm. № 442.2 (2 × C), 145.0 (C), 153.8 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 426 (M<sup>+</sup>, 58), 349 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{27}H_{22}O_3S^+$  426.1284 found 426.1301.

#### 2-Cyclopropyl-6,7-Dimethoxy-4-phenyl-2-thienyl-2H-

chromene (10p). 277 mg (58% yield). Brown oil; R<sub>f</sub> (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.24. <sup>T</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta =$ 0.60-0.65 (m, 3H), 0.77-0.82 (m, 1H), 1.53-1.62 (m, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 5.65 (s, 1H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 6.94 (dd, J = 5.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (dd, J = 3.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (dd, J = 5.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.47 (m, 5H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 1.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.1 (CH), 56.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 78.6 (C), 101.5 (CH), 109.5 (CH), 114.0 (C), 122.3 (CH), 124.8 (CH), 125.3 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 128.6 (2 × CH), 128.8 (2 × CH), 136.8 (C), 138.4 (C), 143.2 (C), 147.9 (C), 149.1 (C), 150.3 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 390 (M<sup>+</sup>, 82), 349 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sup>+</sup> 390.1284 found 390.1286.

6,7-Dimethoxy-2-methyl-2,4-diphenyl-2H-chromene (10q).268 mg (75% yield). White solid; mp: 144–146 °C;  $R_f$ (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.30. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.84$ (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 5.88 (s, 1H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 6.64 (s, 1H), 7.23-7.25 (m, 1H), 7.33-7.45 (m, 7H), 7.59-7.62 (m, 2H) ppm.  $^{13}$ C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 29.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 78.5 (C), 101.4 (CH), 109.5 (CH), 114.3 (C), 125.4 (2  $\times$  CH), 125.6 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 128.3 (2  $\times$ CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 128.8 (2 × CH), 135.6 (C), 138.5 (C), 143.1 (C), 146.0 (C), 148.1 (C), 150.1 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 358 (M<sup>+</sup>, 10), 343 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{24}H_{22}O_3^+$ 358.1563 found 358.1560.

#### 2-p-Chlorophenyl-2-Cyclopropyl-6,7-Dimethoxy-4-phenyl-2H-chromene (10r). 322 mg (77% yield). Colourless oil; $R_f$

(Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.30. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 0.54-0.63 (m, 3H), 0.86-0.91 (m, 1H), 1.41-1.50 (m, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 5.71 (s, 1H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.41-7.43 (m, 5H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H)ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.4$  (CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 21.6 (CH), 56.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 80.1 (C), 101.2 (CH), 109.5 (CH), 114.1 (C), 122.3 (CH), 127.6 (2 × CH), 128.05 (CH), 128.11 (2 × CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 128.7 (2 × CH), 133.1 (C), 136.7 (C), 138.3 (C), 143.1 (C), 143.5 (C), 148.1 (C), 150.2 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 418 (M<sup>+</sup>, 52), 377 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>23</sub>ClO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 418.1330 found 418.1334.

#### 4-Cyclopropyl-6,7-Dimethoxy-2,2-diphenyl-2H-chromene

(10u). 242 mg (63% yield). Colourless oil; R<sub>f</sub> (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.21. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.65-0.70$  (m, 2H), 0.88-0.94 (m, 2H), 1.70-1.80 (m, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 5.78 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (s, 1H), 7.11 (s, 1H), 7.23–7.36 (m, 6H), 7.41–7.45 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta =$ 5.3 (2 × CH<sub>2</sub>), 12.1 (CH), 55.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 56.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 82.5 (C), 101.0 (CH), 107.8 (CH), 115.2 (C), 121.0 (CH), 127.0 (4 × CH), 127.3  $(2 \times CH)$ , 128.0 (4 × CH), 134.6 (C), 143.3 (C), 145.4 (2 × C), 147.0 (C), 150.1 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 384 (M<sup>+</sup>, 22), 307 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 384.1720 found 384.1725.

7,8-Dimethoxy-4-phenyl-2,2-di-p-tolyl-2H-chromene (11a).345 mg (77% yield). Colourless oil;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.23. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 2.31$  (s, 6H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 6.05 (s, 1H), 6.36 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (d, J = 8.6Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H), 7.33–7.51 (m, 9H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 21.2 (2 \times CH_3)$ , 56.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 61.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 82.7 (C), 104.1 (CH), 117.7 (C), 120.6 (CH), 126.1 (CH), 127.1 (4 × CH), 128.0 (CH), 128.4 (2 × CH), 128.9 (4 × CH), 129.0 (2 × CH), 135.9 (C), 137.1 (2 × C), 138.0 (C), 138.6 (C),

7,8-Dimethoxy-2,2,4-triphenyl-2H-chromene (11b). 340 mg (81% yield). Colourless oil; R<sub>f</sub> (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.50. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 3.81$  (s, 3H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 6.08 (s, 1H), 6.37 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.21–7.36 (m, 6H), 7.37–7.50 (m, 5H), 7.52–7.62 (m, 4H) ppm.  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR  $(75.4 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ :  $\delta = 56.0 (\text{CH}_3), 61.4 (\text{CH}_3), 82.8 (\text{C}), 104.2$ (CH), 117.6 (C), 120.7 (CH), 125.7 (CH), 127.1 (4 × CH), 127.6 (2 × CH), 128.1 (CH), 128.2 (4 × CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 129.0 (2 × CH), 136.2 (C), 138.0 (C), 138.5 (C), 145.0 (2 × C), 146.9 (C), 153.9 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 420 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{29}H_{24}O_3^+$  420.1720 found 420.1727.

7,8-Dimethoxy-2,2,4-triphenyl-2H-chromene (12b). 231 mg (55% yield). White solid; mp: 62–65 °C;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 5:1) 0.47. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 3.40$  (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 6.00 (s, 1H), 6.04 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.25-7.48 (m, 10H), 7.54-7.60 (m, 5H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 55.2$  (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 82.6 (C), 93.4 (CH), 95.2 (CH), 106.1 (C), 126.4 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 127.2 (4 × CH), 127.4 (2  $\times$  CH), 128.47 (2  $\times$  CH), 127.50 (2  $\times$  CH), 128.1 (4  $\times$ CH), 135.5 (C), 141.2 (C), 144.7 (2 × C), 155.8 (C), 157.5 (C), 161.6 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%): 420 (M<sup>+</sup>, 32), 343 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{29}H_{24}O_3^+$  420.1720 found 420.1729.

1-(4-Hydroxy-2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,3,3-triphenylpropa-1,2diene (13b). 168 mg (58% yield). White solid; mp: 155-157 °C;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 10:1) 0.33. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta =$ 3.62 (s, 6H), 5.26 (bs, 1H), 6.15 (s, 2H), 7.15-7.39 (m, 11H), 7.48–7.57 (m, 4H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 55.8$ (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 92.6 (2 × CH), 103.6 (C), 105.6 (C), 111.5 (C), 126.2 (2 × CH), 126.8 (CH), 127.2 (2 × CH), 128.3 (4 × CH), 128.5 (2  $\times$  CH), 128.8 (4  $\times$  CH), 136.38 (C), 136.42 (2  $\times$  C), 157.3 (C), 159.4 (2 × C), 208.9 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 420 (M<sup>+</sup>, 32), 343 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{29}H_{24}O_3^+$  420.1720 found 420.1724.

7-Methoxy-4-phenyl-2,2-di-p-tolyl-2H-chromene (14a). 338 mg (82% yield). Colourless oil;  $R_f$  (Hexane/AcOEt 10:1) 0.50. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 2.41$  (s, 6H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 6.11 (s, 1H), 6.45 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H), 7.43–7.57 (m, 9H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 21.2$  (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 51.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 82.7 (C), 102.8 (CH), 106.9 (CH), 115.8 (C), 125.2 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 127.1 (4 × CH), 128.0 (CH), 128.3 (2 × CH), 128.9  $(6 \times CH)$ , 135.7 (C), 137.2 (2 × C), 138.6 (C), 142.4 (2 × C), 154.5 (C), 161.0 (C) ppm. LRMS (EI) m/z (%): 418 (M<sup>+</sup>, 96), 327 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{30}H_{26}O_2^+$  418.1927 found 418.1932.

#### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Junta de Castilla y León and FEDER (BU291P18) and Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO) and FEDER (CTQ2016-75023-C2-1-P) for financial support. N.C.-L and N.V. respectively thank Ministerio de Educación Cultura y Deporte (MECD) and Junta de Castilla y León and FEDER for predoctoral contracts.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.XXXXXX

#### References

- For selected recent revisions see: a) Krause, N.; Hashmi, A. S. K. Modern Allene Chemistry, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008. b) Aubert, C.; Fensterbank, L.; García, P.; Malacria, M.; Simonneau, A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1954–1993. c) Krause, N.; Winter, C. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1994–2009. d) Ma, S.; Fu, S. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3074–3112. e) Ye, J.; Ma, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 989–1000. f) Muñoz, M. P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 3164–3183. g) Alonso, J. M.; Quirós, M. T.; Muñoz, M. P. Org. Chem. Front. 2016, 3, 1186–1204. h) Mascareñas, J. L.; Varela, I.; López, F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2019, 52, 465–479.
- 2. Rivera-Fuentes, P.; Diederich, F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2818–2828.
- 3. Hoffman-Röder, A.; Krause, N. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1196–1216.
- Selected reviews: a) Ma, S.; Fu, S. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 5384–5418. b) Neff, R. K.; Frantz, D. E. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 519– 528. c) Ye, J.; Ma, S. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 1210–1224. d) Chu, W.-D.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2017, 7, 4570–4579.
- 5 Selected examples: a) Fürstner, A.; Méndez, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5355–5357. b) Li, Z.; Boyarskikh, V.; Hansen, J. H.; Autschbach, J.; Musaev, D. G.; Davies, H. M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15497–15504. c) Ohmiya, H.; Yokobori, U.; Makida, Y.; Sawamura, M. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 6312–6315. d) Wu, S.; Huang, X.; Wu, W.; Li, P.; Fu, C.; Ma, S. Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 7946. e) Yang, Y.; Szabó, K. J. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 250–255. f) Kessler, S. N.; Bäckvall J. E. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 3734–3738. g) Kessler, S. N.; Hundemer, F.; Bäckvall, J. E. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 7448–7451. h) Ma, S.; Liu, Q.; Tang, X.; Cai, Y. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2017, 6, 1209–1212. i) Lu, Q.; Greβies, S.; Klauck, J. R.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 6660–6664. j) Shao, X. B.; Zhang, Z.; Li, Q. H.; Zhao, Z. G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 4797–4806.
- For a review, see: a) Zhu, Y.; Sun, L.; Lu, P.; Wang, Y. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 1911–1925. For representative examples, see: b) Lee, K.; Lee, P. H. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2441–2444. c) McCubbin, J. A.; Nassar, C.; Krokhin, O. V. Synthesis 2011, 3152–3160. d) Xu, C.-F; Xu, M.; Yang, L.-Q.; Li, C.-Y. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 3010–3016. e) Li, Q.; Wang, Y.; Fang, Z.; Liao, P.; Barry, B.-D.; Che, G.; Bi, X. Synthesis 2013, 45, 609–614. f) Jana, S.; Dey, A.; Singsardar, M.; Bagdi, A. K.; Hajra, A. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 9489–9493. g) Sen, M.; Dahiya, P.; Premkumar, J. R.; Sundararaju, B. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 3699–3702.
- For a review, see: a) Bauer, E. B. Synthesis 2012, 44, 1131–1151.
  For recent examples, see: b) Wei, L.-M.; Wei, L.-L.; Pan, W.-B.; Wu, M.-J. Synlett 2005, 2219–2223. c) Green, N. J.; Willis, A. C.; Sherburn, M. S. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 9244–9248. d) Wu, S.; Huang, X.; Fu, C.; Ma, S. Org. Chem. Front. 2017, 4, 2002–2007. e) Liu, N.; Zhi, Y.; Yao, J.; Xing, J.; Lu, T.; Dou, X. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360, 642–646. f) For a protocol that combines a Brønsted acid and a palladium catalyst, see: Zhang, W.; Huang, C.; Yuan, Y.; Ma, S. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 12430–12433.
- a) Sanz, R.; Gohain, M.; Miguel, D.; Martínez, A.; Rodríguez, F. *Synlett* 2009, 1985–1988. b) Sanz, R.; Miguel, D.; Martínez, A.; Álvarez-Gutiérrez, J. M.; Rodríguez, F. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 727– 730. c) Sanz, R.; Miguel, D.; Martínez, A.; Gohain, M.; García-García, P.; Fernández-Rodríguez, M. A.; Álvarez, E.; Rodríguez, F. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 7027–7039. d) Qian, D.; Wu, L.; Lin, Z.; Sun, J. Nature Commun. 2017, 8, 567. e) Zhang, P.; Huang, Q.; Cheng, Y.; Li, R.; Li, P.; Li, W. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 503–507.
- Furthermore, the formed allenes by a S<sub>N</sub>' nucleophilic addition could evolve to cyclic products in a cascade fashion under the acidic reaction media. For Brønsted acid mediated processes, see:
  a) Álvarez, E.; Nieto-Faza, O.; López, C. S.; Fernández-Rodríguez, M. A.; Sanz, R. Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 12889–12893.
  b) Roh, H. J.; Seo, D. Y.; Ryu, J. Y.; Lee, J.; Kim, J. N. Tetrahedron Lett 2017, 58, 4094–4098. c) Selvaraj, K.; Swamy, K. K. C. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 15043–15056. For related Lewis acid-catalyzed cascade reactions, see: d) Zhang, X.; Teo, W. T.; Chan, P. W. H. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4990–4993. e) Haven, T.; Kubik, G.; Haubenreisser, S.; Niggemann, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4016–4019. f) Wang, S.; Chai, Z. Wei, Y.; Zhu, X.; Zhou, S.; Wang, S. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3592–3595. g) Yaragorla, S.; Rajesh, P.; Pareek, A.; Kumar, A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360, 4422–4428. h) Li, X.-S.; Han, Y.-P.; Zhu, X.-Y.; Xia, Y.;

a) Sanz, R.; Martínez, A.; Álvarez-Gutiérrez, J. M.; Rodríguez, F. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 1383–1386. b) Sanz, R.; Miguel, D.; Martínez, A.; Álvarez-Gutiérrez, J. M.; Rodríguez, F. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 1841–1845. c) Sanz, R.; Martínez, A.; Miguel, D.; Álvarez-Gutiérrez, J. M.; Rodríguez, F. Synthesis 2007, 3252– 3256. d) Sanz, R.; Miguel, D.; Álvarez-Gutiérrez, J. M.; Rodríguez, F. Synlett 2008, 975–978. e) Suárez, A.; García-García, P.; Fernández-Rodríguez, M. A.; Sanz, R. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 374–382. f) Suárez, A.; Gohain, M.; Fernández-Rodríguez, M. A.; Sanz, R. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 10421– 10430.

10

- For a revision on the catalytic synthesis of 2*H*-chromenes, see: a) Majumdar, N.; Paul, N. D.; Mandal, S.; de Bruin, B.; Wulff, W. D. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 2329–2366. For recent examples of syntheses of 2*H*-chromenes from tertiary propargylic alcohols, see: b) Qiu, Y.-F.; Song, X.-R.; Li, M.; Zhu, X.-Y.; Wang, A.-Q.; Yang, F.; Han, Y.-P.; Zhang, H.-R.; Jin, D.-P.; Li, Y.-X.; Liang, Y.-M. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 1514–1517. c) Li, R.; Chen, X.; Song, X.-R.; Ding, H.; Wang, P.; Xiao, Q.; Liang, Y.-M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2017, 359, 3962–3967. d) Li, R.; Song, X.-R.; Chen, X.; Ding, H.; Xiao, Q.; Liang, Y.-M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2017, 58, 3049–3052.
- 12 For metal-free catalytic synthesis of 2,2-disubstited-2*H*-chromenes from γ-unsubstituted tertiary propargylic alcohols, see: a) Zhao, W.; Carreira, E. M. Org. Lett. **2003**, *5*, 4153–4154. b) Ghatak, A.; Khan, S.; Bhar, S. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2016**, *358*, 435–443.