

# **ANALYSIS OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP MODELS IN HIGH-SPEED RAILWAY TRANSPORT IN PORTUGAL**

**Mario González-Medrano**

PhD Student, University Camilo José Cela, Madrid, Spain

**Tomás García Martín**

Professor, University Camilo José Cela, Madrid, Spain

## **ABSTRACT**

European railway transportation is not as efficient as it could be. Several factors contribute to this absence of efficiency, including a lack of private investment and exclusively public railway administrators and enterprises. Public-private partnerships can yield higher efficiency in railway transport. Within the high-speed railway sector there are a few implemented infrastructures through public-private partnerships and, in many of them, the result has not been the expected one. Hence, the purpose of this research is to develop a list of recommendations and good practices that allow governments, private investors, and railway stakeholders to take better and more efficient decisions on the implementation of new high-speed rail lines. Consequently, this research has analysed the Portuguese high-speed rail network called Rede Ferroviária de Alta Velocidade (RAVE) designed through public-private partnership. The research methodology is based on exploratory case study and on the identification of critical success factors. This article has made it possible to develop the following list of recommendations and good practices, cross-border cooperation for international sections, unique contracts for substructure and superstructure and an independent contract for signalling and communication systems.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Exclusively public railway managers and operators, an absence of cost-reducing competition, and a lack of private investment can be classified as the historical factors that have not allowed railway transport to be as efficient as it might be (European Parliament, 2016). The European Union initiated railway transport liberalisation in 2006 with international freight transport, in 2007 with domestic freight transport, continued in 2010 with international passenger transport, and finished the 14<sup>th</sup> December 2020 with domestic passenger services (Diario Oficial de la Unión Europea, 2004b, 2007, 2016). These measures represent the initial actions taken toward an efficient railway system in Europe.

The most efficient railway transport management and development can be obtained through public-private partnership (PPP) contracts. PPP offers a reduction in public financing, mobilises private investment, and grants access to advantages connected with the private

sector like skilled project management and innovation. Public services such as road transport have implemented this partnership successfully, but it is not a common model in high-speed railway transport. A limited number of high-speed railway lines have been constructed using PPP contracts. However, in many cases, the result has not been optimal. The following situations illustrate these facts (European PPP Expertise Centre 2020; World Bank, 2017, 2020).

Since 2007, a high-speed railway line has connected the Channel Tunnel with London. Formerly known as the Channel Tunnel Rail Link, this line is currently called High Speed 1. The PPP contract had to be restructured during the construction period due to two obstacles: difficulties in obtaining private financing and the British Government's opposition to augmenting the direct grants. High Speed 1's income came from the track access payments of Eurostar, the international railway enterprise that operates passenger rail services between France and the United Kingdom through the Channel Tunnel. The concession contract included the purchase of British public participation in Eurostar. Nevertheless, the Eurostar services were merely a third of what was forecasted, and the concessionaire had to sell its participation in Eurostar (Butcher, 2011; National Audit Office, 2001, 2005, 2012, 2015). Since 2010, a cross-border high-speed line has connected the towns of Figueras (Spain) and Perpignan (France) through the Pyrenees. The PPP contract was awarded to the company TP Ferro. The Figueras-Perpignan line should receive traffic from the Spanish Barcelona-Figueras high-speed line. However, when the cross-border line was ready to begin operation, the Barcelona-Figueras line was under construction and the Figueras-Perpignan line had no rail traffic. The concession period was extended to solve this inconvenience, but ultimately, the PPP contract was terminated early. Currently, the Spanish and French national railway managers are operating this Spanish-French international section (Boletín Oficial del Estado, 2004, 2016; Eiffage 2013; Ministerio de Fomento, 2009, 2011; Sanz Gandásegui, 2005; Secretario de Estado de Relaciones con las Cortes, 2015).

These experiences reveal the need for a deep study of the reasons that high-speed railway PPP contracts fail, so that such failures can be avoided. In both cases, the failures proceeded from the decisions of public administrators and private investors. Hence, this article examines the RAVE Portuguese high-speed railway line which was designed through PPP contracts. Through this high-speed rail network, Portugal not only connected their capital with that of the neighboring country, but also connected with the European high-speed rail network. The RAVE Portuguese high-speed railway network was designed through six PPP contracts in 2004. However, it was only awarded the contract for the Poceirão and Caia section. Because of the 2008-2010 international financial crisis, which deeply affected Portugal, the PPP contract for the Poceirão-Caia section was rescinded during the design phase and the project for the whole network was discarded (Diário da República, 2011; Direcção-Geral do Tesouro e Finanças, 2010; Rede Ferroviária de Alta Velocidade, 2007; Tribunal de Contas, 2014).

In the general context of PPPs for high-speed railways, several economic aspects have already been studied. First, Bonnafous (1987) concluded that the first European high-speed railway line, which connected Paris and Lyon, generated direct economic benefits in the tourism and industry sectors. An extended article on railway PPPs was published by Dehornoy (2012), who deduced that the most successful concessions were those focussing on integrated traffic (for airport links) and availability traffic (for high-speed infrastructure). Moreover, Crozet (2016) concluded that PPPs permit the construction and opening of new high-speed lines in a timely fashion; however, public financial rescues are needed to solve financial problems. The relationship between investment and social benefits of high-speed rail transportation experiences in Europe (and worldwide) has been investigated by multiple researchers (De Rus, 2009; De Rus and Nash, 2009; Campos, de Rus and Barrón, 2009). They concluded that it was better than alternative modes of transport in terms of time savings, reliability, comfort, safety, and reduced pollution. Koppenjan and Leijten (2005, 2007) and Priemus (2011a, 2011b) analysed the Dutch sector in detail – the Hogesnelheidslijn Zuid (HSL-Zuid) high-speed line in particular – to assess the participation of private investors in railway infrastructure. They established that the Dutch government was not able to implement innovative contracts successfully due to its limited knowledge about PPP.

The RAVE Portuguese high-speed railway network has been developed in consideration of the interests of the Republic, politicians, private investors, and construction companies. De Azevedo Isidoro, Marat-Mendes, and Regina Tângari (2018) realised that the network's layout has not suffered from large changes from 1845 to 2015 and that developments were successfully made during social and economic transformations. Despite its limited budget, Portugal has led the European Union in development new transport infrastructure through PPP. However, Macário, Ribeiro and Duarte Costa (2015) found several pitfalls related to PPP regulation. Through a huge study on Portuguese road and railway PPPs, Pereira (2016) explains that most of the projects overestimated the demand forecast. On the contrary, while exploring the advantages of PPP, Rolland Sobral and Neves Cruz (2011) noted the success of private financing, adherence to a budget and deadlines, and the know-how of private investors in Portugal.

The Portuguese public-private high-speed rail model has been analysed by many authors. Besanko and Tenreiro Gonçalves (2013) concluded that the state-owned company RAVE should have described how the social and economic benefits were higher than the infrastructures costs. Pedro, De Abreu e Silva and Brookes (2015) described the influence of the external stakeholders. Oliveira Cruz, Kokkaew, and Cunha Marques (2017) issued recommendations to reduce risks (e.g., construct initially only one line to test the PPP model, split the infrastructure and operation management, or re-bid the operation contract within 5 to 10 years). Regarding the financial implementation and management of the Portuguese high-speed railway network, De Abreu e Silva, Silva and Sussman (2011) also determined

that PPPs must be adapted to each project and it is necessary to pay attention to the interface generated from the split between substructure and superstructure works.

The failures that appeared during implementation (as previously described) could be repeated, even if the conclusions of the studies reviewed were applied to new high-speed rail lines contracted by PPPs. Therefore, the present research aims to develop a list of recommendations and good practices that can serve as a tool for governments, private investors, and railway stakeholders to make the best decisions before the construction of a new line. For that reason, this study analyses the RAVE Portuguese high-speed railway network.

## **2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

Case study theory was applied to this research in accordance with Stake (1995) and Yin (2009), the most relevant authors in this area. A case study was defined by Stake (1995) as a “study of the particularity and complexity of a single case, coming to understand its activity within important circumstances” (p. 7) and by Yin (2009) as “an empirical inquiry that investigates a contemporary phenomenon in depth and within its real-life context, especially when the boundaries between phenomenon and context are not clearly evidence” (p. 9). These authors identified two groups of three case study types. According to the reason for selecting a case study, Stake (2003) identified intrinsic, instrumental and collective case studies, and according to the purpose of the research, Yin (2009) identified explanatory, descriptive and exploratory case studies. This research is classified as exploratory case study due to the novelty of the RAVE Portuguese high-speed network examination. This case study is analysed to identify the critical success factors (CSFs) in high-speed railway infrastructure contracted by PPPs. Rockart (1982) defined CSFs as “the few key areas of activity in which favourable results are necessary for a particular manager to reach his or her goals” (p. 4).

This methodology was proven in research related with PPP transport infrastructures (Koppenjan, 2005; Liyanage and Rouboutsos, 2016; Liyanage and Villalba-Romero, 2015; Liyanage, Njuangang and Villalba-Romero, 2016; Ribeiro, Couchinho, Macário and Liyanage, 2016; Voordijk, Liyanage and Temeljotov Salaj, 2016; Macário et al., 2015).

The research methodology steps applied to this article consisted of five sequential actions: (a) collection of a wide range of data for the RAVE Portuguese high-speed railway network from the railway infrastructure concessionaire, railway infrastructure manager, railway undertaking, public administrations and railway-specific publications; (b) classification of the data into six areas: project, infrastructure, transport service, contract, corporate structure and investment; (c) identification and analysis of the CSFs; (d); discussion of the CSFs and (e) development of a list of recommendations and good practices for governments, private investors, and railway stakeholders.

### 3. DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE STUDY

In 1988, Portugal launched the construction of a high-speed rail network in coordination with Spain; they agreed to design the lines with Union Internationale de Chemins de Fer (UIC)-gauge (1,435 mm) (Diário da República, 1988). RAVE was established as a company in 2000. This company was comprised of the Portuguese State (60%) and Rede Ferroviária Nacional (REFER) (40%), the Portuguese national railway infrastructure manager, whose aim was to analyse and prepare for implementation of the RAVE Portuguese high-speed railway network. In 2001, Portugal and Spain created a European economic interest group called Spain-Portugal high-speed—or, in Portuguese and Spanish, *Alta Velocidade Espanha-Portugal* and *Alta Velocidad España-Portugal*. Both nations were responsible for the cross-border sections. This group was made up of RAVE and Administrador de Infraestructuras Ferroviarias (ADIF), the Spanish national railway infrastructure management organization (Rede Ferroviária de Alta Velocidade, 2004; Tribunal de Contas, 2014).

In a binational summit between Portugal and Spain in 2003, the following cross-border sections were defined: Porto-Vigo, Lisbon-Madrid, Aveiro-Salamanca, and Faro-Huelva. In 2004, Portugal unveiled its high-speed railway network, which comprised the agreed-upon sections with Spain and a domestic section between Lisbon and Porto. The European Union included the Lisbon-Porto, Lisbon-Madrid, and Aveiro-Salamanca sections in the high-speed railway axis of its southwestern Europe priority project; and the Porto-Vigo section in the Iberian Peninsula priority project. The Lisbon-Madrid section was classified as one of the five highest-priority axes for the European Union (Diário da República, 2004; Diario Oficial de la Unión Europea, 2004a; Rede Ferroviária de Alta Velocidade, 2004; Tribunal de Contas, 2014).

Following various Portuguese-Spanish summits up to 2009, the Portuguese high-speed rail network was designed with the features described in Table 1:

| Axis              | Journey time  | Traffic                | Length | Maximum speed | Stations  |
|-------------------|---|------------------------|--------|---------------|---|
| Lisbon-Madrid     | 2 hours and 45 minutes  | Passengers and freight | 206 km | 350 km/h      | Lisbon, Évora and Elvas/Badajoz                   |
| Lisbon-Porto      | 1 hour and 15 minutes   | Passengers             | 314 km | 300 km/h      | Lisbon, Ota, Leiria, Coímbra, Aveiro and Porto    |
| Porto-Vigo        | 60 minutes  | Passengers and freight | 100 km | 250 km/h      | Porto, Sá Carnerio Airport, Braga and Valença/Tuy |
| Aveiro-Salamanca  | 2 hours and 45 minutes  | Passengers and freight | 70 km  | 250 km/h      | Aveiro, Viseu and Guarda                          |
| Évora-Faro-Huelva | Lisboa-Faro<br>1 hour and 30 minutes<br>Faro-Huelva<br>30 minutes | Passengers             | 200 km | 300 km/h      | Évora and Faro                                    |

Note: Data from Rede Ferroviária de Alta Velocidade (2006, 2008, 2009).

**Table 1 – Portuguese high-speed rail network.**

There is a high cross-border mobility between Portugal and Spain due to cultural, linguistic, economic and cultural relations. However, it exists an important deficit in public transport. The new high-speed railway axis benefits to Portugal and Spain, and specially to the Spanish regions of Galicia, Castilla y León, Extremadura and Andalucía, due to its geographical location (Gutiérrez Gallego, Naranjo Gómez, Jaraíz-Cabanillas, Ruiz Labrador, and Su Jeong, 2015; Chen, Correia and de Abreu e Silva, 2015; Carvalho, Partidario and Sheate, 2017; Varela Cornado, 2018).

The Portuguese government decided to start contracting only for the axes considered to be a priority for Portugal. Theses axes were Lisbon-Madrid, Lisbon-Porto, and Porto-Vigo's 1<sup>st</sup> Phase, which was designed with polyvalent sleepers in 1,668 mm Iberian gauge. These sections are summarised in Table 2.

| Axis                                  | Journey time           | Traffic                | Length | Maximum speed | Stations  |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------|---------------|---|
| Lisbon-Madrid                         | 2 hours and 45 minutes | Passengers and freight | 206 km | 350 km/h      | Lisboa, Évora and Elvas/Badajoz                 |
| Lisbon-Porto                          | 1 hour and 15 minutes  | Passengers             | 314 km | 300 km/h      | Lisboa, Ota, Leiria, Coímbra, Aveiro and Oporto |
| Porto-Vigo<br>1st Phase Braga-Valença | 60 minutes             | Passengers and freight | 55 km  | 250 km/h      | Braga and Valença/Tuy                           |

Note: Data from RAVE (2006, 2007, 2008, 2009).

**Table 2 – Portuguese high-speed rail network priority axes**

The Portuguese government justified the construction of these three Portuguese high-speed rail priority axes mainly through the socioeconomic benefits introduced by the connection with the Spanish and European passenger and freight railway networks (Diário da República, 2010).

In 2010, due to the international financial crisis that affected Portugal in 2008 and especially in 2009, an economic program dedicated to stability and growth was established. This program included a delay on the contracting for the Lisbon-Porto and Porto-Vigo axes (Ministério das Finanças e da Administração Pública, 2010). In 2011, the Portuguese government published a strategic transport plan for the 2011-2015 period in which the Lisbon-Madrid high-speed railway line project was abandoned (Diário da República, 2011). That year, the RAVE society was extinguished and integrated into REFER, which assumed the role of RAVE in the Spain-Portugal high-speed European economic interest group (Tribunal de Contas, 2014).

#### **4. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF THE CSFs**

This research examines the PPP model developed for the RAVE Portuguese high-speed network. In this section are analysed and discussed the CSFs.

##### **4.1. Cross-border cooperation for international sections**

For the RAVE Portuguese high-speed network cooperation between Portugal and Spain is ongoing through the various binational summit meetings and involved the creation of a European economic interest group, in which both countries were responsible for the cross-border connection of the Lisbon-Madrid axis (Diário da República, 1988; Tribunal de Contas, 2014). Spain has experience in this type of cross-border cooperation, since the international high-speed rail section between Figueras and Perpignan that links the high-speed rail networks of Spain and France was built through a public-private collaboration model involving a joint agreement between the two countries. As with the connection between Portugal and Spain, these two countries principally made their decisions in bilateral summits to define the characteristics of the international section between Figueras and Perpignan. On October 10<sup>th</sup>, 1995, as a result of the Spanish-French summit meeting held in Madrid, Spain and France signed the so-called Madrid Agreement, the purpose of which was to establish the grounds for the construction and operation of a high-speed connection between Spain and France through Figueras and Perpignan. Subsequently, in the same way as Portugal and Spain, a European economic interest group was also set up between Spain and France to control and enable the development of the project (Boletín Oficial del Estado, 1998; López Pita, s.f.; Ministère de l'écologie, du développement durable, des transports et du logement, 2011). Another infrastructure that should be highlighted in terms of cross-border railway cooperation is the Channel Tunnel between France and the United Kingdom. This infrastructure was also developed through public-private collaboration, in a joint project between France and the United Kingdom enacted by the Treaty of Canterbury on February

12th, 1986 (Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth, 1986). All these cross-border agreements and cooperation accords between different countries contrast with the case of the HSL-Zuid line where the Netherlands had to pay compensation to Belgium to agree and finalise the route for the cross-border connection (Omega Centre, 2011).

Currently in Europe, cross-border cooperation is an undeniable reality since it contributes to cohesion, sustainable social development, and facilitates increased economic activity in cross-border territories. Transport infrastructures play a fundamental role in cross-border cooperation and common planning policies are increasing in Europe. To provide cross-border projects with continuity and durability, it is necessary to create an alliance between cross-border territories, which must be institutionalised through an agreement. For this alliance to be robust, it is necessary to ensure the participation of interest groups, guarantee a coherent objective for all participants, and ensure that the results of the cooperation involve similar benefits on both sides of the border (Galko and Volodin, 2016; Castanho, Vulevic, Fernández, Fernández-Pozo, Gómez and Loures, 2017; Kurowska-Pysz, Castanho and Loures, 2018).

#### 4.2. Unique contracts for substructure and superstructure

The infrastructure works were divided into the six PPP contracts displayed in Table 3:

| Axis          | Section         | PPP Contract | Scope   |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------|---|
| Lisbon-Madrid | Poceirão-Caia   | PPP1         | Substructure and superstructure. Évora station. Conventional freight railway line between Évora and Caia. |
|               | Lisbon-Poceirão | PPP2         | Substructure and superstructure. Tejo new bridge, Terceira Travessia do Tejo (TTT).                       |
| Lisbon-Porto  | Lisbon-Pombal   | PPP3         | Substructure and superstructure. Leiria station.  |
|               | Pombal-Porto    | PPP4         | Substructure and superstructure. Aveiro station.  |
| Porto-Vigo    | Braga-Valença   | PPP5         | Substructure and superstructure.  |
| All axes      | All sections    | PPP6         | Signalling and communications systems.  |

Note: Data from Rede Ferroviária de Alta Velocidade (2007) and Tribunal de Contas (2014).

**Table 3 – PPP contract scope for the Portuguese high-speed rail network**

Substructure and superstructure works were included in the same PPP contracts. The PPP1, PPP2, PPP3, PPP4, and PPP5 contracts had the following scope: design, construction, finance and maintenance for 40 years; during operation, the payments were based on availability, traffic, and maintenance. The PPP6 contract was for the signalling and



communications systems on the whole high-speed railway network. For the Lisbon-Madrid axis, Portugal was responsible for the connection between Lisbon, Poceirão and Caia, which was divided into 2 contracts. The first (contract PPP1) included the high-speed section between Poceirão and Caia. Contract PPP1 also included the construction and operation of Évora station and a conventional freight railway line between Évora and Caia. Contract PPP2 included the high-speed section between Lisbon and Poceirão and a new bridge in Lisbon called Terceira Travessia do Tejo. Contract PPP1 was signed in 2010 with ELOS–Ligações de Alta Velocidade. Contract PPP2, which connected Lisboa and Poceirão in the second Portuguese section of the Lisbon-Madrid axis, was launched in 2009. The consortiums called ELOS–Ligações de Alta Velocidade, ALTAVIA ALENTEJO–Infraestruturas de Alta Velocidade, and TAVE TEJO presented bids. In 2010, due to the financial crisis, the Portuguese government discarded this construction (Direcção-Geral do Tesouro e Finanças, 2008, 2009, 2010; Rede Ferroviária de Alta Velocidade, 2007; Tribunal de Contas, 2014). For the RAVE Portuguese high-speed network, both the substructure and superstructure were included within the same contract (Rede Ferroviária de Alta Velocidade, 2007; Tribunal de Contas, 2014). This is also the case of the other high-speed lines contracted through public-private partnerships in Europe, namely, the High Speed 1 line, connecting the Channel Tunnel with London, in the United Kingdom, the French Bretagne-Pays de la Loire line, which links the towns of Le Mans and Rennes, the Sud-Europe Atlantique line, which links the towns of Tours and Bordeaux, the Contournement Nîmes-Montpellier bypass, and the international Figueras-Perpignan section between Spain and France (Boletín Oficial del Estado, 2016; ERE Eiffage Rail Express and Réseau Ferré de France, 2013; LISEA and SNCF Réseau, 2017; National Audit Office, 2001; OC'VIA and Réseau Ferré de France, 2012).

It is not a coincidence that all these lines, except for the Dutch HSL-Zuid line, combined the substructure and superstructure activities into a single contract. This need is justified by the following technical reasons. Firstly, it is necessary to indicate that the substructure supports the superstructure and transmits the loads to the foundation. Secondly, the superstructure is the area above ground level that receives the loads from the trains which are then transferred to the substructure. It is clear, therefore, that there is an interaction between the substructure and superstructure; for this reason, it is necessary for the design to take into account the factors that influence the dimensioning, such as stresses and deformations, in order to obtain a better performance from both the substructure and superstructure as well as vehicle dynamics (Alamaa, 2016; Byun, Hong and Lee, 2015; Giannakos, 2010; Li, Hyslip, Sussmann and Chrismer, 2015; Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, 2018; Selig and Waters, 1994).

#### **4.3. An independent contract for signalling and communications systems**

Portugal decided to equip its infrastructure with the following signalling and communication systems European Rail Traffic Management System (ERTMS) Level 2 and Global System for Mobile Communications-Railway (GSM-R). The implementation of these systems

transpired through an independent PPP contract called PPP6. The scope of this contract was for the whole high-speed railway network. The contractor awarded was responsible for design, supply, installation, finance, and maintenance for 20 years, with a public payment based on availability (Rede Ferroviária de Alta Velocidade, 2004, 2007; Tribunal de Contas, 2014).

An independent contract for signalling and communications systems has the following advantages. Due to the reduced number of ERTMS suppliers, if they were integrated into consortiums with the rest of the infrastructure system companies, the number of bidders would decrease, the high technological risk during operation would be minimized, and a unique contract would increase the competitiveness in the sector.

Analysing all the European high-speed railway lines constructed through public-private collaboration, Portugal was the only example involving this type of separate agreement for signalling and communication systems (Rede Ferroviária de Alta Velocidade, 2007; Boletín Oficial del Estado, 2016; ERE Eiffage Rail Express and Réseau Ferré de France, 2013; Geluk, 2007; LISEA and SNCF Réseau, 2017; National Audit Office, 2001; OC'VIA and Réseau Ferré de France, 2012; Tribunal de Contas, 2014; Tweede Kamer, 2008).

Almost every country in the European Union had its own Automatic Train Protection (ATP) system, which were not compatible with one another in most cases. With the increase in international services, it was therefore necessary for the vehicles to possess all the ATP systems of the countries through which they were going to pass. Because of this, in 1989, the European Union launched the development of a single signalling system for the entire network that would facilitate transit between countries. This system was referred to as ERTMS and, in 2000, the first technical specification was published (European Union, 2021). In parallel to the development of the ERTMS system, it was necessary to implement a communication system between the railways and the trains. Thus, it was decided to adapt the existing communication system to the Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM) for railways, which led to the creation of the GSM-R communication system (UIC, 2021b).

Since the first technical specification of the ERTMS system in 2000, 12 new versions of this document have been published (European Union, 2021). The GSM-R communication system will now be replaced by a new development, known as the Future Railway Mobile Communication System (FRMCS) (UIC, 2021a). It is clear that this technology is constantly evolving, representing a significant investment for technologists, infrastructure managers, and railway companies. For this reason, the European Union, aware of the large outlay involved in implementing a single railway signalling system, supported the roll-out of this technology with a subsidy of 3.9 billion euros between 2007 and 2019. (European Commission, 2020). A peculiar fact about this continuous technological evolution is that in Spain, through a public-private collaboration contract, awarded to the technologist Alstom,

the ERTMS signalling and GSM-R communication systems have been implemented in the Albacete-Alicante high-speed section, whereas the substructure and superstructure were implemented through a traditional contract (European Commission, 2014).

## 5. CONCLUSION

This research analysed RAVE high-speed rail network project. This project enabled Portugal to link to the European high-speed network.

This research has required the application of an exploratory approach and the identification of critical success factors (CSFs). The purpose of this research was to develop a list of recommendations and good practices that can serve as a tool for governments, private investors, and railway stakeholders to help them make the best and most efficient decisions in terms of new high-speed rail line construction.

To that end, we present the following recommendations and good practices. Cross-border cooperation for international sections must be established through an agreement between the countries involved, including stakeholders, to guarantee a common objective and the development of similar benefits for all countries. Single contracts should be awarded for a combination of substructure and superstructure, as the design must be implemented jointly by one group of engineers, since any modifications of the substructure affect the superstructure and vice versa, due to the load transmission relationship between these two elements. An independent contract for signalling and communication systems should also be awarded due to the enormous technological risk involved in implementing the ERTMS signalling and GSM-R communications systems, which are constantly evolving in terms of technical specifications. In addition, greater competitiveness must be allowed in this area due to the reduced number of existing technologists. With this list of recommendations and good practices, it is expected that the implementation efficiency of new high-speed rail infrastructures will improve. In addition, this project could be applied not only to the field of public-private collaborations but also to the railway environment as a whole.

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADIF: Administrador de Infraestructuras Ferroviarias

ATP: Automatic Train Protection

CSF : Critical Success Factor

ERTMS: European Rail Traffic Management System

FRMCS: Future Railway Mobile Communication System

GSM-R: Global System for Mobile Communications-Railway

HSL-Zuid: Hogesnelheidslijn Zuid

PPP: Public-Private Partnership

RAVE: Rede Ferroviária de Alta Velocidade

REFER: Rede Ferroviária Nacional

TTT: Terceira Travessia do Tejo

UIC: Union Internationale de Chemins de Fer

## REFERENCES

ALAMAA, A. (2016). High-speed railway embankments: a comparison of different regulation (Master's thesis). KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Denmark. <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1055308/FULLTEXT01.pdf>

BESANKO, D., & TENREIRO GONÇALVES, J. (2013). High-speed rail in Portugal. The Kellogg School of Management at Northwestern University

BOLETÍN OFICIAL DEL ESTADO. (2004). Resolución de la Secretaría de Estado de Infraestructuras y Planificación, Dirección General de Ferrocarriles, por la que se anuncia la adjudicación de la concesión para la construcción y explotación de las obras de la sección internacional Figueras-Perpiñán de la línea ferroviaria de alta velocidad entre España y Francia. Boletín Oficial del Estado, 175, de 21 de julio de 2004, 6450. Director General de Ferrocarriles, Luis de Santiago Pérez. Madrid. <https://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2004/07/21/pdfs/B06450-06450.pdf>

BOLETÍN OFICIAL DEL ESTADO. (2016). Convenio para la explotación y mantenimiento de la sección internacional de una línea ferroviaria de alta velocidad entre Perpiñán y Figueres, hecho en París el 19 de diciembre de 2016. Boletín Oficial del Estado, 307, de 21 de diciembre de 2016, 89015-89080. Ministerio de Fomento (España), Ministère de l'Environnement, de l'Energie et de la Mer (Francia) y Línea Figueras Perpignan, S.A. París. <https://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2016/12/21/pdfs/BOE-A-2016-12112.pdf>

BONNAFOUS, A. (1987). The regional impact of the TGV. *Transportation*, 14, 127–137.

BUTCHER, L. (2011). Railways: Channel Tunnel Rail Link (HS1) SN267. House of Commons. <http://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/SN00267/SN00267.pdf>

BYUN, Y. H., HONG, W. T., AND LEE, J. S. (2015). Characterization of railway substructure using a hybrid cone penetrometer. *Journal of Smart Structures and Systems*, 15(4), 1085-1101. [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jong-Sub\\_Lee/publication/276456686\\_Characterization\\_of\\_railway\\_substructure\\_using\\_a\\_hybrid\\_cone\\_penetrometer/links/570e5dbc08aed4bec6fdd7dc/Characterization-of-railway-substructure-using-a-hybrid-cone-penetrometer.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jong-Sub_Lee/publication/276456686_Characterization_of_railway_substructure_using_a_hybrid_cone_penetrometer/links/570e5dbc08aed4bec6fdd7dc/Characterization-of-railway-substructure-using-a-hybrid-cone-penetrometer.pdf)

CAMPOS, J., DE RUS, G., & BARRÓN, I. (2009). El transporte ferroviario de alta velocidad: Una visión económica. Fundación Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria (BBVA).

CARVALHO, S., PARTIDARIO, M., & SHEATE, W. (2017). HIGH SPEED RAIL COMPARATIVE STRATEGIC ASSESSMENTS IN EU MEMBER STATES. *ENVIRONMENTAL Impact Assessment Review*, 66, 1-13.

CASTANHO, R. A., VULEVIC, A., FERNÁNDEZ, J. C., FERNÁNDEZ-POZO, L., GÓMEZ, J. M. N., AND LOURES, L. C. (2017). Accessibility and connectivity–Movement between cities, as a critical factor to achieve success on cross-border cooperation (CBC) projects. *A European analysis. Sustainable Cities and Society*, 32, 181-190.

CHEN, G., CORREIA, M., & DE ABREU E SILVA, J. (2015). Estimating the Accessibility Impacts of High-Speed Rail in Portugal. *Transportation Research Board 94<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting*. Washington DC, United States.

CROZET, Y. (2016). High speed rail and PPPs: Between optimization and opportunism. In Albalade, D., & Bel, G. (Eds.), *Evaluating high-speed rail: Interdisciplinary perspectives*. London, United Kingdom: Routledge.

DE ABREU E SILVA, J., SILVA, D., & SUSSMAN, J. M. (2011). The Portuguese High Speed Rail Network: Relating Financing to Strategic and Operating Issues (ESD-WP-2011-02). Massachusetts Institute of Technology. <https://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/handle/1721.1/102822/esd-wp-2011-02.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=>

DE AZEVEDO ISIDORO, I., MARAT-MENDES, T., & REGINA TÂNGARI, V. (2018). The Portuguese railway in time and space – mapping phases of growth, stagnation, and decline (1845–2015). *Planning Perspectives*, 33(3), 363-384.

DE RUS, G. (Ed.). (2009). *Economic analysis of high speed rail in Europe*. Fundación BBVA.

DE RUS, G., & NASH, C. (2009). ¿En qué circunstancias está justificado invertir en líneas de alta velocidad ferroviaria? *Fundación BBVA*.

DEHORNOY, J. (2012). PPPs in the rail sector: A review of 27 projects. (MPRA Paper No. 38415). <http://mpa.ub.unimuenchen.de/38415/>

DIÁRIO DA REPÚBLICA. (1988). Resolução do Conselho de Ministros nº. 52/88. Diário da República nº 301 - I série, de 30 de dezembro de 1988. Presidência do Conselho de Ministros. <https://dre.pt/application/file/353837>

DIÁRIO DA REPÚBLICA. (2004). Resolução do Conselho de Ministros nº. 83/2004. Diário da República nº 149 - I série-b, de 36 de junho de 2004. Presidência do Conselho de Ministros. <https://dre.pt/application/conteudo/276795>

DIÁRIO DA REPÚBLICA. (2010). Decreto-Lei nº. 33-A/2010, de 14 de abril. Diário da República nº 72 - I série, de 14 de abril de 2010. Ministérios das Obras Públicas, Transportes e Comunicações.

DIÁRIO DA REPÚBLICA. (2011). Plano Estratégico dos Transportes de 10 de novembro de 2011. Diário da República nº 216 - I série. Presidência do Conselho de Ministros.

DIARIO OFICIAL DE LA UNIÓN EUROPEA. (2004a). Decisión nº 884/2004/CE del Parlamento Europeo y del Consejo de 29 de abril de 2004. Diario Oficial de la Unión Europea, de 30 de abril de 2004. Parlamento Europeo y el Consejo de la Unión Europea. <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/cfd1dc3e-27de-4c58-b64d-5e20e87492d5/language-es>

DIARIO OFICIAL DE LA UNIÓN EUROPEA. (2004b). Directiva 2004/51/CE del parlamento Europeo y del Consejo de 29 de abril de 2004 por la que se modifica la Directiva 91/440/CEE sobre el desarrollo de los ferrocarriles comunitarios. Diario Oficial de la Unión Europea, de 30 de abril de 2004. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/ES/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32004L0051&from=EN>

DIARIO OFICIAL DE LA UNIÓN EUROPEA. (2007). Directiva 2007/58/CE del Parlamento Europeo y del Consejo de 23 de octubre de 2007 por la que se modifican la Directiva 91/440/CEE del Consejo, sobre el desarrollo de los ferrocarriles comunitarios, y la Directiva 2001/14/CE, relativa a la adjudicación de la capacidad de infraestructura ferroviaria y la aplicación de cánones por su utilización. Diario Oficial de la Unión Europea, de 3 de diciembre de 2007. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/ES/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32007L0058&from=EN>

DIARIO OFICIAL DE LA UNIÓN EUROPEA. (2016). Directiva (UE) 2016/2370 del Parlamento Europeo y del Consejo de 14 de diciembre de 2016 que modifica la Directiva 2012/34/UE, en lo que atañe a la apertura del mercado de los servicios nacionales de transporte de viajeros por ferrocarril y a la gobernanza de las infraestructuras ferroviarias. Diario Oficial de la Unión Europea, de 23 de diciembre de 2016. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/ES/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32016L2370&from=ES>

DIRECÇÃO-GERAL DO TESOURO E FINANÇAS. (2008). Relatório Sobre Parcerias Público- Privadas e Concessões – 2008 (Julho 2008). Ministério das Finanças e da Administração Pública. [http://www.dgtf.pt/ResourcesUser/PPP/Documentos/Relatorio\\_PPP\\_2008.pdf](http://www.dgtf.pt/ResourcesUser/PPP/Documentos/Relatorio_PPP_2008.pdf)

DIRECÇÃO-GERAL DO TESOURO E FINANÇAS. (2009). Parcerias Público-Privadas e Concessões Relatório de 2009. Ministério das Finanças e da Administração Pública. [http://www.dgtf.pt/ResourcesUser/PPP/Documentos/Relatorios/Relatorio\\_PPP\\_2009.pdf](http://www.dgtf.pt/ResourcesUser/PPP/Documentos/Relatorios/Relatorio_PPP_2009.pdf)

Direcção-Geral do Tesouro e Finanças. (2010). Parcerias Público-Privadas e Concessões Relatório de 2010 (Julho 2010). Ministério das Finanças e da Administração Pública. [http://www.dgtf.pt/ResourcesUser/PPP/Documentos/Relatorios/2010/Relatorio\\_PPP\\_2010.pdf](http://www.dgtf.pt/ResourcesUser/PPP/Documentos/Relatorios/2010/Relatorio_PPP_2010.pdf)

EIFFAGE. (2013). Rapport annuel 2012. [https://www.eiffage.com/files/live/sites/eiffage-v2/files/Finance/Rapport%20annuel/2012/RA\\_eiffage\\_AMF\\_V2.pdf](https://www.eiffage.com/files/live/sites/eiffage-v2/files/Finance/Rapport%20annuel/2012/RA_eiffage_AMF_V2.pdf)

ERE EIFFAGE RAIL EXPRESS Y RESEAU FERRE DE FRANCE. (2013, July 29). Ligne à Grande Vitesse Bretagne-Pays de la Loire [Comunicado de prensa]. [http://www.ere-lgv-bpl.com/files/live/sites/erelgvbpl/files/presse/DP\\_LGV%20BPL\\_Estacade%20du%20Vico%20in\\_29%20juillet%202013\\_VF.pdf](http://www.ere-lgv-bpl.com/files/live/sites/erelgvbpl/files/presse/DP_LGV%20BPL_Estacade%20du%20Vico%20in_29%20juillet%202013_VF.pdf)

EUROPEAN COMMISSION. (2014). A case study of PPP procurement of railway infrastructure including ERTMS Level 2. [https://ec.europa.eu/inea/sites/inea/files/download/successes\\_map/140528\\_\\_\\_ertms\\_case\\_study\\_final\\_consolidated\\_%5Breadonly%5D.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/inea/sites/inea/files/download/successes_map/140528___ertms_case_study_final_consolidated_%5Breadonly%5D.pdf)

EUROPEAN COMMISSION. (2020). ERTMS: First work plan of the European coordinator. [https://ec.europa.eu/transport/sites/transport/files/work\\_plan\\_ertms\\_2020.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/transport/sites/transport/files/work_plan_ertms_2020.pdf)

EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT. (2016). The fourth railway package: Another step towards a single European railway area. [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/IDAN/2016/579088/EPRS\\_IDA\(2016\)579088\\_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/IDAN/2016/579088/EPRS_IDA(2016)579088_EN.pdf)

EUROPEAN PPP EXPERTISE CENTRE (EPEC). (2020). EPEC Data portal. <https://data.eib.org/epec>

EUROPEAN UNION. (2021). ERTMS – History of ERTMS. [https://ec.europa.eu/transport/modes/rail/ertms/general-information/history\\_ertms\\_en](https://ec.europa.eu/transport/modes/rail/ertms/general-information/history_ertms_en)

GALKO, S. V., AND VOLODIN, D. S. (2016). Outcomes of cross-border cooperation: infrastructure development aspect. *Актуальні проблеми економіки*, 2(176), 32-40. [http://irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/cgi-bin/irbis\\_nbuv/cgiirbis\\_64.exe?C21COM=2&I21DBN=UJRN&P21DBN=UJRN&IMAGE\\_FILE\\_DOWNLOAD=1&Image\\_file\\_name=PDF/ape\\_2016\\_2\\_6.pdf](http://irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/cgi-bin/irbis_nbuv/cgiirbis_64.exe?C21COM=2&I21DBN=UJRN&P21DBN=UJRN&IMAGE_FILE_DOWNLOAD=1&Image_file_name=PDF/ape_2016_2_6.pdf)

GELUK, J. (2007, February). HSL-Zuid: ready, steady, go! *Global Railway Review*. <https://www.globalrailwayreview.com/article/1029/hsl-zuid-ready-steady-go/>

GIANNAKOS, K. (2010, May). Interaction between superstructure and substructure in railways. *Proceeding of the Fifth international Conference on International Conferences on Recent Advances in Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics*, San Diego, California. <https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2855&context=icrageesd>

GUTIÉRREZ GALLEGO, J. A., NARANJO GÓMEZ, J. M., JARAÍZ-CABANILLAS, F. J., RUIZ LABRADOR, E. E., & SU JEONG, J. (2015). A methodology to assess the connectivity caused by a transportation infrastructure: Application to the high-speed rail in Extremadura. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 3(4), 392-401.

KOPPENJAN, J. (2005) The formation of public private partnerships: Lessons from nine transport infrastructure projects in the Netherlands. *Public Administration*, 83(1), 135-157.

KOPPENJAN, J., & LEIJTEN, M. (2005). Privatising railroads: The problematic involvement of the private sector in two Dutch railway projects. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Administration*, 27(2), 181-199. doi: 0.1080/23276665.2005.10779307

KOPPENJAN, J., & LEIJTEN, M. (2007). How to sell a railway: Lessons on the privatization of three Dutch railway projects. *European Journal of Transport and Infrastructure Research*, 7(3), 201-222.

KUROWSKA-PYSZ, J., CASTANHO, R. A., AND LOURES, L. (2018). Sustainable planning of cross-border cooperation: a strategy for alliances in border cities. *Sustainability*, 10(5), 1416.

LI, D., HYSPLIT, J., SUSSMANN, T., AND CHRISMER, S. (2015). *Railway geotechnics*. CRC Press.

LISEA AND SNCF RÉSEAU. (2017, February 28). Inauguration de la nouvelle ligne à grande vitesse sud Europe-Atlantique Tours-Bordeaux, en présence de François Hollande, Présidente de la République [Press release]. [https://www.sncf-reseau.fr/sites/default/files/upload/\\_Actualite/national/2017/170228\\_CP\\_InaugLGVSEA.pdf](https://www.sncf-reseau.fr/sites/default/files/upload/_Actualite/national/2017/170228_CP_InaugLGVSEA.pdf)

LIYANAGE, C., AND ROUMBOUTSOS, A. (2016). Appendix: COST Action TU1001: Case study database on PPP in transport. In A. Roumboutsos (Ed.), *Public Private Partnerships in Transport: Trends and Theory* (pp. 342-359). New York: Routledge.

LIYANAGE, C., AND VILLALBA-ROMERO, F. (2015). Measuring success of PPP transport projects: a cross-case analysis of toll roads. *Transport Reviews*, 35(2), 140-161.

LIYANAGE, C., NJUANGANG, S., AND VILLALBA-ROMERO, F. (2016). Measuring success in PPP world road projects in Europe: A performance measurements system (PMS). In A. Roumboutsos (Ed.), *Public Private Partnerships in Transport: Trends and Theory* (pp. 237-259). New York: Routledge.

LÓPEZ PITA, A. (s.f.). Los orígenes de la primera línea internacional del ferrocarril español del siglo XXI: Barcelona – Perpignan. <http://www.docutren.com/HistoriaFerroviaria/Aranjuez2001/pdf/30.pdf>

MINISTERE DE L'ÉCOLOGIE, DU DÉVELOPPEMENT DURABLE, DES TRANSPORTS ET DU LOGEMENT. (2011, 27 January). Inauguration de la ligne à grande vitesse Perpignan-Figueras. [Press release]. [https://es.ambafrance.org/IMG/pdf/DP\\_LGV\\_Perpignan\\_-\\_Figueras.pdf?6709/0d174d35e4c427cbf05f19dda7ebb661a1cb84e8](https://es.ambafrance.org/IMG/pdf/DP_LGV_Perpignan_-_Figueras.pdf?6709/0d174d35e4c427cbf05f19dda7ebb661a1cb84e8)

MINISTERIO DE FOMENTO. (2009, 6 November). El Gobierno autoriza a Fomento el gasto para modificar el contrato de la concesionaria de Figueras-Perpignan. [Press release]. [https://www.mptfp.gob.es/dmsweb/ca/ministerio/delegaciones\\_gobierno/delegaciones/catalunya/actualidad/notas\\_de\\_prensa/notas/2009/11/2009\\_11\\_06a/parrafo/0/20091106FOMENTOFigueras-Perpignan.pdf](https://www.mptfp.gob.es/dmsweb/ca/ministerio/delegaciones_gobierno/delegaciones/catalunya/actualidad/notas_de_prensa/notas/2009/11/2009_11_06a/parrafo/0/20091106FOMENTOFigueras-Perpignan.pdf)



MINISTERIO DE FOMENTO. (2011, 27 January). Los ministros de España y Francia y el presidente de la Generalitat viajan de Figueres-Vilafant a Perpiñán en trenes de alta velocidad. [Press release]. <http://www.fomento.es/NR/rdonlyres/85F8395B-E6B0-4039-B4D6-1B795903D788/98441/11012703.pdf>

MINISTRY OF HOUSING AND URBAN AFFAIRS. (2018). Standardization of civil engineering structures of metro system. Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, Government of India. <http://mohua.gov.in/upload/uploadfiles/files/Standardization%20of%20civil%20engineering%20structures%20of%20Metro%20System.pdf>

NATIONAL AUDIT OFFICE. (2001). The Channel Tunnel Rail Link. <https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2001/03/0001302.pdf>

NATIONAL AUDIT OFFICE. (2005). Progress on the Channel Tunnel Rail Link. <https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2005/07/050677.pdf>

NATIONAL AUDIT OFFICE. (2012). The completion and sale of High Speed 1. <https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/10121834.pdf>

NATIONAL AUDIT OFFICE. (2015). The sale of Eurostar. <https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/The-sale-of-Eurostar.pdf>

OC'VIA AND RESEAU FERRE DE FRANCE. (2012, 28 June). RFF signe avec OC'VIA un contrat de partenariat pour construire la première ligne à grande vitesse, fret et voyageurs de France [Press release]. <http://www.ocvia.fr/download-form/dl-file/fa2431bf9d65058fe34e9713e32d60e6>

OLIVEIRA CRUZ, C., KOKKAEW, N., & CUNHA MARQUES, R. (2017). Public-Private Partnerships for High-Speed Rail Projects: Portugal and Thailand. *Advances in Public-Private Partnerships: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Public-Private Partnerships*, 133-145. Reston, VA: American Society of Civil Engineers.

OMEGA CENTRE. (2011). Project Profile: Netherlands, HSL-Zuid. [http://www.omegacentre.bartlett.ucl.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/NETHERLANDS\\_HSL\\_ZUID\\_PROFILE.pdf](http://www.omegacentre.bartlett.ucl.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/NETHERLANDS_HSL_ZUID_PROFILE.pdf)

MACÁRIO, R., RIBEIRO, J., AND DUARTE COSTA, J. (2015). Understanding pitfalls in the application of PPPs in transport infrastructure in Portugal. *Transport policy*, 41, 90-99

Pedro, M. J. G., De Abreu e Silva, J., & Brookes, N. (2015). How can external stakeholders influence a project? The case of the Portuguese high speed rail network. *Transportation Research Board 94th Annual Meeting Compendium of Papers*

PEREIRA, A. M. (2016). *Os investimentos públicos em Portugal*. Lisbon, Portugal: Fundação Francisco Manuel dos Santos.

PRIEMUS, H. (2011a, September). Contracting public transport infrastructure: Recent experience with the Dutch high speed line and the Amsterdam north-south metro line [Conference session.] 11th international Thredbo Conference on Competition and Ownership in Land Passenger Transport, Delft, Netherlands.

PRIEMUS, H. (2011b, September). Contracting public transport infrastructure: Recent experience with the Dutch high speed line and the Amsterdam north-south metro line. In Proceedings of 11th international Thredbo conference on competition and ownership in land passenger transport, Delft, Netherlands.

REDE FERROVIÁRIA DE ALTA VELOCIDADE. (2004). Relatório e contas 2004. [https://www.infraestruturasdeportugal.pt/sites/default/files/rc\\_2004.pdf](https://www.infraestruturasdeportugal.pt/sites/default/files/rc_2004.pdf)

REDE FERROVIÁRIA DE ALTA VELOCIDADE. (2006). Relatório e contas 2006. [https://www.infraestruturasdeportugal.pt/sites/default/files/rc\\_2006.pdf](https://www.infraestruturasdeportugal.pt/sites/default/files/rc_2006.pdf)

REDE FERROVIÁRIA DE ALTA VELOCIDADE. (2007). Relatório e contas 2007. [https://www.infraestruturasdeportugal.pt/sites/default/files/rc\\_2007.pdf](https://www.infraestruturasdeportugal.pt/sites/default/files/rc_2007.pdf)

REDE FERROVIÁRIA DE ALTA VELOCIDADE. (2008). Relatório e contas 2008. [https://www.infraestruturasdeportugal.pt/sites/default/files/rc\\_2008.pdf](https://www.infraestruturasdeportugal.pt/sites/default/files/rc_2008.pdf)

REDE FERROVIÁRIA DE ALTA VELOCIDADE. (2009). Relatório e contas 2009. [https://www.infraestruturasdeportugal.pt/sites/default/files/rc\\_2009.pdf](https://www.infraestruturasdeportugal.pt/sites/default/files/rc_2009.pdf)

RIBEIRO, J., COUCHINHO, R., MACÁRIO, R., AND LIYANANGE, C. (2016). Cross-country analysis of PPPs: The case of urban light rail projects. In A. Rouboutsos (Ed.), *Public Private Partnerships in Transport: Trends and Theory* (pp. 218-236). New York: Routledge.

ROCKART, J. F. (1982). The changing role of the information systems executive: A critical success factors perspective. *Sloan Management Review*, 24(1), 3–13.

ROLLAND SOBRAL, A.P., AND NEVES CRUZ, J. (2011). Parcerias público-privadas: Enquadramento e avaliação das concessões ferroviárias em Portugal. *Revista Portuguesa de Estudos Regionais*, 28, 3-26. <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=514351892001>

SANZ GANDÁSEGUI, F. (2005). Un ejemplo de concesión internacional de obras públicas: el contrato de concesión para la construcción y explotación de la línea ferroviaria a alta velocidad entre figueras y perpiñán. *Revista de Administración Pública*, 168, 381-407. <http://www.cepc.gob.es/Controls/Mav/getData.ashx?MAVqs=~aWQ9MjY0NDcmaWRIPTEwMzcmdXJsPTUyJm5hbWU9UkFQMjY4LjAxMy5wZGYmZmlsZT03MTYxMDQ0NDc0OTExODIucGRmJnRhYmxhPUFydGljdWxvJmNvbnRlbnQ9YXBwbGljYXRpb24vcGRm>

SECRETARIO DE ESTADO DE RELACIONES CON LAS CORTES. (2015). Boletín Oficial de las Cortes Generales, 633, de 20 de marzo de 2015, 301. Congreso de los Diputados. [http://www.congreso.es/public\\_oficiales/L10/CONG/BOCG/D/BOCG-10-D-633.PDF](http://www.congreso.es/public_oficiales/L10/CONG/BOCG/D/BOCG-10-D-633.PDF)

- SECRETARY OF STATE FOR FOREIGN AND COMMONWEALTH. (1986). Treaty between the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the French Republic concerning the Construction and Operation by Private Concessionaires of a Channel Fixed Link with Exchanges of Notes. [http://www.channeltunnelgc.co.uk/spip.php?action=acceder\\_documento&arg=93&cle=939ac28402cdf20e06d641b2ef2d1ece&file=pdf%2FTreaty\\_of\\_Canterbury\\_1986.pdf](http://www.channeltunnelgc.co.uk/spip.php?action=acceder_documento&arg=93&cle=939ac28402cdf20e06d641b2ef2d1ece&file=pdf%2FTreaty_of_Canterbury_1986.pdf)
- SELIG, E. T., AND WATERS, J. M. (1994). Track geotechnology and substructure management. London, United Kingdom: Thomas Telford.
- STAKE, R. E. (1995). The art of case study research. Sage.
- STAKE, R. E. (2003). Case studies. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Strategies of qualitative inquiry* (pp. 134–164). Sage.
- TRIBUNAL DE CONTAS. (2014). Projeto ferroviário português de alta velocidade (Relatório n.º 24/2014 – 2.ª Secção). [https://erario.tcontas.pt/pt/actos/rel\\_auditoria/2014/2s/audit-dgtr-rel024-2014-2s.pdf](https://erario.tcontas.pt/pt/actos/rel_auditoria/2014/2s/audit-dgtr-rel024-2014-2s.pdf)
- TWEEDE KAMER. (2008). Voortgangsrapport 23 Hogesnelheidslijn-Zuid. <https://zoek.officielebekendmakingen.nl/kst-22026-283-b1.pdf>
- UIC. (2021a). FRMCS. Retrieved from <https://uic.org/rail-system/frmcs/>
- UIC. (2021b). GSM-R. Retrieved from <https://uic.org/rail-system/gsm-r/>
- VARELA CORNADO, M. (2018). El desigual impacto de las políticas ferroviarias en una región transfronteriza. El caso de la Eurorregión Galicia-Norte de Portugal. *Revista Transporte y Territorio*, 18, 354-371. <http://revistascientificas.filo.uba.ar/index.php/rtt/article/view/4941/4435>
- VOORDIJK, J. T., LIYANAGE, C., AND TEMELJOTOV SALAJ, A. (2016). Critical success factors in different stages of delivery in PPP transport infrastructure projects. In A. Rouboutsos (Ed.), *Public Private Partnerships in Transport: Trends and Theory* (pp. 201-217). New York: Routledge.
- WORLD BANK. (2017). Public-private partnerships: Reference guide version 3. <https://library.pppknowledgelab.org/documents/4699/download>
- WORLD BANK. (2020). Infrastructure finance, PPPs & guarantees. <https://ppi.worldbank.org/en/ppi>
- YIN, R.K. (2009). *Case study research: Design and methods*. Sage.