## OPEN

# Heme Oxygenase-1 and 2 Common Genetic Variants and Risk for Restless Legs Syndrome

Elena García-Martín, MD, PhD, Félix Javier Jiménez-Jiménez, MD, PhD, Hortensia Alonso-Navarro, MD, PhD,
Carmen Martínez, MD, PhD, Martín Zurdo, MD, Laura Turpín-Fenoll, MD, PhD, Jorge Millán-Pascual, MD,
Teresa Adeva-Bartolomé, MD, PhD, Esther Cubo, MD, PhD, Francisco Navacerrada, MD,
Ana Rojo-Sebastián, MD, Lluisa Rubio, MD, Sara Ortega-Cubero, MD, Pau Pastor, MD, PhD,
Marisol Calleja, CN, José Francisco Plaza-Nieto, MD, Belén Pilo-de-la-Fuente, MD, PhD,
Margarita Arroyo-Solera, MD, Esteban García-Albea, MD, PhD, and José A.G. Agúndez, MD, PhD

**Abstract:** Several neurochemical, neuropathological, neuroimaging, and experimental data, suggest that iron deficiency plays an important role in the pathophysiology of restless legs syndrome (RLS). Hemeoxygenases (HMOX) are an important defensive mechanism against oxidative stress, mainly through the degradation of heme to biliverdin, free iron, and carbon monoxide. We analyzed whether *HMOX1* and *HMOX2* genes are related with the risk to develop RLS.

We analyzed the distribution of genotypes and allelic frequencies of the *HMOX1* rs2071746, *HMOX1* rs2071747, *HMOX2* rs2270363, and *HMOX2* rs1051308 SNPs, as well as the presence of Copy number variations (CNVs) of these genes in 205 subjects RLS and 445 healthy controls.

The frequencies of *rs2071746TT* genotype and *rs2071746T* allelic variant were significantly lower in RLS patients than that in controls, although the other 3 studied SNPs did not differ between RLS patients and controls. None of the studied polymorphisms influenced the disease onset, severity of RLS, family history of RLS, serum ferritin levels, or response to dopaminergic agonist, clonazepam or GABAergic drugs.

The present study suggests a weak association between *HMOX1* rs2071746 polymorphism and the risk to develop RLS in the Spanish population.

(Medicine 94(34):e1448)

**Abbreviations**: CNVs = copy number variations, DNA = deoxyribonucleic acid, ET = Essential tremor, GABA = gammahydroxybutyric acid, HMOX = Heme-oxygenase, HO = Hemeoxygenase, HSP = Heat Shock Protein, IRLSSGRS = International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group Rating Scale, PD = Parkinson's disease, qPCR = Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction, RLS = Restless legs syndrome, RNA = Ribonucleic acid, RR = Relative risk, SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism, VNTR = Variable number tandem repeats, WED = Willis Ekbom Disease.

#### INTRODUCTION

**D** espite there are many data supporting the role of genetic factors in the aetiology and the pathogenesis of restless legs syndrome (RLS), also called Willis–Ekbom disease (WED), such as the high frequency of family history of RLS reported by patients with this syndrome, data found in family studies, and the higher concordance rates in monozygotic twins when compared with dizygotic ones, the identification of the responsible gene(s) remains to be clarified (revised in reference).<sup>1</sup>

The pathophysiology of idiopathic RLS is not well established. Although recent reports suggest the possible role of several neurotransmitters and/or neuromodulators such as aspartate, gamma-hydroxybutyric acid (GABA), glutamate and opiates, and a possible relation with vitamin D deficiency, the main hypotheses (likely interconnected) are dopaminergic dysfunction and iron deficiency (revised in reference).<sup>2</sup>

Heme oxygenase is an essential enzyme in heme catabolism, and it occurs as 2 main isozymes, an inducible heme oxygenase-1 (HMOX1) and a constitutive heme oxygenase-2 (HMOX2), which are encoded by the genes designated, respectively, as *HMOX1*, *HO-1* or *HSP32* (gene identity 3162, chromosome 22q13.1) and *HMOX2* or *HO-2* (gene identity 3163, chromosome 16p13.3). Several recent studies have shown association between certain single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the *HMOX1*<sup>3-6</sup> and *HMOX2*<sup>5,7</sup> genes and the risk for Parkinson's disease (PD)<sup>3-5,7</sup> and for essential tremor (ET).<sup>6</sup>

Editor: Maohua Xie.

Received: June 10, 2015; revised: July 24, 2015; accepted: July 30, 2015. From the Department of Pharmacology, Universidad de Extremadura, Cáceres, Spain (EG-M, JAGA); Section of Neurology, Hospital Universitario del Sureste, Arganda del Rey (Madrid), Spain (FJJ-J, HA-N, FN, MC, JFPN, BP-D-LF, MA-S); Department of Medicine-Neurology, Hospital "Príncipe de Asturias". Universidad de Alcalá, Alcalá de Henares (Madrid), Spain (FJJ-J, HA-N, AR-S, LR, EG-A); Department of Pharmacology, University of Extremadura, Badajoz, Spain (CM); Section of Neurology, Hospital Virgen del Puerto, Plasencia (Cáceres), Spain (MZ); Section of Neurology, Hospital La Mancha-Centro, Alcázar de San Juan (Ciudad Real), Spain (LT-F, JM-P); Unit of Neurology, Clínica Recoletas, Zamora, Spain (TA-B); Section of Neurology, Hospital Universitario de Burgos, Burgos, Spain (EC); CIBERNED, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Neurodegenerativas, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain (SO-C, PP); Neurogenetics Laboratory, Division of Neurosciences, Center for Applied Medical Research, Universidad de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain (SO-C, PP); Department of Neurology, Clínica Universidad de Navarra, University of Navarra School of Medicine, Pamplona, Spain (PP); and Department of Neurology, Hospital Universitari Mutua de Terrassa, Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain (PP).

Correspondence: Félix Javier Jiménez-Jíménez, C/Marroquina 14, 3 B, E-28030 Madrid (e-mail: fjavier.jimenez@salud.madrid.org; felix.jimenez @sen.es).

Sources of Funding: This work was supported in part by Grants PI12/00241, PI12/00324 and RETICS RD12/0013/0002 from Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain, and GR10068 from Junta de Extremadura, Mérida, Spain, and PRIS10016 (Fundesalud, Mérida, Spain), and by grants from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation SAF2006-10126 (2006–2009) and SAF2010–22329-C02– 01 (2011–2013) to P.P and by the "Unión Técnica de Empresas" (UTE) project FIMA to P.P. and project from the Centro de Investigaciones Médicas Aplicadas (CIMA), Spain. Partially funded with FEDER funds. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Copyright © 2015 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. ISSN: 0025-7974

DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000001448

Because of the important role of brain iron deficiency in RLS, suggested by neuropathological, transcranial sonography, neuroimaging, and experimental data, it seems reasonable to study the possible association between SNPs related with iron metabolism and the risk for RLS. With the aim to investigate a possible association between *HMOX1* and *HMOX2* polymorphisms and the risk of developing RLS, we genotyped *HMOX1* and *HMOX2* SNPs in a large group of Caucasian Spanish RLS patients and controls.

## PATIENTS AND METHODS

## **Patients and Controls**

We studied 205 unrelated patients diagnosed with idiopathic RLS according to established RLS diagnostic criteria,<sup>8,9</sup> and 445 gender-matched controls. Demographic data of both series are summarized in Table 1. Recruitment and diagnosis of RLS patients was carried out by consultant neurologists with expertise in Movement Disorders belonging to the Movement Disorders Units of 5 Hospitals. Inclusion criteria, besides the diagnosis of idiopathic RLS, were the absence of other previous neurological diseases, and the exclusion of possible causes of secondary RLS such as anaemia, renal failure, rheumatoid arthritis, peripheral neuropathy, and exposure to neuroleptics, antidepressants, or other drugs able to induce or to aggravate RLS. For this purpose, all patients underwent laboratory studies (blood count, routine biochemistry, iron metabolism studies, serum levels of vitamin B12, folic acid, and thyroid hormones, proteinogram, antinuclear antibodies, rheumatoid factor, and nerve conduction studies). The International RLS Study Group Rating Scale (IRLSSGRS)<sup>10</sup> was used to assess RLS severity.

Positive family history of RLS was reported by 115 patients, 46 had ferritin levels between 30 and 50 ng/ml and 157 >50 ng/ml. Regarding previous treatments 159 have received dopamine agonists, 59 clonazepam, and 34 gabapentin or pregabaline, either alone or in combination.

The 445 controls were healthy Caucasian Spanish individuals matched by gender (275 of then were recruited from the Clínica Universitaria de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain; and the remaining 270 were recruited at the Infanta Cristina University Hospital, Badajoz, Spain). None of the controls had RLS, tremor, or other movement disorders.

## **Ethical Aspects**

All the participants included in the study gave their written informed consent after full explanation of the procedure. The

TABLE 1. Demograph	ic Data of the Series S	itudied
Group	RLS Patients $(n = 205)$	$\begin{array}{c} Controls \\ (n = 445) \end{array}$
Age, years, mean (SD)	53.8 (15.0)	63.6 (14.6)
Age range, years	20-92	17-104
Age at onset years, mean (SD)	43.9 (16.7)	NA
Female, %	170 (82.9%)	369 (82.9%)

 $\rm NA\,{=}\,not$  applicable,  $\rm RLS\,{=}\,restless$  legs syndrome,  $\rm SD\,{=}\,standard$  deviation.

Ethics Committees of Clinical Investigation of the Province of Cáceres (Cáceres, Spain), University Hospital "Príncipe de Asturias" (University of Alcalá, Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain), the Infanta Cristina University Hospital (Badajoz, Spain), and Clínica Universitaria de Navarra (Pamplona, Spain) approved the study that was conducted according to the principles enumerated in the Helsinki Declaration of 1975. Most of the patients recruited had participated in other previous studies of genetic association with RLS risk.<sup>11–14</sup>

### Genotyping

Two single nucleotide polymorphisms in the *HMOX1* gene and two polymorphisms in the *HMOX2* gene were studied by means of TaqMan probes. Analyses included the *HMOX1* SNP rs2071746 (an upstream variant), *HMOX1* rs2071747 (a missense mutation within the exon 1 of the *HMOX1* gene), the SNP rs2270363 (a polymorphism in the regulatory region of the human *HMOX2* gene), and rs1051308 (a polymorphism in the 3'untranslated region). The selection of these SNPs was done because of their putative functional effects and their expected allele frequency in Caucasian individuals.<sup>5,7</sup>

Genotyping performed in genomic DNA from venous blood samples of participants and was carried out using TaqMan assays (Applied Biosciences Hispania, Alcobendas, Madrid, Spain) designed to detect the four previously mentioned SNPs designated respectively by the supplier with the following part numbers: C\_15869717\_10, C\_22272778\_10, C\_15957370\_10 \_9695078\_1\_. An Eppendorf realplex thermocycler, and C\_ using fluorescent probes, was used for the detection by qPCR. The amplification conditions were the following: after a denaturation time of 10 min at 96 °C, 45 cycles of 92 °C 15 s and 60 °C 90 s were carried out and fluorescence was measured at the end of each cycle and at endpoint. Determinations were done by triplicate in all samples, and then genotypes were assigned both using a gene identification software (RealPlex 2.0, Eppendorf) and analysing the reference cycle number for each fluorescence curve, calculated by means of the CalQPlex algorithm (Eppendorf).

The TaqMan copy number assays Hs00774483\_cn and Hs01223070\_cn, respectively, were used for the analysis of copy number variations (CNVs) of the HMOX1 and HMOX2 genes. Both assays were designed to hybridize within the open reading frame in the target genes (Applied Biosciences Hispania, Alcobendas, Madrid, Spain). An Applied Biosystems 7500 real-time thermocycler using as a copy number reference assay RNAse P was used to carry out the amplification, as described by the manufacturer. All reactions were carried out in quadruplicate. The analysis of the results were performed using the CopyCaller Software (Applied Biosciences Hispania). According to standard procedures in CNV analyses, we designed as heterozygous (null/present) those samples with a single copy of the corresponding gene. As the probes were designed to detect exonic sequences, even if the rest of the gene would remain in these so-called null alleles, the translated protein would not be functional.

### **Statistical Analysis**

The DeFinetti program (http://ihg.gsf.de/cgi-bin/hw/ hwa1.pl) was used to analyze the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, the PLINK software<sup>15</sup> to perform the allelic and genotype analyses, and the program PHASE v2.1.1<sup>16</sup> to perform haplotype reconstruction using the default model for recombination rate variation with 1000 iterations, 500 burn-in iterations, and a

Genotypes	RLS Patients (n = 205,410 alleles)	Controls (n=445,888 alleles)	OR (95% CI), P; NPV (95% CI)
HMOX1 rs2071746 A/A	70 (34.1; 27.7–40.6)	120 (27.0; 22.8-31.1)	1.40 (0.97-2.04); 0.062; 0.71 (0.68-0.73)
A/T	105 (51.2; 44.4–58.1)	221 (49.7; 45.0-54.3)	1.06 (0.75–1.50); 0.712; 0.69 (0.65–0.73)
T/T	30 (14.6; 9.8–19.5)	102 (22.9; 19.0-26.8)	0.58 (0.36-0.92); 0.015; 0.66 (0.65-0.68)
Null/A	0 (-)	2(0.4; -0.2-1.1)	0.0 (0.0-8.44); 0.337; 0.68 (0.68-0.69)
Null/T	0 (-)	0 (-)	_
HMOX1 rs2071747 G/G	192 (93.7; 90.3-97.0)	404 (90.8; 88.1-93.5)	1.50 (0.76-3.02); 0.218; 0.76 (0.63-0.86)
G/C	13 (6.3; 3.0–9.7)	38 (8.5; 5.9–11.1)	0.73 (0.36-1.45); 0.333; 0.68 (0.67-0.69)
C/C	0 (0.0; 0.0-0.0)	1(0.2; -0.2-0.7)	0.0 (0.0–18.8); 0.337; 0.52 (0.52–0.52)
Null/G	0 (-)	2(0.4; -0.2-1.1)	0.0 (0.0-4.41); 0.174; 0.52 (0.52-0.52)
Null/C	0 (-)	0 (-)	=
HMOX2 rs2270363 G/G	107 (52.2; 45.4-59.0)	211 (47.4; 42.8-52.1)	1.21 (0.86-1.71); 0.258; 0.71 (0.67-0.74)
G/A	78 (38.0; 31.4-44.7)	189 (42.5; 37.9-47.1)	0.83 (0.58–1.18); 0.287; 0.67 (0.64–0.70)
A/A	20 (9.8; 5.7–13.8)	43 (9.7; 6.9–12.4)	1.01 (0.56-1.82); 0.970; 0.69 (0.67-0.70)
Null/G	0 (-)	2(0.4; -0.2-1.1)	0.0 (0.0-4.41); 0.174; 0.52 (0.52-0.52)
Null/A	0 (-)	0 (-)	_
HMOX2 rs1051308 A/A	97 (47.3; 40.5-54.2)	188 (42.2; 37.7-46.8)	1.23 (0.87-1.74); 0.226; 0.70 (0.67-0.74)
A/G	84 (41.0; 34.2-47.7)	197 (44.3; 39.7-48.9)	0.87 (0.62-1.24); 0.431; 0.67 (0.64-0.71)
G/G	24 (11.7; 7.3–16.1)	58 (13.0; 9.9-16.2)	0.89 (0.52-1.51); 0.636; 0.68 (0.67-0.70)
Null/A	0 (-)	1 (0.2; -0.2-0.7)	0.0 (0.0–18.8); 0.337; 0.52 (0.52–0.52)
Null/G	0 (-)	1 (0.2; -0.2-0.7)	0.0 (0.0-18.8); 0.337; 0.52 (0.52-0.52)
Alleles			
HMOX1 rs2071746 A	245 (59.8; 55.0-64.5)	463 (52.1; 48.9-55.4)	1.37 (1.07-1.74); 0.010; 0.72 (0.69-0.75)
Т	165 (40.2; 35.5-45.0)	425 (47.9; 44.6-51.1)	0.73 (0.58-0.94); 0.010; 0.65 (0.63-0.68)
HMOX1 rs2071747 G	397 (96.8; 95.1-98.5)	848 (95.5; 94.1-96.9)	1.44 (0.74-2.87); 0.259; 0.76 (0.62-0.86)
С	13 (3.2; 1.5–4.9)	40 (4.5; 3.1-5.9)	0.69 (0.34-1.36); 0.259; 0.68 (0.68-0.69)
HMOX2 rs2270363 G	292 (71.2; 66.8-75.6)	613 (69.0; 66.0-72.1)	1.11 (0.85-1.45); 0.425; 0.70 (0.66-0.74)
А	118 (28.8; 24.4-33.2)	275 (31.0; 27.9-34.0)	0.90 (0.69-1.17); 0.425; 0.68 (0.66-0.70)
HMOX2 rs1051308 A	278 (67.8; 63.3-72.3)	574 (64.6; 61.5-67.8)	1.15 (0.89–1.49); 0.265; 0.70 (0.67–0.74)
G	132 (32.2; 27.7-36.7)	314 (35.4; 32.2-38.5)	0.87 (0.67-1.12); 0.265; 0.67 (0.66-0.69)
Null HMOX1	0 (-)	2 (0.2; -0.1-0.5)	0.00 (0.00-8.80); 0.336; 0.68 (0.68-0.68)
Null HMOX2	0 (-)	2(0.2; -0.1-0.5)	0.00 (0.00-8.80); 0.336; 0.68 (0.68-0.68)

**TABLE 2.** *HMOX* Genotypes and Allelic Variants of Patients with RLS and Healthy Volunteers. The Values in Each Cell Represent Number (Percentage; 95% Confidence Intervals)

 $CI = confidence \ interval, \ NPV = negative \ predictive \ value, \ OR = odds \ ratio, \ RLS = restless \ legs \ syndrome.$ 

thinning interval of 1 was used. Diplotypes were obtained from the combination of haplotypes in the best run (the one that showed the maximum consistency of results across all runs.<sup>17</sup> Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 19.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). We calculated intergroup comparison values by means of the  $\chi^2$  or Fisher tests when appropriate, and calculated the 95% confidence intervals as well. The False discovery rate procedure<sup>18</sup> was used to calculate correction for multiple testing (*Pc* values).

The determination of the sample size was done from variant allele frequencies observed in control individuals with a genetic model analyzing the frequency for carriers of the disease gene with a RR value = 1.5 (P = 0.05). The statistical power for 2-tailed associations for the presence of the SNPs identified in this study (rs2071746, rs2071747, rs2270363 and rs1051308) was 95.06%, 38.51%, 92.72% and 94.23%, respectively. The Breslow–Day test was used to analyze testing for heterogeneous association (homogeneity test).

The negative predictive value (NPV) was calculated as  $d/r^2$ (d = number of control individuals with the risk factor absent;  $r^2$  = sum of patients and controls with the risk factor absent).<sup>19</sup>

#### RESULTS

The frequencies of the *rs2071746*, *rs2071747*, *rs2270363*, and *rs1051308* genotypes and allelic variants were in Hardy–Weinberg's equilibrium, both in RLS patient and control groups (Table 2). None of the patients and only 2 control individuals carried a single copy of the *HMOX1* and *HMOX2* genes, and hence CNVs were not further considered as major putative risk factors. The frequencies of *rs2071746TT* genotype and *rs2021746T* allele were significantly lower in RLS patients than in controls, both in the whole series (Table 2) and in female gender (Table 3). These differences remained significant for the rs2071746 allele frequency after multiple comparison analysis according to the false discovery rate correction. The frequencies of *rs2071747*, *rs2270363*, and *rs1051308* did not differ significantly between RLS patient and control groups.

Mean + SD age at the onset of RLS did not differ between RLS patients carrying the genotypes rs2071746AA, rs2071746AT, and rs2071746TT ( $44.4 \pm 16.6$ ,  $43.2 \pm 17.9$ , and  $44.4 \pm 16.6$  years, respectively); genotypes rs2071747GG and rs2071747GC ( $43.6 \pm 17.0$  and  $43.8 \pm 15.4$  years, respectively); genotypes rs2270363GA, and rs2270363AA Downloaded from http://joumals.lww.com/md-joumal by BhDMf5ePHKav1zEoum1t0ftN4a+kJLhEZgbsIHo4XMi0hCy wCX1AWnYQp/IIQrHD3i3D0OdRyi7TvSFI4Cf3VC1y0abggQZXdgGj2MwlZLeI= on 03/07/2024 **TABLE 3**. HMOX Genotypes and Allelic Variants of Patients with RLS and Healthy Volunteers Distributed by Gender. The Values in Each Cell Represent: Number (Percentage; 95% Confidence Intervals)

Genotypes	RLSI Women (n = 170,340 alleles)	Control Women (n = 367,734 alleles)	Intergroup Comparison OR (95%CI), P; NPV (95%CI)	RLS Men (n = 35,70 alleles)	Control Men (n=78,156 alleles)	Intergroup Comparison OR (95%CI) P; NPV (95%CI)
HMOX1	62 (36.5; 29.2–43.7)	101 (27.5; 23.0–32.1)	1.51 (1.01-2.27); 0.036; 0.71 (0.68-0.74)	8 (22.9; 8.9–36.8)	20 (25.6; 16.0–35.3)	$0.86 \ (0.30 - 1.40); \ 0.752; \ 0.68 \ (0.64 - 0.74)$
A/T T/T HMOXI	82 (48.2; 40.7–55.7) 26 (15.3; 9.9–20.7) 158 (92.9; 89.1–96.8)	182 (49.6; 44.5–54.7) 84 (22.9; 18.6–27.2) 334 (91.0; 88.1–93.9)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.97 \ (0.66-1.42); \ 0.867; \ 0.68 \ (0.64-0.72) \\ 0.61 \ (0.36-1.01); \ 0.043; \ 0.66 \ (0.65-0.68) \\ 1.30 \ (0.63-2.74); \ 0.453; \ 0.73 \ (0.59-0.85) \end{array}$	23 (65.7; 50.0–81.4) 4 (11.4; 0.9–22.0) 34 (97.1; 91.6–102.7)	40 (51.3; 40.2–62.4) 18 (23.1; 13.7–32.4) 72 (92.3; 86.4–98.2)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.82 & (0.74 - 4.53); \ 0.155; \ 0.76 & (0.65 - 0.85) \\ 0.43 & (0.11 - 1.52); \ 0.150; \ 0.66 & (0.63 - 0.71) \\ 2.83 & (0.32 - 64.95); \ 0.326; \ 0.86 & (0.43 - 0.99) \end{array}$
rs2071747 G/G G/C C/C HMOX2	$\begin{array}{c} 12 \ (7.1; \ 3.2 - 10.9) \\ 0 \ (0.0; \ 0.0 - 0.0) \\ 91 \ (53.5; \ 46.0 - 61.0) \end{array}$	32 (8.7; 5.8–11.6) 1 (0.3; 0.3–0.8) 175 (47.7; 42.6–52.8)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.80 & (0.38 - 1.66); \ 0.514; \ 0.68 & (0.67 - 0.69) \\ 0.00 & (0.00 - 37.56); \ 0.496; \ 0.68 & (0.68 - 0.69) \\ 1.26 & (0.86 - 1.85); \ 0.208; \ 0.71 & (0.67 - 0.75) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1\ (2.9;\ -2.7-8.4)\\0\ (0.0;\ 0.0-0.0)\\16\ (45.7;\ 29.2-62.2)\end{array}$	6 (7.7; 1.8–13.6) 0 (0.0; 0.0–0.0) 38 (48.7; 37.6–59.8)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.35 \ (0.02 - 3.17); \ 0.326; \ 0.68 \ (0.67 - 0.71) \\ - \\ 0.89 \ (0.37 - 2.13); \ 0.769; \ 0.68 \ (0.59 - 0.77) \end{array}$
rs2270363 G/G G/A A/A HMOX2	66 (38.8; 31.5-46.1) 13 (7.6; 3.7-11.6) 81 (47.6; 40.1-55.2)	156 (42.5; 37.4–47.6) 36 (9.8; 6.8–12.9) 158 (43.1; 38.0–48.1)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.86 & (0.58 - 1.27); \\ 0.76 & (0.37 - 1.54); \\ 0.419; \\ 0.68 & (0.67 - 0.69) \\ 1.20 & (0.82 - 1.76); \\ 0.319; \\ 0.70 & (0.67 - 0.74) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12 \ (34.3; \ 18.6-50.0) \\ 7 \ (20.0; \ 6.7-33.3) \\ 16 \ (45.7; \ 29.2-62.2) \end{array}$	33 (42.3; 31.3–53.3) 7 (9.0; 2.6–15.3) 31 (39.7; 28.9–50.6)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.71 \\ 0.72 \\ 2.54 \\ (0.72 \\ -9.03); 0.102; 0.72 \\ (0.68 \\ -0.76) \\ 1.28 \\ (0.53 \\ -3.08); 0.553; 0.71 \\ (0.64 \\ -0.79) \end{array}$
rs1051308 A/A A/G G/G	$73 (42.9; 35.5-50.4) \\16 (9.4; 5.0-13.8)$	$\begin{array}{c} 161 \ (43.9; \ 38.8-48.9) \\ 48 \ (13.1; \ 9.6-16.5) \end{array}$	0.96 ( $0.66-1.41$ ); $0.840$ ; $0.68$ ( $0.64-0.72$ ) 0.69 ( $0.36-1.30$ ); $0.223$ ; $0.67$ ( $0.66-0.69$ )	$\begin{array}{c} 11 \ (31.4; \ 16.0-46.8) \\ 8 \ (22.9; \ 8.9-36.8) \end{array}$	36 (46.2; 35.1–57.2) 11 (14.1; 6.4–21.8)	0.54 (0.21-1.34); 0.144; 0.64 (0.57-0.72) 1.81 (0.58-5.54); 0.252; 0.71 (0.67-0.75)
Alleles HMOX1	206 (60.6; 55.4–65.8)	384 (52.3; 48.7–55.9)	1.40 (1.07–1.84); 0.011; 0.72 (0.69–0.75)	39 (55.7; 44.1–67.4)	80 (51.3; 43.4–59.1)	1.20 (0.65–2.19); 0.538; 0.71 (0.64–0.78)
T HMOX1 	$134 (39.4; 34.2-44.6) \\328 (96.5; 94.5-98.4)$	350 (47.7; 44.1–51.3) 700 (95.4; 93.8–96.9)	0.71 (0.55–0.94); 0.011; 0.65 (0.63–0.68) 1.33 (0.65–2.75); 0.407; 0.74 (0.59–0.85)	31 (44.3; 32.6–55.9) 69 (98.6; 95.8–101.4)	76 (48.7; 40.9–56.6) 150 (96.2; 93.1–99.2)	0.84 (0.46-1.53); 0.538; 0.67 (0.61-0.73) 2.76 (0.32-65,02); 0.333; 0.86 (0.43-0.99
ES20/1/4/ G C HMOX2	12 (3.5; 1.6–5.5) 248 (72.9; 68.2–77.7)	34 (4.6; 3.1–6.2) 506 (68.9; 65.6–72.3)	0.75 (0.36-1.53); 0.407; 0.68 (0.68-0.69) 1.22 (0.90-1.63); 0.182; 0.71 (0.67-0.76)	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ (1.4; \ \text{-}1.4-4.2) \\ 44 \ (62.9; \ 51.5-74.2) \end{array}$	6 (3.8; 0.8–6.9) 109 (69.9; 62.7–77.1)	$\begin{array}{l} 0.36 \ (0.02-3.13); \ 0.333; \ 0.69 \ (0.68-0.70) \\ 0.73 \ (0.39-1.38); \ 0.298; \ 0.64 \ (0.55-0.74) \end{array}$
HMOX 2	92 (27.1; 22.3–31.8) 235 (69.1; 64.2–74.0)	228 (31.1; 27.7–34.4) 477 (65.0; 61.5–68.4)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.82 & (0.61\!-\!1.11); \ 0.182; \ 0.67 & (0.65\!-\!0.69) \\ 1.21 & (0.91\!-\!1.60); \ 0.183; \ 0.71 & (0.67\!-\!0.75) \end{array}$	26 (37.1; 25.8–48.5) 43 (61.4; 50.0–72.8)	47 (30.1; 22.9–37.3) 98 (62.8; 55.2–70.4)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.37 \ (0.73-2.59); \ 0.298; \ 0.71 \ (0.67-0.76) \\ 0.94 \ (0.51-1.76); \ 0.842; \ 0.68 \ (0.60-0.76) \end{array}$
rs1021508 A G	105 (30.9; 26.0–35.8)	257 (35.0; 31.6–38.5)	$0.83 \ (0.62 - 1.10); \ 0.183; \ 0.67 \ (0.65 - 0.69)$	27 (38.6; 27.2–50.0)	58 (37.2; 29.6–44.8)	1.06 (0.57 - 1.97); 0.842; 0.70 (0.65 - 0.75)

 $(43.4 \pm 17.6, 44.2 \pm 16.8, \text{ and } 42.3 \pm 13.1 \text{ years, respectively});$ and genotypes *rs1051308AA*, *rs1051308AG*, and *rs1051308AA*  $(42.9 \pm 17.3, 45.0 \pm 17.1, \text{ and } 41.6 \pm 14.5 \text{ years, respectively}).$ 

Mean + SD IRLSSGRS scores were similar for RLS patients carrying genotypes rs2071746AA, rs2071746AT, and rs2071746TT (24.6 ± 7.0, 25.02 ± 6.0, and 23.3 ± 8.0, respectively); genotypes rs2071747GG and rs2071747GC (24.8 ± 6.5 and 21.7 ± 6.4, respectively); genotypes rs2270363GA, and rs2270363AA (24.6 ± 6.8, 24.7 ± 6.6, and 22.7 ± 6.9, respectively); and genotypes rs1051308AA, rs1051308AG, and rs1051308AA (24.8 ± 6.7, 23.8 ± 6.6, and 23.8 ± 6.8, respectively).

The distribution of genotypes and allelic frequencies was similar in RLS patients with positive family history of RLS than in those with negative family history of RLS (Table 4), RLS patients with relatively low serum levels of ferritin (30-50 ng/ml) versus those with serum ferritin levels > 50 ng/ml (Table 4), and those RLS patients in which RLS symptoms improved with dopamine agonists, clonazepam, or gabapentin/pregabaline therapy compared with those who did not improve with these drugs (Table 5).

#### DISCUSSION

Family reports of RLS are usually consistent with an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance, although families with recessive or non-mendelian patterns have been described as well. To date, linkage studies have identified at least 8 genes/ loci (most of them apparently autosomal dominant) in families with RLS. Association between several variants of *MEIS1* (closely related with iron metabolism),<sup>20</sup> *PTPRD*, and *BTBD9* genes and with the risk of developing RLS has been found in genome wide association studies (GWAS),<sup>1</sup> whereas *PCDHA3* gene has been found to be related with the development of RLS in a large German family by using exome sequencing studies.<sup>1</sup> Case-control association studies in RLS are scarce and inconclusive (revised in).<sup>1</sup>

Data from the present case-control association study suggest a weak association between the allelic variant *HMOX1 rs2071746T*, but not of the other 3 studied SNPs in the *HMOX*, and the risk for RLS. However, none of the studied SNPs were related with the age at onset or severity of RLS, positivity of family history or RLS, serum ferritin levels, and response to several treatments. The lack of relation between SNPs in the *HMOX* gene and serum ferritin levels is on the line of a previous study by Oexle et al,<sup>21</sup> that described that SNPs at the known RLS loci and iron-related genes did not significantly affect serum iron parameters in several cohorts.

We have previously reported association between *HMOX1 rs2071746T* variant and the risk for PD and ET,<sup>5,6</sup> suggesting a possible link between these diseases. However, how this SNP might change *HMOX* transcription both in PD (associated with elevated iron content) and RLS (associated with iron deficiency), and the possible putative mechanisms suggesting an association between HMOX and RLS could be speculative. So far there are no clues on putative biological mechanisms underlying the association found. The rs2071746 SNP is located in the 5' area, ~500 bp before the coding area, and therefore the most likely mechanism would be related to gene expression. The area where the SNP is located has several transcription factor binding sites. One of these, designated as CUTL1 [T00100] is present in the wild-type sequence, but

disappears in the mutated sequence. The disruption of this transcription factor-binding site may underlie differences in gene expression.

In the brain, the HMOX pathway is a very important defensive mechanism against oxidative stress, mainly through the degradation of heme to biliverdin, free iron, and carbon monoxide. Moreover, an up-regulation of HMOX1 expression (resulting in increase of oxidative stress and sequestration of iron non-linked to transferrin in the mitochondrial department) has been found in the brains of patients with PD, Alzheimer's disease, and multiple sclerosis.<sup>22,23</sup>

Despite the pathophysiology of RLS is not well-established, it has been suggested an important role of iron deficiency in the pathogenesis of idiopathic RLS.<sup>1</sup> Most of the magnetic resonance imaging studies found decreased iron content,<sup>24-28</sup> and transcranial ultrasonography studies hypoechogenicity (reflecting decreased iron as well),<sup>29-31</sup> in the substantia nigra of RLS patients compared with controls. Moreover, neuropathological studies have found decreased concentrations of iron, ferritin, and other proteins related with iron homeostasis in the substantia nigra of RLS patients.<sup>32–36</sup> The results of studies assessing cerebrospinal fluid and serum/ plasma levels of iron, ferritin, and transferrin are controversial (revised in).<sup>2</sup> Several data in experimental models resembling RLS suggest an important interaction between iron deficiency and the dopaminergic system in the pathogenesis of RLS (revised in).<sup>2</sup>

To our knowledge, HMOX1 and HMOX2 expression has not been measured in neuropathological studies of RLS patients yet. It could be proposed that if the iron content is decreased in the substantia nigra of RLS patients, HMOX should act as protective against iron-related oxidative stress, and alterations in *HMOX1* and *HMOX2* genes could be related with the iron deficiency model of the pathogenesis of RLS.

A limitation of the present study is that, whereas 3 SNPs had a high statistical power, the other one, designated as rs2071747, had not. However, rs207174 is an allele with a very low minor frequency (MAF) in healthy Europeans (0.045 in this study and 0.060 in the 1000 genomes database). Such SNP is also very rare in other human populations, MAF ranging from 0.020 to 0.050 (http://browser.1000genomes.org/Homo\_sapiens/Variation/ Population?db = core;r = 22:35776685–35777685;v = rs2071747; vdb = variation;vf = 1641286). To obtain a reliable statistical power for such SNP with the RR value = 1.5 (P = 0.05), the minimum sample size is estimated to be 1900 case-control pairs. However, it should be stressed that the significant findings in this study are related to another SNP that has a high statistical power: rs2071746 has a power equal to 95.6%.

Another potential limitation should be a selection bias. Although it is well known that in epidemiological studies the male-to-female ratios of incidence rate of RLS are in the range of 1:1.5 to 1:2.0 in adult populations, the male-to-female ratio of RLS patients is  $\sim$ 1:5. This proportion is likely to be related with the fact that the recruitment of patients was done in a clinical (hospital-based) setting.

Although the results of the present study should be taken with caution because of the previously discussed limitations, and deserve further replication studies in other populations, they suggest a slightly decreased risk for RLS in Spanish Caucasian individuals carrying the *HMOX1 rs2021746T* allele variant.

	n
	0
	ad
_	é
S.	ö.
0	⇒
~	9
$\geq$	Ľ
5	Þ
'n	륲
$\prec$	2
Qp/II	5
Q	ŭ
$\equiv$	F
Y	ā
Ť	S
	<
)3i3D	3
B	
8	8
õ	B
ď	ĥ
고	bu
Ryi7	느.
000dRyi7T	0
<	urn
ŝ	5
Ξ	_
6	2
	BhD
3VC1	Р
0	9
Ì	$\geq$
Y0	5e
ab	Ť
gg	Ť
	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$
Ñ	a
X	7
0.	ΡĒ
g	П
<u>_</u>	č
2	З
≶	11tQ
$\geq$	Q
	1
	~
Fe	4
<del>0</del> 	N4a-
II	N4a+k
el= on	N4a+kJL
l= on 0	N4a+kJLh
l= on 03/	N4a+kJLhE
l= on 03/0	N4a+kJLhEZg
l= on 03/07,	N4a+kJLhEZgb:
l= on 03/07/2	N4a+kJLhEZgbs1
l= on 03/07/2	LhEZgbsIH
l= on 03/07/2	N4a+kJLhEZgbsIHo4
l= on 03/07/2	LhEZgbsIH
l= on 03/07/2	LhEZgbsIH
l= on 03/07/2	LhEZgbsIH
l= on 03/07/2	LhEZgbsIHo4XMi0h
l= on 03/07/2	LhEZgbsIHo4XMi

Dow

TABLE 4. HMOX Genotypes and Allelic Variants of Patients with RLS Distributed by Family History and Serum Ferritin Levels. The Values in Each Cell Represent: Number (Percentage: 95% Confidence Intervals). Crude P Values are Shown

Genotype	Positive Family History of RLS $(n = 115,230$ alleles)	Negative Family History of $RLS$ (n = 89,178 alleles)	Intergroup Comparison Values OR (95%CI) P	Ferritin Levels = $30-50$ ng/ml (n = $46, 92$ Alleles)	$\label{eq:Ferritin Levels} \begin{split} Ferritin \ Levels > 50 \ ng/ml \\ (n = 157, 314 \ Alleles) \end{split}$	Intergroup Comparison Values OR (95% CI) P
HMOX1 rs2071746 A/A	36 (31.3; 22.8–39.8)	34 (38.2; 28.1-48.3)	0.74 (0.40-1.37); 0.305	15 (32.6; 19.1–46.2)	54 (34.4; 27.0-41.8)	0.92 (0.43-1.96); 0.822
	65 (56.5; 47.5–65.6)	39 (43.8; 33.5-54.1)	1.67(0.92 - 3.03); 0.073	24 (52.2; 37.7–66.6)	80 (51.0; 43.1–58.8)	1.10(0.52-2.13); 0.885
T/T	14 (12.2; 6.2–18.2)	16 (18.0; 10.0-26.0)	0.63 (0.27–1.47); 0.247	7 (15.2; 4.8–25.6)	23(14.6; 9.1-20.2)	1.05 (0.38–2.82); 0.924
HMOX1 rs2071747 G/G	107 (93.0; 88.4–97.7)	84 (94.4; 89.6–99.2)	0.80 (0.22–2.81); 0.699	43 (93.5; 86.3-100.6)	147 (93.6; 89.8–97.5)	0.97 (0.23-4.70); 0.970
G/C	8 (7.0; 2.3–11.6)	5(5.6; 0.8-10.4)	1.26 (0.36–4.61); 0.699	3 (6.5; 0.6 - 13.7)	10 (6.4; 2.5 - 10.2)	1.03 (0.21 - 4.30); 0.970
C/C	0 (0.0; 0.0-0.0)	$0\ (0.0;\ 0.0{-}0.0)$	I	0 (0.0; 0.0-0.0)	$0 \ (0.0; \ 0.0-0.0)$	I
HMOX2 rs2270363 G/G	60 (52.2; 43.0-61.3)	46 (51.7; 41.3-62.1)	1.02 (0.56–1.84); 0.945	19 (41.3; 27.1–55.5)	87 (55.4; 47.6–63.2)	0.57 (0.28–1.16); 0.093
G/A	42 (36.5; 27.7-45.3)	36 (40.4; 30.3 - 50.6)	0.85 (0.46–1.56); 0.568	22 (47.8; 33.4–62.3)	55 (35.0; 27.6–42.5)	1.70 (0.83–3.48); 0.117
A/A	13 (11.3; 5.5–17.1)	7 (7.9; 2.3–13.5)	1.49 (0.53-4.36); 0.414	5(10.9; 1.9-19.9)	15 (9.6; 5.0 - 14.2)	1.15(0.34-3.67); 0.793
HMOX2 rs1051308 A/A	55 (47.8; 38.7–57.0)	41 (46.1; 35.7–56.4)	1.07 (0.59–1.94); 0.803	17 (37.0; 23.0–50.9)	79 (50.3; 42.5–58.1)	0.58 (0.28–2.20); 0.111
A/G	45 (39.1; 30.2-48.1)	39 (43.8; 33.5–54.1)	0.82 (0.45–1.50); 0.501	22 (47.8; 33.4–62.3)	61 (38.9; 31.2–46.5)	1.44 (0.71–2.94); 0.278
G/G	15 (13.0; 6.9–19.2)	9 (10.1; 3.8 - 16.4)	1.33 (0.52–3.51); 0.520	7 (15.2; 4.8–25.6)	17 (10.8; 6.0 - 15.7)	1.48 (0.51 - 1.14); 0.419
Alleles						
HMOX1 rs2071746 A 137 (59.6; 53.2–65.9)		107 (60.1; 52.9-67.3)	0.98 (0.64–1.49); 0.911	54 (58.7; 48.6-68.8)	188 (59.9; 54.5–65.3)	0.95 (0.58 - 1.57); 0.840
Т	93 (40.4; 34.1–46.8)	71 (39.9; 32.7–47.1)	1.02 (0.67–1.56); 0.911	38 (41.3; 31.2–51.4)	126 (40.1; 34.7–45.5)	1.05 (0.64 - 1.73); 0.840
HMOX1 rs2071747 G	222 (96.5; 94.2–98.9)	173 (97.2; 94.8–99.6)	0.80 (0.22–2.76); 0.703	89 (96.7; 93.1–100.4)	$304 \ (96.8; \ 94.9 - 98.8)$	0.98 (0.24-4.58); 0.971
C	8 (3.5; 1.1–5.8)	5(2.8; 0.4-5.2)	1.25 (0.36–4.47); 0.703	3(3.3; 0.4-6.9)	10 (3.2; 1.2–5.1)	1.03 (0.22-4.15); 0.971
HMOX2 rs2270363 G	162 (70.4; 64.5-76.3)	128 (71.9; 65.3–78.5)	0.93 (0.59–1.47); 0.745	60 (65.2; 55.5–74.9)	229 (72.9; 68.0–77.8)	0.67 (0.41 - 1.18); 0.151
A	68 (29.6; 23.7–35.5)	50 (28.1; 21.5-34.7)	1.08 (0.68–1.70); 0.745	32 (34.8; 25.1–44.5)	85 (27.1; 22.2–32.0)	1.44 (0.85 - 2.43); 0.151
HMOX2 rs1051308 A	155 (67.4; 61.3–73.4)	121 (68.0; 61.1–74.8)	0.97 (0.63–1.51); 0.900	56 (60.9; 50.9–70.8)	219 (69.7; 64.7–74.8)	0.68 (0.41 - 1.13); 0.110
G	75 (32.6; 26.6–38.7)	57 (32.0; 25.2–38.9)	1.03 (0.66 - 1.60); 0.900	36 (39.1; 29.2–49.1)	95 (30.3; 25.2–35.3)	1.48 (0.89–2.47); 0.110

TABLE 5. HMOX Ge Intervals)	enotypes and Allelic Varia	TABLE 5. HMOX Genotypes and Allelic Variants of Patients with RLS Distributed by Drug Response. The Values in Each Cell Represent: Number (Percentage; 95% Confidence Intervals)	buted by Drug Response	. The Values in Each Cell Re	epresent: Number (Percen	tage; 95% Confidence
Genotype	Positive Response to DA (n = 159,318 alleles)	Negative Response to DA (n = 13,26 alleles)	Positive Response to $CNZ$ (n = 59,116 alleles)	Negative Response to CNZ (n = 18, 36 alleles)	Positive Response to GABA (n = 37,74 alleles)	Negative Response to GABA (n = 8,14 alleles)
AVA ANTITOCat	56 (35.2; 27.8–42.6)	5 (38.5; 12.0–64.9)	22 (37.3; 24.9–49.6)	7 (38.9; 16.4–61.4)	18 (48.6; 32.5–64.8)	1 (12.5; -10.4-35.4)
A/T A/T T/T HMOXI	82 (51.6; 43.8–59.3) 21 (13.2; 7.9–18.5) 148 (93.1; 89.1–97.0)	6 (46.2; 19.1–73.3) 2 (15.4; -4.2–35.0) 13 (100.0; 100.0–100.0)	30 (50.8; 38.1–63.6) 7 (11.9; 3.6–20.1) 55 (93.2; 86.8–99.6)	8 (44.4; 21.5–67.4) 3 (16.7; 0.6–33.9) 17 (94.4; 83.9–105.0)	16 (43.2; 27.3–59.2) 3 (8.1; 0.7–16.9) 36 (97.3; 92.1–102.5)	$\begin{array}{c} 7 \ (87.5; 64.6-110.4) \\ 0 \ (0.0; \ 0.0-0.0) \\ 7 \ (87.5; 64.6-110.4) \end{array}$
6/C G/C C/C HMOX2	11 (6.9; 3.0–10.9) 0 (0.0; 0.0–0.0) 80 (50.3; 42.5–58.1)	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & (0.0; \ 0.0-0.0) \\ 0 & (0.0; \ 0.0-0.0) \\ 8 & (61.5; \ 35.1-88.0) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \ (6.8; \ 0.4{-}13.2) \\ 0 \ (0.0; \ 0.0{-}0.0) \\ 30 \ (50.8; \ 38.1{-}63.6) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ (5.6; \ -5.0-16.1) \\ 0 \ (0.0; \ 0.0-0.0) \\ 13 \ (72.2; \ 51.5-92.9) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1 \ (2.7; -2.5-7.9)\\0 \ (0.0; \ 0.0-0.0)\\20 \ (54.1; \ 38.0-70.1)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1 & (12.5; -10.4-35.4) \\ 0 & (0.0; 0.0-0.0) \\ 5 & (62.5; 29.0-96.0)\end{array}$
52270303 G/G G/A A/A HMOX2 1051300 A/A	62 (39.0; 31.4–46.6) 17 (10.7; 5.9–15.5) 75 (47.2; 39.4–54.9)	4 (30.8; 5.7–55.9) 1 (7.7; -6.8–22.2) 7 (53.8; 26.7–80.9)	24 (40.7; 28.1–53.2) 5 (8.5; 1.4–15.6) 31 (52.5; 39.8–65.3)	3 (16.7; 0.6–33.9) 2 (11.1; –3.4–25.6) 13 (72.2; 51.5–92.9)	14 (37.8; 22.2–53.5) 3 (8.1; 0.7–16.9) 18 (48.6; 32.5–64.8)	2 (25.0; -5.0-55.0) 1 (12.5; -10.4-35.4) 6 (75.0; 45.0-105.0)
A/G B/A B/D	64 (40.3; 32.6–47.9) 20 (12.6; 7.4–17.7)	5 (38.5; 12.0–64.9) 1 (7.7; -6.8–22.2)	22 (37.3; 24.9–49.6) 6 (10.2; 2.5–17.9)	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \ (16.7; \ 0.6-33.9) \\ 2 \ (11.1; \ -3.4-25.6) \end{array}$	16 (43.2; 27.3–59.2) 3 (8.1; 0.7–16.9)	$\begin{array}{c}1 \ (12.5; \ -10.4-35.4)\\1 \ (12.5; \ -10.4-35.4)\end{array}$
Alleles HMOX1 	194 (61.0; 55.6–66.4)	16 (61.5; 42.8–80.2)	74 (62.7; 54.0–71.4)	22 (61.1; 45.2–77.0)	52 (70.3; 59.9–80.7)	9 (56.3; 31.9–80.6)
HMOX1 HMOX1 T	124 (39.0; 33.6–44.4) 307 (96.5; 94.5–98.5)	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \ (38.5; \ 19.8-57.2) \\ 26 \ (100.0; \ 100.0-100.0) \end{array}$	44 (37.3; 28.6–46.0) 114 (96.6; 93.3–99.9)	14 (38.9; 23.0–54.8) 35 (97.2; 91.9–102.6)	22 (29.7; 19.3–40.1) 73 (98.6; 96.0–101.3)	7 (43.8; 19.4–68.1) 15 (93.8; 81.9–105.6)
HMOX2	$\begin{array}{c} 11 \ (3.5; \ 1.5{-}5.5) \\ 222 \ (69.8; \ 64.8{-}74.9) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \ (0.0; \ 0.0-0.0) \\ 20 \ (76.9; \ 60.7-93.1) \end{array}$	4 (3.4; 0.1–6.7) 84 (71.2; 63.0–79.4)	1 (2.8; -2.6–8.1) 29 (80.6; 67.6–93.5)	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ (1.4; \ -1.3 - 4.0) \\ 54 \ (73.0; \ 62.9 - 83.1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ (6.3; \ -5.6 - 18.1) \\ 12 \ (75.0; \ 53.8 - 96.2) \end{array}$
A A HMOX2 A	96 (30.2; 25.1–35.2) 214 (67.3; 62.1–72.5)	$6 (23.1; 6.9-39.3) \\ 19 (73.1; 56.0-90.1)$	34 (28.8; 20.6–37.0) 84 (71.2; 63.0–79.4)	7 (19.4; 6.5–32.4) 29 (80.6; 67.6–93.5)	20 (27.0; 16.9–37.1) 52 (70.3; 59.9–80.7)	4 (25.0; 3.8–46.2) 13 (81.3; 62.1–100.4)
B SUCICULA	104 (32.7; 27.5–37.9)	7 (26.9; 9.9–44.0)	34 (28.8; 20.6–37.0)	7 (19.4; 6.5–32.4)	22 (29.7; 19.3–40.1)	3 (18.8; 0.4–37.9)

Medicine • Volume 94, Number 34, August 2015

CNZ = clonazepam, DA = dopamine agonists, GABA = gabapentin or pregabaline.

### REFERENCES

- Jiménez-Jiménez FJ, Alonso-Navarro H, García-Martín E, Agúndez JAG. Latest perspectives in genetic risk factors for restless legs syndrome. *Eur Neurol Rev.* 2013;8:90–96. http://www.touchneurology.com/articles/latest-perspectives-genetic-risk-factors-restless-legs-syndrome.
- Jiménez-Jiménez FJ, Alonso-Navarro H, García-Martín E, Agúndez JAG. Neurochemistry of idiopathic restless legs syndrome. *Eur Neurol Rev.* 2015;10:35–44. http://www.touchneurology.com/articles/ neurochemistry-idiopathic-restless-legs-syndrome.
- Infante J, García-Gorostiaga I, Sánchez-Juan P, et al. Synergistic effect of two oxidative stress-related genes (heme oxygenase-1 and GSK3β) on the risk of Parkinson's disease. *Eur J Neurol.* 2010;17:760–762.
- Infante J, Sierra M, Sánchez-Juan P, et al. Interaction between heme oxygenase-1 genotypes and exposure to pesticides in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord*. 2011;26:916–917.
- Ayuso P, Martínez C, Pastor P, et al. An association study between Heme oxygenase-1 genetic variants and Parkinson?s disease. *Front Cell Neurosci.* 2014;9:298.
- Ayuso P, Agúndez JAG, Alonso-Navarro H, et al. Heme oxygenase-1 and 2 common genetic variants and risk for essential tremor. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2015;94:e968.
- Ayuso P, Martínez C, Lorenzo-Betancor O, et al. A polymorphism located at an ATG transcription start site of the heme oxygenase-2 gene is associated with classical Parkinson's disease. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*. 2011;21:565–571.
- Allen RP, Picchietti D, Hening WA, et al. Restless legs syndrome: diagnostic criteria, special considerations, and epidemiology: a report from the restless legs syndrome diagnosis and epidemiology work shop at the National Institute of Health. *Sleep Med.* 2003;4:101–119.
- Allen RP, Picchietti DL, Garcia-Borreguero D, et al., International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group 2014. Restless legs syndrome/ Willis-Ekbom disease diagnostic criteria: updated International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group (IRLSSG) consensus criteria—history, rationale, description, and significance. *Sleep Med.* 2014;15:860–873.
- Walters AS, LeBrocq C, Dhar A, et al. Validation of the International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group rating scale for restless legs yndrome. *Sleep Med.* 2003;4:121–132.
- Roco A, Jiménez-Jiménez FJ, Alonso-Navarro H, et al. MAPT1 gene rs1052553 variant is unrelated with the risk for restless legs syndrome. J Neural Transm. 2013;120:463–467.
- Jiménez-Jiménez FJ, Alonso-Navarro H, Martínez C, et al. Dopamine Receptor D3 (DRD3) gene rs6280 variant and risk for restless legs syndrome. *Sleep Med.* 2013;14:382–384.
- Jiménez-Jiménez FJ, Alonso-Navarro H, Martínez C, et al. The solute carrier family 1 (glial high affinity glutamate transporter), member 2 gene, SLC1A2, rs3794087 variant and assessment risk for restless legs syndrome. *Sleep Med.* 2014;15:266–268.
- Jiménez-Jiménez FJ, Alonso-Navarro H, Martínez C, et al. Neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS NOS1) rs693534 and rs7977109 variants and risk for restless legs syndrome. *J Neural Transm.* 2015;122:819–823.
- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2007;81:559–575.
- Stephens M, Smith NJ, Donnelly P. A new statistical method for haplotype reconstruction from population data. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2001;68:978–989.

- Agúndez JA, Golka K, Martínez C, et al. Unraveling ambiguous NAT2 genotyping data. Clin Chem. 2008;54:1390–1394.
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J Roy Statist* Soc Ser B. 1995;57:289–300.
- Altman DG, Bland JM. Diagnostic tests 2: predictive values. *BMJ*. 1994;309:102.
- Catoire H, Dion PA, Xiong L, et al. Restless legs syndromeassociated MEIS1 risk variant influences iron homeostasis. *Ann Neurol.* 2011;70:170–175.
- Oexle K, Schormair B, Ried JS, et al. Dilution of candidates: the case of iron-related genes in restless legs syndrome. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2013;21:410–414.
- Poon HF, Calabrese V, Scapagnini G, et al. Free radicals: key to brain aging and heme oxygenase as a cellular response to oxidative stress. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2004;59:478–493.
- Schipper HM. Heme oxygenase-1: transducer of pathological brain iron sequestration under oxidative stress. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2004;1012:84–93.
- Allen RP, Barker PB, Wehrl F, et al. MRI measurement of brain iron in patients with restless legs syndrome. *Neurology*. 2001;56:263–265.
- Earley CJ, Barker B, Horská P, et al. RP. MRI-determined regional brain iron concentrations in early- and late-onset restless legs syndrome. *Sleep Med.* 2006;7:458–461.
- Moon HJ, Chang Y, Lee YS, et al. T2 relaxometry using 3.0-tesla magnetic resonance imaging of the brain in early- and late-onset restless legs syndrome. J Clin Neurol. 2014;10:197–202.
- Godau J, Klose U, Di Santo A, et al. Multiregional brain iron deficiency in restless legs syndrome. *Mov Disord*. 2008;23:1184–1187.
- Rizzo G, Manners D, Testa C, et al. Low brain iron content in idiopathic restless legs syndrome patients detected by phase imaging. *Mov Disord.* 2013;28:1886–1890.
- Ryu JH, Lee MS, Baik JS. Sonographic abnormalities in idiopathic restless legs syndrome (RLS) and RLS in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2011;17:201–203.
- Godau J, Manz A, Wevers AK, et al. Sonographic substantia nigra hypoechogenicity in polyneuropathy and restless legs syndrome. *Mov Disord.* 2009;24:133–137.
- Godau J, Wevers AK, Gaenslen A, et al. Sonographic abnormalities of brainstem structures in restless legs syndrome. *Sleep Med.* 2008;9:782–789.
- Connor JR, Boyer PJ, Menzies SL, et al. Neuropathological examination suggests impaired brain iron acquisition in restless legs syndrome. *Neurology*. 2003;61:304–309.
- Connor JR, Wang XS, Patton SM, et al. Decreased transferrin receptor expression by neuromelanin cells in restless legs syndrome. *Neurology*. 2004;62:1563–1567.
- 34. Wang X, Wiesinger J, Beard J, et al. Thy1 expression in the brain is affected by iron and is decreased in restless legs syndrome. *J Neurol Sci.* 2004;220:59–66.
- Snyder AM, Wang X, Patton SM, et al. Mitochondrial ferritin in the substantia nigra in restless legs syndrome. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* 2009;68:1193–1199.
- Connor JR, Ponnuru P, Wang XS, et al. Profile of altered brain iron acquisition in restless legs syndrome. *Brain.* 2011;134: 959–968.